

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a mother, grandmother, and great grandmother it is extremely important to me that the forests I have enjoyed be available for my many offspring. There is really nothing more rewarding than the wilderness. It makes us all feel closer to the earth and our hearts lighter.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dorothey Goldstone  
1091 Bush St Apt 506  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a native Californian (now 81 years old), I'm so very concerned that future people here have the opportunities that I have had to enjoy and benefit from these great forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Hildebrand  
401 Pacific Ave Apt 308  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a native Californian who has spent sixty years enjoying the forests and mountains of California, I urge you to take the strongest possible action to protect and conserve these lands for my daughter and for future generations!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jim Offel  
1433 Hopkins St  
Berkeley, CA 94702

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a native Californian, I care deeply for the health and preservation of our forests. My family lives in the Sierra foothills where the threat of wildfire is a real concern. It is urgent that we plan carefully to protect the ecosystem and it's inhabitants.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sharon Cavallo  
2812 Stevens Dr  
Auburn, CA 95602

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a native Californian, the nature that has surrounded my home is all I know. I grew up with animals and plants even though the city was just a few minutes away. These are important values in our children and vital contributions to the health of our society. Mental health, physical health and community health are all funded by nature, especially that of California. These animals deserve our honor and support as we invade their homes for a better human life. We must protect their homes and find a way to live harmoniously. Please protect the wildlife of California. I will always support that.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lily Sheshebor  
2511 Sandycreek Dr  
Westlake Village, CA 91361

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Applying scientifically sound approaches to habitat management is key. This means applying ecosystem and watershed level approaches to management practices across the board and including as many eligible rivers and streams as possible in the management plan.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Peter Weschler  
5837 Sacramento Ave  
Richmond, CA 94804

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a 3rd-generation Californian, I value the forests, mountains, wildlife and waters of this state. Please do everything in your power to protect our beautiful state for ALL of the generations to come. Thank you for all your time and efforts in care-taking our beloved forests!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Al Beall  
13853 Sagewood Dr  
Poway, CA 92064



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a 4th generation Californian, I want to see our forests protected for future generations. It's so important.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Russell  
178 Kendall Rd  
Walnut Creek, CA 94595

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a California resident who loves nature, I want to see the forests as well as the ecosystems they support protected and sustainably managed so they can be enjoyed by my child and future generations. The environmental impact statement should also be evaluated in the context of the current climate crisis.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pamela Holley-Wilcox  
4013 Galapagos Way  
Oxnard, CA 93035

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a citizen and parent who cares deeply about the environment I believe we must do everything to protect it and its inhabitants for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Paul Brigham  
173 Bolinas Rd  
Fairfax, CA 94930

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a fifty three year California resident, I ask that the Forest Service do the utmost to ensure the golden state's natural legacy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lorie Huckaba  
53 Castle Hill Ct  
Roseville, CA 95678

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a former Forest Service in Region Five I am aware of the struggles we had making plans to protect our forests. Don't give in to the political push to decimate these irreplaceable treasures.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ron Noland  
2390 Powell St  
San Francisco, CA 94133

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**After spending many days hiking and climbing the peaks of these unique and beautiful National Forests I hope that you recognize and fulfill your responsibility to maintain them in as untrammled and natural state as possible. Future generations depend on it.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Arnold Martelli  
2813 Windsor Ct  
Modesto, CA 95350

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Alive, California's trees are a huge economic driver bringing tourists from around the globe to view these majestic trees. Tourist dollars keep local communities afloat.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karin Zirk  
4629 Cass St # 188  
San Diego, CA 92109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is crucial to save the Sequoia groves.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ramzi Touchan  
1215 E Lowell St  
Tucson, AZ 85721



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**A big part of surviving climate change will be robust corridors for animals and plants to migrate over time. Please help ensure California can stay green!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Lo  
1372 Bobwhite Ave  
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**A great deal of thought needs to be given so that these forests continue to retain carbon & serve the non-human species that reside in them. Do a good job here & the world will thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Gassman  
389 Belmont St Apt 111  
Oakland, CA 94610

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**A vital, living planet that keeps the best of the American wilderness intact is my dream for my child to inherit. Please protect it!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Robert Tindall  
1315 4th Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94122

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Absolutely protect these forests from sprawl & development and treat these natural habitats as the treasures that they are. These areas are CA's greatest assets. They are essential to environmental health and our well being. They can't be replaced once they're used up, built over or destroyed. Thank you for all that you do to protect our lands/rivers/wildlife!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sonia De La Rosa  
610 Almar Ave  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**All national forests, monuments, parks, public lands need extraordinary and vigilant protection from predation and exploitation by profiteers to ensure the survival of wilderness and humanity itself. This is not an exaggeration, it is a dire urgency.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lily Lau-Enright  
5321 Spilman Ave  
Sacramento, CA 95819

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Along with the Sierra Club, I am demanding the strongest possible management plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forest. These are precious areas that need to be protected.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ann Isolde  
1127 16th St Apt F  
Santa Monica, CA 90403

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Alternative C or greatly strengthened and expanded wilderness area in Alternative B will help ensure that the forests are as healthy as possible and provide quality outdoor recreation for people for the coming decades.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathy Sabatini  
4728 Isabella Ave  
Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Although the current administration of the federal government prefers to promote short-term commercial profits over long-term environmental health, the health of the planet affects us all, and I pray that there are enough honorable people left in the Forest Service to protect the forests for all of us who care.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marsha Armstrong  
777 Knowles Dr Ste 6A  
Los Gatos, CA 95032



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Animals and nature are pure, let's be sure to keep them that way.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Andre De Jong  
1370 Woodchuck Ln  
Concord, CA 94521

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Any plans for Sierra and Sequoia MUST provide maximum protection and maintenance in perpetuity. They are unique and irreplaceable in the World's splendor!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Yvette Fallandy  
2928 Bardy Rd  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a grandmother and citizen of California, I want my grandchildren and others to have the opportunity to enjoy the Sierra & Sequoia National Forests in the same splendor that I have been able to. Please think of future generations and the long term well being of our state rather than short term profit.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lynne Sexton  
1205 David Ave  
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a grandparent I want these precious lands for future generations. Please be good guardians.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Robin Sible  
426 W Avenida De Las Flores  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a life long Californian, and user of national parks and forests, I urge the Forest Service, Dept. of Agriculture to remember the citizens of this country and the enjoyment we derive from these national treasures. Please consider us as taxpayers who fund these treasures. Special interests are always ready to exploit the public resources, but please remember us American outdoorsmen first.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bill Salmon  
PO Box 1369  
Carmel Valley, CA 93924

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a longtime docent at the John Muir National Historic Site in Martinez, CA, I greet visitors from across the nation who are inspired by the life led by our country's first conservationist. People care immensely about our wild heritage and want to protect it - not plunder it!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Waldroup  
2516 Saklan Indian Dr  
Walnut Creek, CA 94595

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a professional forester for over sixty years I understand the uniqueness and the historical and biological values of this part of our American heritage, and I trust your foresters and other personnel will approach your decision-making with humility and respect.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ronald Lanner  
2651 Bedford Ave  
Placerville, CA 95667

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a recreational user of our National Forests, I'm writing to ask that we conserve and protect what we have. These forests afford all of us a place to feel away from the congestion of "normal life". Let's be aware not to allow the sprawl of humanity creep into what we love about our forests, their beauty and solitude.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Mach  
1328 N Erin Ave  
Upland, CA 91786



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a resident of the Sierra foothills these public lands are very important to me and my community. I urge you to follow the recommendations described above to ensure the best possible stewardship of our public lands. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

catherine hickey  
14792 wabash ave  
Grass valley, CA 95960

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a species with the capacity for self-reflection, we must find ways to contain ourselves so that we don't become like an invasion of locusts, gobbling up all the resources around and in the process destroying the ecosystem that supports our existence.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Alice Powsner  
5263 James Ave  
Oakland, CA 94618

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a student, I want to be able to visit these parks and enjoy nature as it should be.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Adrian Tayag  
8398 Lost River Rd  
Eastvale, CA 92880

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As a world citizen and educator, I am deeply concerned for our children's future. The world may come to an end as we know it, but it will return with life as it always has. However, it saddens me that our children will not be able to enjoy our beautiful planet if we do not move on the protection of our watersheds and natural resources. Please do something before it is too late.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Juan Venegas  
304 Platts Harbor Dr  
Camarillo, CA 93012

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As each year passes, more and more people will be living in and visiting California, with a commensurate increase in the need for wild lands. Please consider those in the future who will be profoundly grateful for what you have achieved on their behalf.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Hannah Meara  
1929 Russell St  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As guardians of the forests, please keep our beloved redwoods and sequoias as well as the wildlife that inhabits them safe & healthy for our future generations to love & cherish.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Namrita Dhillon  
123 Westmoor Ct  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As one that resides in the forest in northern California, I get to witness a wide variety of wildlife up close and personal every day (I even have a family of foxes living in a crawl space atop my cabin as I write this). We must do what we can to prevent urban sprawl into our forests, which would further diminish many of these magnificent creatures' habitats. Please protect all forests, including the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Evan Morgan  
PO Box 91  
Covelo, CA 95428

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As one who has spent over 40 years in the Sierra National Forest and who has seen firsthand the effects of forest fire and poor decisions on selling trees (combined with the devastating sounds of helicopter removal), I am concerned that the management plans will not protect our entrusted lands and species.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lynda Beigel  
1236 Haight St  
San Francisco, CA 94117



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As someone who has recreated in these parks for 58 years, it is vitally important to me that they remain as protected as possible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Perrine McCarthy  
42 Pasarela Dr Unit 301  
Rancho Mission Viejo, CA 92694

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As someone who has spent months backpacking and camping in the Sierras over the past two decades, I implore you to protect these publicly owned forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elly Benson  
1581 8th Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94122

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As someone who has visited and spent meaningful time in the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests, I know, first hand, the extraordinary values of these precious public lands to current and future generations. Thank you for all that you are doing to protect these invaluable national forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Deborah Williams  
451 Barling Ter  
Goleta, CA 93117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As stewards of the Earth, we must protect our environment and the health and safety of all who live here.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Smith  
350 E Taylor St Apt 1110  
San Jose, CA 95112

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As the child of a working class Latino family in the San Joaquin Valley, the local forests and lakes were our respite. We went fishing, swimming, and camping, and took long drives into the mountains in between. We felt lucky that when we became college students we were able to work at Sequoia National Park. I watched my first golden grizzly in a mountain meadow-and saw my first mountain lion. These forests belong to all of us and we must always protect them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Guerra  
PO Box 367  
Bayside, CA 95524

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As the founding member of Friends of Mariposa Creek, I speak on behalf of our many members. The Mariposa Creek, once a mighty river, is a tributary of the San Joaquin River. The headwaters lie near the western edge of the Sierra National Forest not far from the western entrance of Yosemite National Park. It is Mariposa County's "forgotten waterway." In 2016 Friends of Mariposa Creek filed suit against the Mariposa Public Utility District for 2,218 violations of the Clean Water Act. We won our suit in the federal district court and a new wastewater treatment plant will be complete in May, 2020. But much more needs to be done. The Mariposa Creek must be fully recognized and fully listed as a protected waterway and watershed, now and forever. Please list The Mariposa Creek and it's watershed as fully recognized and protected.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sarah Windsor  
PO Box 723  
Mariposa, CA 95338

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As you are aware, the Sierra Forest and Sequoia Trees are being negatively impacted by the continued development and expansion of nearby communities and their byproducts, such as air pollution, along with the ever increasing damage caused by climate change. These irreplaceable resources can only be protected and preserved by using the bold, far reaching, and visionary approach as outlined above. To do anything less would be short sighted and destined to fail. Be aggressive, be responsible, do the right thing.**

**Thank you and please keep up all your great work, we appreciate everything you do. Sincerely, Doug Ruth.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,



Doug Ruth  
8590 Dallas St  
La Mesa, CA 91942

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As you may have heard, there has been a 53% loss of species worldwide, due in large part to habitat loss. This loss is having an effect on our wildlands and forests. We need our wetlands, forests and other arboreal places, to maintain water and clean air necessary for the environmental stability and prevention of further "climate change"**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marcia Hammerberg  
220 S Greenlawn St  
Ridgecrest, CA 93555

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**As you protect the trees please keep in mind the biodiversity and whole ecology. Please ensure you have key stakeholders that are able to advise you on the flora and fauna that depends on the forest that you are managing. This wild life depends on how you will take care of the forest. We are confident that not only the health of the forest but it's inhabitants will be a priority and considered in your plans.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Garcia  
2848 4th Ave  
Sacramento, CA 95818

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Besides the importance of preserving nature for the benefit of all, including climate change, why allow sprawl when we understand that fires are a threat to those communities. It's seems reckless to allow development!  
Please continue to preserve existing habitat and forest land for the benefit of all future generations!**

**Kathy Kelfer**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathy Kelfer  
19630 Bermuda St  
Chatsworth, CA 91311

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Bottomline: Do all you can to support these Alternatives. The public needs to be educated AND included, especially local communities who have a strong stake in the ongoing viability of the forests and waterways. Know that for me, out of sight does NOT mean out of mind. Forests and waterways are extremely important to our survival as a species and all those that dwell in them. We humans need to stop destroying and compromising the very resources that give us life and sustains us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ellen Parsons  
14 Peninsula Rd  
Belvedere, CA 94920

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**California forests and beaches are our legacy. We have one of the most beautiful places in the world as our home. We must protect them or we will lose an irreplaceable treasure.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Harriet Gadisman  
120 Huerta Pl  
Davis, CA 95616

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**California must lead the way to a green future, that includes protecting our wild spaces for future generations to enjoy!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rikki Lougee  
14520 Gunston Way  
San Jose, CA 95124

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**California needs its wilderness areas.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Thomas Proett  
5184 Oak Hollow Rd  
Valley Springs, CA 95252



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**California without its forests would be a hell to live in. Work hard to get these forests managed--as soon as possible.  
thanks**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael Brickey  
265 Murphy Ave  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**California's wild lands are precious beyond words, a gift of nature. Humanity and Californians have no reason to squander this gift.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Hadas Rin  
442 50th St  
Oakland, CA 94609

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Californians want our wild lands and forests protected. Please protect our natural heritage for posterity! Our climate and well-being as a species depends on it!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anuja Mendiratta  
1647 10th St  
Berkeley, CA 94710

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**California's forests and wildlife are one of this world's greatest treasures, and we must protect them for future generations. We are devastating our environment all across the globe, and California must act a leader for conservationism and progressive thinking around our environment. If we don't lead, who will?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John McBride  
529 44th St  
Oakland, CA 94609

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**California's natural habitat is at stake. Please come up with a strong plan to manage our Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. Our forests need protecting and the time is now! With all the environmental degradation occurring with the current administration the time is now to send a message that we care about our environment.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jan Lum  
1510 5th Ave  
San Rafael, CA 94901

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Clean environment starts with protecting our forests and natural habitats.  
Please care about the world we are leaving our children and grand children.  
Protect our forests NOW!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lani Thornton  
2655 Vermont St  
Ramona, CA 92065

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Clear cutting is not listed under proper management. Good management of forest resources is what the Sierra Club and other citizens can advise you on. I hope you will listen to their wise council.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jeffry Roush  
3066 Hilton Ave  
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Climate change is a big enough challenge let's do all we can to protect the environment that nurtures us so well.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janet Larson  
10991 W Side Potter Valley Rd  
Potter Valley, CA 95469



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Climate change is a global emergency. Please do your part and protect our forests. Thank you,  
Genevieve Monks**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Genevieve Monks  
2145 Clipper Ship Dr  
Fairfield, CA 94534

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Climate change, endangered species, sustainable water supplies, our legacy for future generations all depend upon doing everything possible to protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marianne Mitosinka  
250 Sheridan Ave  
Piedmont, CA 94611

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Come On People!! Quit paving up Our Paradises & putting up parking lots!! We can't breathe asphalt!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Ogburn  
67 Flying Cloud Dr.  
OROVILLE, CA 95979

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Coming from the UK, I deeply appreciate California's natural beauty and wildlife. Please do all you can to protect your precious heritage.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Laura Brash  
4022 Kingridge Dr  
San Mateo, CA 94403

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Conservation has been incredibly important to me ever since I found out humans are destroying our precious Earth faster than ever. Anything we can do to preserve our national forests is a step forward to making the world more sustainable and keeping it beautiful. Please take this message and don't throw it away in vain.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nadia Benes  
2404 Par Pl  
Rocklin, CA 95677

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Dear Forest Service, please do all you can to protect our national forests, to save the plants and wildlife. Do not let them be logged or drilled for oil or any other destructive practices. Thank you, Joy Cummings**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joy Cummings  
1147 Grant Line St  
Santa Paula, CA 93060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Dear people, please take action to protect are dwindling precious resources in the forest**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jon Pearl  
PO Box 23532  
Santa Barbara, CA 93121

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Dear Sirs and Mams, I've grown up going to these beautiful places. Please consider keeping them preserved and beautiful for future generations, Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Strum  
2195 Applegate  
Clovis, CA 93611



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Dear US Forest Service, Please take all steps to protect all Forests under your care as you well know the forests are were the air we breath originates from, take careful actions toward all living creatures both flora and fauna.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael Caesar  
4141 Palm Ave Apt 511  
Sacramento, CA 95842

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Devastating to our children's future!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cathy Scarborough  
7340 Stock Ranch Rd Apt 129  
Citrus Heights, CA 95621

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do it Forest Service People...you won't regret it and you will be remembered forever as people who cared, cared enough to speak up and act to protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests...what a great legacy you will leave..your children, grandchildren and great grandchildren will be proud of you. Thank you George Morales**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

George Morales  
242 Peach Grove Lane  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do not allow anyone to touch these forests. They are not replaceable**  
**Sincerely,**

**Hans Odsen**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Hans Odsen  
9115 Oak Trail Cir  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do not destroy what took millions of years to evolve and which all life depends. Humanity has no right to put another living being in jeopardy of its livelihood and very existence. How arrogant the human race has become, how ignorant of us to think the world, it's resources and other sentient beings revolve around us.**

**STOP DEFORESTATION! CONTROL THE HUMAN RACE! BREED RESPONSIBLY!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

L. L. Gunn (a.K.A. Nomad)  
15333 Culver Dr Ste 340  
Irvine, CA 92604

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do not develop the Sierra and Sequoia National forests as it would be an extremely serious mistake. I assume you must realize this as being obvious.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barry & Shari Mccarroll  
6845 Jenkins Ave  
Hesperia, CA 92345

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do the right thing for all of us, including the wildlife, preserve the forests for future generations to enjoy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lee Bunyard  
2500 Oakvale Dr  
Shingle Springs, CA 95682

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do the right thing to protect and support the environment for now and the future.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Steven Hibshman  
609 Celestial Ln  
Foster City, CA 94404



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do the right thing.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nancy Miller  
411 Poppinga Way  
Santa Maria, CA 93455

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do the right thing.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Potter  
251 Elysian Fields Dr  
Oakland, CA 94605

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do whatever you can to protect our national treasures from the current exploit & destroy in the name of greed regime. I'm doing my part to support legal efforts to hold the line until we get a chance to take back a modicum of control from the few who benefit from this national disgrace and egregious vermin.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Melsher  
5505 Longfellow Dr  
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do your job and protect our forests for future generations. Stop being Trump Stooges !**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dean Arrighi  
2935 Augusta St Apt 6  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Do your job Forest Service!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

D & W Thomas  
3926 60th St Unit 181  
San Diego, CA 92115

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Don't be letting Trump or any other asshole fuck with our forest! Thanks**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Brent Frey  
P.O. Box 276, 529 Pyramid Dr., Crestline CA 92325  
Brent, CA 92325

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Don't hurt our national forests. They are a national treasure. Please treat them like the treasures they are.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Frey  
57 Vallecito Ln  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Don't create a Trump legacy shithole.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mark Gotvald  
528 Monti Cir  
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**don't destroy our national parks for some corps bottom line**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

michael carr0ll  
1337 Ashley Rd  
Ramona, CA 92065

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Don't let the present federal administration influence your decisions. Do the right thing for the forests--not mining, logging, fracking or other businesses' interests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tim Hayes  
6363 Streamview Dr  
San Diego, CA 92115

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Don't let the products of thousands of years get flushed down the toilet by our one-track president to benefit his corporate donors.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Arthur Peill  
805 Valley Ave Apt 219  
Solana Beach, CA 92075

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Dont let uncaring be your answer. Do the right thing.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Ahumada  
11761 Holyoak Ln  
Garden Grove, CA 92840

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**EARTH FIRST !!**

**NO COMPROMISE IN THE DEFENSE OF MOTHER EARTH !!**

**SUBVERT THE DOMINANT PARADIGM !!**

**BRING BACK THE BISON AND SING BACK THE SWAN !!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Margaret Standridge  
PO Box 783  
Big Pine, CA 93513

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Education and partnership are the keys to making sustainable decisions to keep these forests healthy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Patricia Stow  
4926 Mountain View Dr  
Lotus, CA 95651

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**EO Wilson has stated we must preserve 50% of our lands for natural habitat in order to save the natural world, all life, including humans, depends on. It starts here with Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jared Babula  
125 Knollwood Dr  
San Rafael, CA 94901

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Escaping to my beloved Sierras is one of the greatest joys in life. We need access to isolated, public, quiet, pristine forest.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Leslie Morelli  
460 Center St Unit 6247  
Moraga, CA 94570



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Every year I go hiking in the Eastern Sierras, and it is always a transcendent experience. I want this opportunity to be there not only for my children but all future generations. Please do whatever is necessary to protect this priceless resource.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Wahl  
750 Montrose Ave  
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Everything we can do to protect our planet's natural beauty and resources is a must in this era of diminishing air/water/land/animal existence and quality. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Amy And Greg Stanton  
9329 Torrs Way  
Forestville, CA 95436

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Federal protections must actually protect, not just give lip service to protecting our national forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

J Anderson  
526 Pebble Dr  
El Sobrante, CA 94803

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**fire risk reduction i.e. remove dead ground fuel, replanting and care post fires, and clear cuts 1/4 to 3/4 mile to make meadows for wildlife and pay for expenses.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Eric Altshuler  
351 Olema Rd Apt 3  
Fairfax, CA 94930

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**For as long as I can remember, we visited Sequoia every summer and my Dad's ashes were spread there. My Mom painted so many beautiful paintings of the scenery. It has always been a very special place us. I haven't been an activist previously but I think that our current administration isn't protecting our National Wildlife or Environment enough, reducing protections previously enacted. Sequoia and other Wildlands need to be Protected from those who would exploit it for Financial Gains. I hope that you give American's Treasures a fighting chance. Thank You.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Osborn  
3537 Voyager Ct  
Oceanside, CA 92054

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**For decades my family have enjoyed camping, hiking and back packing into our great Sierra and Sequoia forests. Please do the right thing and protect them for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Betty Matterson  
212 1st St  
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**For many years I hiked and camped in Sequoia national forest. Mine must not be the last generation to have this experience. We need the strongest possible protections!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rita Summers  
516 Walnut St  
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**For the past 20 years I have regularly gone on camping trips in the Sierra. My wife and I have very much enjoyed taking our children to the mountains, and we hope that our children will be able to do the same with their kids. I'd like to be sure that the Sierra will be available in all its glory for them, and future generations. Please make sure that the new plans being created by the Forest Service now will preserve the natural beauty of the Sierra for many years to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Spencer Stanford  
58 Bangor Ct  
San Ramon, CA 94582



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Forests are our lives and allow us to breathe and live fully, and need protection and care, and saving. Please protect our future as humans and animals alike with saving our forests. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bird  
465 Willow Glen Way Apt 230  
San Jose, CA 95125

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Fully protect our precious forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

William Lundeen  
PO Box 474  
Lee Vining, CA 93541

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Furthermore, I think cattle grazing should be eliminated in these areas. The damage that cattle create especially in sensitive areas is horrific. Please consider limiting or eliminating cattle grazing in our national forests, we don't profit from it the ranchers do!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Arturo Beyeler  
2052 Lake Tahoe Blvd  
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Give these forests the strongest possible protection!!!!!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janice Cochran  
811 Second Ave  
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Given the struggles that California has faced with water conservation and drought, it is very important to address the health of California's rivers and various waterways. Here are some suggestions as to how the Forest Service can improve the developing management plans for the Sierra and Sequoia forests and rivers.**

**The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments.**

- **Sequoia National Forest: The Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork Middle Fork Tule River and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary).**
- **Sierra National Forest: The Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).**

**Thank you for your consideration.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong

requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jason Ellis  
6180 Aldea Dr  
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**global warming is here. the planet needs strong healthy forests, not tree farms and massive clearcuts.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Petrulias  
23 Silvia Dr  
Cazadero, CA 95421

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Growing up in Oregon with a horse crazy father made my childhood one filled with the enchantment and wonders of the wilderness. We humans are only setting ourselves up for serious trouble by treating our wild lands with disregard. We should not be so stupid as to take this planet which our organism was designed to reside on for granted. Do your job and protect our planet, our home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sharon Adena  
560 30th ave space 27  
Santa Cruz, CA 95010



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Habitat is critical.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dean Vogel  
526 S Campus Way  
Davis, CA 95616

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Have mercy on animals and national forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Katarine Quintana  
635 Dowling Blvd Apt 7  
San Leandro, CA 94577

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Having been born in California -- with my grandparents immigrating here back in those horse & buggy days (literally -- in San Francisco!) -- I now have grandchildren of my own living in this state. From the Redwoods to all the beautiful parks, we NEED to protect these areas so they may enjoy them just as much!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Zenker  
2917 D St  
Eureka, CA 95501

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Having grown up with a mountain Cabin just outside of Sequoia National Park, I understand the effect that this magnificent and unspoiled land has on the physical and mental health of those that experience its unadulterated beauty. Please protect these treasured landscapes from the harm of unnecessary development and preserve them for current and future citizens who call this wonderful county home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tyler Hubbell  
703 Mira Mar Ct  
Grover Beach, CA 93433

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Having hiked the Sierra mountains for many years I can tell you it is majestic and must be preserved.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Roger Ewing  
5559 Colodny Drive  
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Having taken many trips over the years to Sequoia National Park, I can attest from personal experience that any loss or degradation of this place would be a national tragedy. Please protect our last few wild places.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Eric Morgan  
9450 La Jolla Shores Dr  
La Jolla, CA 92037

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Having visited the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests, I know what a national treasure they are, and that they deserve the strongest possible protections so that they can be enjoyed by future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marc Gordon  
1474 Samedra St  
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Healthy national forests are a legacy that we can leave to our children and grandchildren. Do not take this away from them by avoiding necessary action.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ruth Sheldon  
950 Humboldt Rd  
Brisbane, CA 94005



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Hello,**

**With all that is happening on our planet with climate change and the threat to the endangered species act please do all that you can to protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests! Now is the time to protect these beautiful areas of our country, of our planet!**

**Thank you!**

**Ilianna Culver-Dufford**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ilianna Culver-Dufford  
3668 Stance Ave  
Soquel, CA 95073

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**History is watching and so is the Nation. Do not dare to think that you have the Power to destroy Nature for any reason.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

C Morales  
PO Box 61512  
Santa Barbara, CA 93160

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**How can you stand by & watch our natural wonders destroyed by hunters & loggers. There will be no air to breath.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jan Hoyle  
26356 Vintage Woods Rd Apt 22J  
Lake Forest, CA 92630

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**How dare you exploit our forests for the Trump administrations shortsighted actions profit. You should be ashamed of yourselves.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Arnold Lerner  
527 Dolores St Apt 3  
San Francisco, CA 94110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I agree with the prepared statement. In this time of environmental degradation beyond belief, we need the strongest possible protections for all National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Mone  
PO Box 223  
Trinidad, CA 95570

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am 74 years old and a great grandmother. I want these new generations to be able to experience the beauty that has been mine to see since childhood. DO WHAT IS RIGHT. PRESERVE OUR FORESTS AND PUBLIC CALIFORNIA LANDS.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Miriam Iosupovici  
1320 Seacoast Dr Unit L  
Imperial Beach, CA 91932

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a California resident that has enjoyed the Sierras and Sequoia National Forests. I believe we need to do all we can to protect them. Not only for their beauty but for the nature of what they do for our environment.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Harold Romano  
701 Brown Ave  
Yuba City, CA 95991



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a Californian and wish to protect these lands. I may not be able to physically help but by sending this message it is my voice and a way for me to support the environment.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Reyes  
246 E 78th St  
Los Angeles, CA 90003

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a fifth generation Californian who has spent my life enjoying the Sierra Nevada and all it has to offer. The protected areas we have are in large part what makes California a special place that attracts visitors to connect with nature. Well managed forests are vital to our resilience to the impacts of climate change, water management, protection of biodiversity, air quality, and the well-being of the people who live and visit this great state. I encourage you to place great value on the comments that the Sierra Club has submitted, as well as the voices of its many members. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kristen Hislop  
1521 Bath St Apt D  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a frequent visitor to the Sierras and strongly feel we need to extend all protections possible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cruz Phillips  
PO Box 1107  
Santa Ynez, CA 93460

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a long time user of our forests and mountain areas. My favorite pastime is to get into a wilderness or park that I have not yet seen and discover the pristine beauty that our wilderness areas provide. I love the quiet and untrammelled vistas. We need more preservation!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Charles Smith  
4048 Victoria Park Dr  
San Jose, CA 95136

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a native Californian and avid hiker. My life dream is to visit all national parks in every state. I saw my first national park at Yosemite in 2014 and it was love at first sight at age 53 years. My biggest regret is not being introduced to hiking and the national parks at a younger age. My biggest fear is the loss of the natural beauty and wildlife now and in the future. It feeds my soul and gives me hope and all should get in touch with nature to learn to appreciate it and each other if we are to survive.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Martha Marquez  
1235 Parker Pl  
San Diego, CA 92109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a native Californian. The Sierra and Sequoia National forests are dear to me and should be protected so all who follow me can enjoy them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jane Uyematsu  
333 Twin Ln  
Soquel, CA 95073

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a person of faith who cares about creation. Please do all in your power to save this precious heritage.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mary Franceschini  
5030 Valley Crest Dr Apt 78  
Concord, CA 94521



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am a retired engineering geologist, a native Californian who was schooled, trained, and employed in California. I've been a 4-season hiker, backpacker, mountaineer, and skier in California mountains since the early 1960s. I've experienced California from its southeastern desert mountains to its northwestern rainbelt mountains. I'm LA County native who learned to drive on the first freeways of the 1950s and who's seen California's population sprawl as it's happened. Concern has been incumbent for generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Baltierra  
1450 N 1st St Apt 106  
Salinas, CA 93906

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am counting on your leadership.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Swan  
1225 Island Ave Unit 413  
San Diego, CA 92101

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am from northern California. When I was young, I used to run thru the majestic, old-growth redwoods. Now all those trees are gone. Where they were looks like a war zone. The logging industry also bulldozed all the non-commercial trees into the tributaries. Now, the Coho salmon that were so plentiful are almost gone. So, in my lifetime we have eliminated the redwood forests and the Coho salmon. Humans beings are killing this planet. We have no planet B.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gerald Caldeira  
1355 Lubeck St  
Sonoma, CA 95476

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am grateful to exercise my right to public comment and to participate in the management of Wildlands which are my American birthright and where I pray. Only the strongest protections will do.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Christine Hoffman  
2815 Russell St  
Berkeley, CA 94705

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am looking forward to watching how my comments and those from other members of the public impact the development of these crucial plans.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Shirey  
7711 River Landing Dr  
Sacramento, CA 95831

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am strongly in favor of maximum protections. Time is running out for our open spaces, wildlife, and clean water and air. Please do all you can to forward these values.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Vera Strader  
17275 Blackbird Ln  
Sonora, CA 95370

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am sure you are and will be doing your best to protect what we still have and needs help in order to continue to be here for all life forms.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jiji Mellon  
14355 Skyline Blvd  
Redwood City, CA 94062

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I am too old to hike Domelands Wilderness anymore, but I know that it and all the other wilderness areas hold the lungs and the soul of California and must be preserved.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ginny Madsen  
13461 Aurora Dr  
San Leandro, CA 94577



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I assure that I care deeply about these lands, please take care of them!!**

**They are priceless treasures!!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Debbie Stoner  
499 Pleasant Hill Rd  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I began going to these forests when I was 12. 52 years later, I still go and am now taking my grandkids. Please Preserve these forests. When gone, they will be gone forever...**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Highlund  
6255 Joaquin Murieta Ave Apt E  
Newark, CA 94560

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I believe that taking a 'watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers' is vital for a sustainable plan. Only by 'identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments' can the forest service maintain control over key elements that effect river ecology. Without this expanded definition, policies are weak, or worse, completely ineffective. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Greg Jacobus  
PO Box 588  
Murphys, CA 95247

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I believe we must protect our natural resources for the good of our country. Loosening regulation, increasing commercial activity, and damaging the environment benefits the very few at the expense of all of us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jordan Carelli  
8571 Villa La Jolla Dr Apt E  
La Jolla, CA 92037

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I cannot believe how many environmental laws are going to be minimized. Our environment belongs to all and contributes to our lives. We must wake up and protect such valuable free resources NOW !**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Adele Kapp  
849 Coast Blvd # BR501  
La Jolla, CA 92037

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and have lived in them all of my 70 years. I want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and road free areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities, wildlife habitats, and promote healthy forest ecosystems. PLEASE, DO ALL THAT YOU CAN and remain fearsome advocates for our forests. We, ALL, appreciate your efforts !!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tim Mancini  
33601 Simpson Rd  
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I care deeply for the forests and her inhabitants. Our planet is at a severely vulnerable place, overtaxed with extractions and pollutants, global warming, trees die off en masse, while encroachment into our forests continues. Planning should be such that it takes and makes deeply serious decisions for the protections of our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

S Hoffmann  
5109 Jessie St. 2011  
Mariposa, CA 95338



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I demand more recommended wilderness areas and greater protections for rivers, streams and watersheds. Now is our key moment to ensure their protection. Future generations depend on us acting with wisdom to protect the elements essential to life on earth.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Lewis  
141 24th Ave Apt 1  
San Mateo, CA 94403

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I demand the strongest possible plans for the Sierra and sequoia National Forests!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Annette Benton  
3041 Peppermill Cir  
Pittsburg, CA 94565

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I demand the strongest possible protection for these unique and amazing forests, unlike anything else on earth. We must protect these lands for future generations, once they are gone they are gone for good. To lost even an acre to development would be a tragedy and we will not stand for it.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mike Voytovich  
351 Laurel Ave  
Millbrae, CA 94030

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I don't know much about alternatives B and C mentioned in the Sierra Club's Message above but I do know that it won't be good for our National Forests if they have to be cut down to make room for wind and solar energy. I hope you will do all you can to support clean, safe, and economical nuclear power.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ray Sundby  
6100 Rockrose Dr  
Newark, CA 94560

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I endorse the Sierra Club recommendations, as they have proven themselves over the years to be staunch supporters of our wild and natural areas.**

**Two key current trends that make protection of our wild and natural lands more important are (1) the willy-nilly push to make our forests and open lands fire-proof that is going to unfortunately destroy much natural habitat EVERYWHERE, and (2) the push to create 3.5 MILLION more housing units with concomitant population growth as espoused by our well-meaning Governor and others. We are on a collision course in our goals that will harm the earth forever, if we are not careful.**

**Please help us all by supporting strong preservation measures for the lands we still have.**

**Tim Platt**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong

requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tim Platt  
843 Pinon Dr  
Martinez, CA 94553

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I feel greatly blessed by having access to such beautiful sacred places as are in Sierra & Sequoia National Forests. Please put in place the strongest protections for these lands so generations to come may have the same opportunities for feeling these blessings as well. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joy Wagner  
1500 Purson Ln  
Lafayette, CA 94549

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I feel very, very strongly about this issue because I spent my entire 34-year career working for Region 5 of the U.S. Forest Service.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pucci Sanders  
PO Box 776  
Susanville, CA 96130



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I fell in love backpacking these woods with my now wife and mother of my children. We'd like to be able to allow the same opportunities to our children and further protection of these beautiful places is need to do so. Please think long term and not short term.**

**Best,  
Bruce Livingstone**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bruce Livingstone  
235 W Santa Clara St  
Ventura, CA 93001

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I firmly believe that shortchanging these forests from the right level of protection would be an act of treason to the country, to previous and future generations, those who gave us the forests we enjoy and those who don't deserve any less than we do. Our National Parks are our biggest pride and joy. Please protect them from greed and development.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marisa Menendez  
9950 Bruceville Rd Apt 317  
Elk Grove, CA 95757

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I first came to love and appreciate the Sequoia National Forest when I moved to California 25 years ago to work for Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks. I have enjoyed hiking and skiing in the forest for many years, but I have seen climate change and increased use take a heavy toll on the health of the ecosystem. I am now a high school science teacher. I work hard to connect local students to the amazing and unique natural resources that are right up the road from them. Sadly, many of these students have never experienced the beauty of the giant sequoia groves firsthand. We MUST protect these amazing and irreplaceable ecosystems for future generations, for those who have yet to discover the awe and majesty of thousand-year old trees, pristine rivers, and diverse wildlife. We owe them the opportunity to love the forests as much as we do.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Donna Meisky  
4573 East Rialto Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I go to the state and national forests and wetlands to paint and write. Inspiration from the wilderness sustains me. I pass on each area's unique beauty thru my work. In general, we should set aside as much open space as possible and learn to live more compactly.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Mahoney  
1170 Camelia St  
Berkeley, CA 94702

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I grew up camping with my family in the Sierras every summer. So did my Dad. My grandmother wrote a poem about her love for these mountains. PLEASE follow these recommendations and adopt a plan to preserve and protect the forests, wildlife and immense beauty of the Sierra and Sequoia Forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rahima Warren  
3270 Theresa Ln  
Lafayette, CA 94549

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I grew up in the San Joaquin Valley only an hour away from Sequoia National Park. I learned to cross-country ski there, I walked through the Redwoods with my family when I was growing up, I heard stories of the Native Americans who lived there. Both Sequoia National Park and its neighbor, Kings Canyon, need to be protected so my children, their children, and all future children will have the opportunity to experience the majestic beauty of this area. If it is tampered with, it could never be replaced.**

**Lisa McAdams, proud fourth generation Californian**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lisa Mcadams  
16274 Lilac Ln  
Los Gatos, CA 95032



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I grew up in the Sierra and now live by majestic Redwoods. There are not that many left, and we need them for a million reasons. We must protect these areas!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nancy Keleher  
PO Box 1327  
Ferndale, CA 95536

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I grew up spending my summers in the Sierra and know how special and fragile that environment is. Please do your best to preserve it so others can have the wonderful experiences I was so lucky to have!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janet Parkins  
4285 Gilbert St  
Oakland, CA 94611

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have 11.5 acres in Plumas county and have seen what can happen if the PCT or surrounding forests are not well managed. We have to give any plan all that we can.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Chuck Potter  
420 Wallace Ave  
Vallejo, CA 94590

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have 2 small children that I can't wait to introduce to the beauty of California. It is critical right now that we preserve our forests, in no small part to protect of biodiversity, to help reduce carbon in the atmosphere and for the enormous benefits of nature. I urge you to strengthen the protection of the Sierra and Sequoia forests for our generation and generations to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Brady  
750 N Whitnall Hwy, Apt L  
Burbank, CA 91505

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have always been a passionate advocate for wild life and wild lands with a particular added interested in protecting forest lands. It seems obvious to me that the Sequoia and Sierra National Forest should be protected to the highest level. I urge policy makers to protect these lands unequivocally.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Courtney Farrar  
7854 Lake Tahoe Ave  
San Diego, CA 92119

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have backpacked in the Sierra many times. I love the Sierra. Please care too.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephen Weitz  
2757 Best Ave  
Oakland, CA 94619

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have been going to the southern Sierra since I was a teenager. This whole area is magnificent and I hope you will try to protect it and manage it for many future generations to come. This area is vast and we need to protect it from sprawl and development. Please add more protection to these forests. Thank you, Gary Buckenberger**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gary Buckenberger  
324 Prospect St  
Oak View, CA 93022

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have been privileged to camp and hike in these forests. Please protect them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

J Pizzo  
486 Redwood Ave  
Corte Madera, CA 94925



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have camped and traveled among the giant sequoias for years. Personally, they are the perfect antidote to relieve the stress of everyday life and allow me to reconnect with what is really important in my life as well as my basic humanity. Please do not lessen that experience, not just for me, but for the many thousands of people who feel as I do and whose peace of mind and soul is renewed by being among these gentle giant trees.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Howard Nathan  
5 Woodminster Ct  
Chico, CA 95926

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have enjoyed climbing, hiking, wildlife viewing and backpacking in the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests for over 40 years. Please protect this wonder filled area.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Asha Sidhu  
4635 Allende Ave  
Oceanside, CA 92057

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have grown up in the Sierra mountains and it is a place I love - especially to come to for some down time and for some peace of mind. It sounds as though a proposal you are may be making will not protect this timeless area - so I implore you to take the strongest possible plans for this beloved area.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Shirley Porter  
12968 Burma Rd  
Grass Valley, CA 95945

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have had the opportunity to volunteer in a national forest in California, and I see the benefits of it in every person who visits there. We NEED these places. Please continue to do the good work you do, and thank you for it!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marie Ammerman  
538 Yarrow Dr  
Simi Valley, CA 93065

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have hiked and camped in the forests for forty years now, and found the experience life changing. I have walked old growth forests with research scientists and know their irreplaceable role as reservoirs of biological possibility--the yew tree from which the cancer drug tamoxifen was derived grows only in old growth forests. For reasons both practical and spiritual, and for the joy of their existence and experience, please protect this national heritage. Once gone, it cannot be recreated.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jane Hirshfield  
367 Molino Ave  
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have many fond memories of hiking, skiing and backpacking in the Sierras. Pleas follow these recommendations to preserve this cherished land.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nancy Weninger  
72 Elm Ave  
Larkspur, CA 94939

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have never lived in a state with such a deficit of public recreation land relative to visitorship. California wildlands are massively undersized for the need. BACKCOUNTRY campsites book out 3 months in advance or more. Anything at all which threatens the natural resources of California is foolish for quality of life and economic reasons. Please continue to protect the tiny bits of wilderness that must serve not just the massive California population, but the needs of people from all over the world.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Segue Fischlin  
321 Lenox Ave  
Oakland, CA 94610

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have seen sustainable forestry activities in other developed countries, e.g., the Austrian Alps, that have protected the natural and physical environment for several hundred years at the same time as providing economic benefits to businesses. I don't understand why the U.S Forest Service can't provide these protections too. The current proposed management plans do not strike me as viable either environmentally or economically. With balanced scientific input, the Forest Service should and can do better. Please incorporate ideas from more than just big corporate interests that appear to focus only on their short-term gains.**

**Thank you for your attention and consideration.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,



Ruth K Nash  
28 Bayo Vista Ave  
Larkspur, CA 94939

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have spent a great deal of time in the Sierra, and the area is very important to me. Please do your best to protect it.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Wilcox  
1209 T St # 3  
Sacramento, CA 95811

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have spent many days backpacking in our national forests. They deserve maximum protection!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephen Ferry  
5557 Camino Galeana  
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have visited both of these parks and dozens of others. I am a former Dept. Of Agriculture biologist and this is my professional opinion.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

James Bott  
3870 Alameda Way  
Bonita, CA 91902

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have visited California's parks, forests, reserves, National Parks and forests from tent camping when we were young to glamping in my achy, old age. The public lands are a treasure for all who visit. The habitat they provide is a treasure for all species. We have to make sound decisions to protect them. I support Alternative C or a stronger form of Alternative B. Please save our forests and our planet for our children, and our diverse wildlife. We are the stewards of the now and the hereafter!**

**Christine Upton**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Christine Upton  
29850 Lakeview Ave  
Nuevo, CA 92567

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have visited these forest areas several times and they are incredible. They need to be protected to the fullest degree. There are many ways to have sustainable recreation in these areas without taking away protections. Please don't spoil these areas for the future visitors.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Dalling  
385 Omaha Ct  
Ventura, CA 93001

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have visited these forests all my life and care deeply about them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

George Lewis  
1852 6th St  
Los Osos, CA 93402



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have visited these forests and they are amazing natural environments. They deserve to be protected for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anne Frost  
3328 Lowell Ave  
Richmond, CA 94804

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have visited these forests for nearly 50 years for recreation, primarily wilderness hiking and backpacking. More low-elevation areas are needed as wilderness preserves.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Phillip and Karen Farrell  
883 Loma Verde Ave  
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have visited these forests many times over the years and value the impact they have had on me. I want my children to have the same opportunity. This can only happen if we take action to protect them. Thank you for listening.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mckenzie Rasmussen  
15188 Varsity St Apt A  
Moorpark, CA 93021

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have waited my whole life to see the redwoods. An enchanted place. We do t need yet another building. We need to hold o. To nature and our animals before they are gone forever.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Renee Quiggle  
2640 69th St  
Lemon Grove, CA 91945

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have walked through some of these forests when I was younger. I want to know that they will be here for generations to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Ivans-Ussery  
512 S Lee Ave  
Lodi, CA 95240

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I have worked for the Forest Service most of my career, and have done work on the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. They are beautiful and biodiverse landscapes, deserving of protection!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gordon Keller  
5506 Genesee Rd  
Taylorsville, CA 95983

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I hope that you will consider the above message!!  
This part of Our country is vital to all of us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kay Wickham  
3351 Kennerleigh Parkway  
Roseville, CA 94954

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I just got back from Sierra National Forest, to be there among nature is a whole different world. The animals depend on the forests for food and housing. These animals are marvelous to watch and I would like for future generations to be able to enjoy this magnificent place. Please I ask kindly that you protect these forests to the max.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elitania Tapia  
1166 Baden Ave  
Grover Beach, CA 93433



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I just hope this beautiful habitat stays as it is if not improves, for the sake of the animals--all of whom cannot voice their opinions but surely share in the concern.**

**Thank you,  
Scott**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Scott Solomon  
4724 Avalon Ave.  
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I just returned from the Eastern Sierra and feel strongly that the strongest protections should be put into place for the future of these sacred mountains and forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melanie Strickland  
1625 Applegate Way  
Twentynine Palms, CA 92277

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I just want to add that once these beautiful, unique forrests are gone, than what? Future generations, your grandchildren, won't care about Trump and his idea of no climate change. We have to protect them now so please do your job please!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Angevine  
2629 Manhattan Ave  
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I know members of the forest service want more protections for our beautiful forests so my message to you is that to the best of my ability, I will support you and all you do. The message for preservation of the forests needs to be sent to the top levels of administration. Unfortunatel for us all, until our elected officials see the light and support you, we are all doomed. I pray you can hold on until we the people can dump trump and his homies and get you the support you need.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jean Lloyd  
608 Empty Saddle Ln  
Bakersfield, CA 93309

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I know this is asking for a lot. But our recent history shows that our conservation efforts can be greatly affected by what goes on in the White House. We really need to protect our natural areas for our future. And even now. Exploitation by one or two groups decide what happens to our natural wonders. These lands should be for everyone, not someone. Please consider what is recommended here. What becomes of these beautiful places is up to you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mark Robinson  
21809 Winding Rd  
Moreno Valley, CA 92557

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I know you're not paying any attention to this message, or those from others for that matter. Only the ones from oil company lobbyists, timber executives, mining interests, and ranchers. This very fact speaks to the shame and dishonor of the Tump administration. I pity how all those who are involved in the environmental policies of Trump are going to be held to a much higher bar in the future.**

**Tim Fragapane**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tim Fragapane  
379 Kingsberry Cir  
Vacaville, CA 95687

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I like to hike and fly fish and am a member of Trout Unlimited. Protecting these environmentally important areas is critical for the continued enjoyment of citizens and the burgeoning outdoor travel and supply businesses.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Dodge  
710 Wildcat Canyon Rd  
Berkeley, CA 94708



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I like you want our grandchildren to have the opportunity to experience & share all these forests?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Irene Hilgers  
4305 Crestfield Dr  
San Ramon, CA 94582

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live 1 mile from Sequoia Natl Park. ...what is wrong with you all....you don't want future generations to see Giant Sequoia trees, only place where they live and sustain life for wildlife.....this administration is sick and cruel....you all are already killing this planet .....sickos**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lyndell Pritchett  
45417 Sierra Dr  
Three Rivers, CA 93271

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live but a few miles from both of these parks. I want my children to enjoy them as much as I have and for generations to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Lopez  
1120 N Divisadero St  
Visalia, CA 93291

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live close to the Forests, and they were one of the reasons we moved here 30 years ago! We need protection of the forest and watershed's or we will be personally effected by the resulting wildfires & could lose our home.!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

George Loeb  
45793 Cinnamon Canyon Rd  
Three Rivers, CA 93271

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live in CA & we have an incredibly beautiful & diverse state with redwoods, sequoias, deserts, mtns. Our wildlife is also diverse & it ALL needs to be protected. Please put in place measures to save the rivers & streams, manage wildfires & protect endangered species. If we don't, it will be gone & we'll never get it back.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carla Phillips  
285 Clear Ridge Dr  
Healdsburg, CA 95448

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live in Ca and my family and I camp in those forests at least twice a year! We will fight to our last breath to protect these forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Deborah Pesqueira  
4538 Edgeware Rd  
San Diego, CA 92116

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live in California and have visited the Sequoia area many times.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jeanette King  
4205 Colgate Way  
Livermore, CA 94550

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live in Tahoe City, Lake Tahoe. This area is already under immense pressure from tourism, traffic, over-development, fire hazards, and the impacts of climate change. We need to protect our national forests more now than ever given that we will likely lose millions of acres of forest every year to forest fires. Please do everything necessary to ensure that future generation will be able to enjoy the beauty found in our forests.**

**Sincerely,  
Dr. Linda Martello  
Environmental Consultant/Toxicologist**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,



Linda Martello  
PO Box 82  
Carnelian Bay, CA 96140

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live in the Sierra foothills and love spending time in my forest home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jan Hansen  
6380 Omo Ranch Rd  
Somerset, CA 95684

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I love California's wild areas! As our population grows we need more green space to remember who we are as human animals.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Tandy  
3824 Broadway Apt 2  
Oakland, CA 94611

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I love these forests and they need to be preserved for the future. Please see that they are protected with the strongest protection plan recommended by the Sierra Club. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Fran LeBowitz  
3242 Caminito Ameca  
La Jolla, CA 92037

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I loved and enjoyed these areas as a child, as a parent, and will hopefully do so one day as a grandparent. I pray that they will remain wild and beautiful for these generations as well as many to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Swain  
4824 Grange Rd  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I MOVED TO CALIFORNIA BECAUSE I WAS IN AWE OF THE BEAUTY OF THIS REGION. ALL THAT IS POSSIBLE SHOULD BE DONE TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT MY CHILDREN'S CHILDREN HAVE THE SAME OPPORTUNITY OF WONDER**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

George Dedekian  
7595 Hansom Dr  
Oakland, CA 94605

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I pray that you will never forget what these forests are. Thank you for this message, God Bless.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael Healy  
4589 La Salle Ave  
Fremont, CA 94536

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I quite agree with the above statement. We have to save these lands. Let the realtors find a more productive use for their money!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Patricia Johnston  
238 Saunders Ave  
San Anselmo, CA 94960



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I really believe that the health of our earth and especially can help save the climate, both by promoting and fostering healthy ecosystems, and by holding carbon from being in the atmosphere. The forest are so important to the health of our planet, not to mention the benefit to the millions of species that need and rely on the forest to live!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Niles Tilenius  
30 Drayton Rd  
Hillsborough, CA 94010

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I recently went on a roadtrip after graduation, exploring the western US and visiting several National and State Parks. What I saw were picturesque scenes of natural beauty that is continuing to be restored to its full potential. Do not make the serenity of a Ponderosa forest or the mystifying sighting of bears and mountain lions become joys of past. Keep on protecting them now, and for the foreseeable future!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joelle CantoAdams  
100 Brianne Ct  
Windsor, CA 95492

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I should not need to explain the importance of conservation**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Charles Alger  
3839 Vista Campana S Unit 1  
Oceanside, CA 92057

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I spend time in our public lands, I volunteer in Yosemite National Park and have the opportunity to watch our forests. Our public lands are not to be lost through weak protections.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Julice Winter  
1138 Killarney St  
Livermore, CA 94550

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I stand up with Sierra Club. Count me on the side of the trees and the species their forests support. Exploitation is a model from the past, endangering everything in our path. The new model is Cohabitation. Learn to live alongside the Species, including People, who share our home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dan Hess  
137 N Lincoln St  
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I strongly support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it,**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Schoenung  
1241 Hobart St  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I strongly support your efforts to protect our National Forests and will argue strongly to ensure that you are able to prepare and adopt the strongest plans possible. This is a critical contribution to our efforts to mitigate and turn back the impacts of climate change, efforts on which all our lives now depend.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Stein  
3015 Myrtle St., Unit 1  
Oakland, CA 94705

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I strongly urge you to protect the Sierra and Sequoia Forest lands with the STRONGEST possible protection for its ecosystem, the supporting landscape and all the living organisms! We live in an era where wild lands are decreasing rapidly and the animals and plants that depend upon those lands are quickly disappearing. The Forest Service had a duty to future generations to ensure that these lands and ALL the living organisms they support are still around for them. Don't be the people that future generations can point to and say, "You were the ones that destroyed our public lands!"**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,



Robin Barber  
40677 Andante St  
Fremont, CA 94538

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I strongly urge you to provide the strongest protections for these priceless forests now, & ensure that they are protected for the future benefit & enjoyment of all future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Varellas  
17788 Good Shepherd Dr  
Sonora, CA 95370

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I support maximum care of our wild lands and our wild species**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tina Wener  
687 Sequoia Ct  
Morro Bay, CA 93442

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I totally support the Sierra Club's recommendations for management of these forests. I have lived in California all of my life; visited, hiked and camped in many of these places, and truly hope they can be preserved for my grandchildren to enjoy in the same way. Please take the strongest possible measures to ensure the healthy future and use of these beautiful places.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kusanovich  
2717 Rock Creek Ct  
Stockton, CA 95207

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I trust the Sierra Club to use scientific methods in their analyses. You should also.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Faith King  
1056 Eden Ave  
San Jose, CA 95117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I understand your purpose in the National Forests is to adequately share the resources with lumber & mining companies, herding & water companies, rangelands, forests and more. In your stewardship I believe your responsibility needs to expand to care for and protect the unique life within the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. It is precious to me, my children and grand children and the Earth, thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nancy Walty  
6849 Escallonia Dr  
Orangevale, CA 95662

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I urge you to protect our last forests for our future**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Herting  
4099 Patterson Ave  
Oakland, CA 94619

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I value these lands! Please adopt C.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bob Lorentzen  
16630 Mitchell Creek Dr  
Fort Bragg, CA 95437



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I vote environmentalists exclusively and support them financially to the Max possible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Kriegsman  
638 Swanton Rd  
Davenport, CA 95017

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I want my children to be able to appreciate the Sierra and Sequoia national forests! Your work to protect them will be an enduring legacy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nina Foushee  
461 Hudson St  
Oakland, CA 94618

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I want my children to be able to enjoy the beauty of forests along with all of the animals that depend on the forest as their home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Laura Kellogg  
18112 Sencillo Drive  
San Diego, CA 92128

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I want my future generations to enjoy our forests. Leave them alone**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Peggy Dionne  
PO Box 1705  
Crestline, CA 92325

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I want my grandchildren to be able to enjoy these parks in pristine condition. Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Constance Phipps  
PO Box 155  
El Granada, CA 94018

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I want our grandchildren and generations to come to have the benefit of the forests and all that they inhabit. Please create the strongest protection for that to be rock solid for our future.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Parker  
PO Box 1230  
Idyllwild, CA 92549

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I want these beautiful forests to be here for generations to come!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jill Denton  
PO Box 6359  
Los Osos, CA 93412

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I was just camping in the Sierra National Forest last week, and it was magnificent. I hope with sound management guidelines, many more generations will be able to enjoy these wild spaces.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Chris Weigert  
350 E Taylor St Apt 5108  
San Jose, CA 95112



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I wholeheartedly support the preservation and conservation of these public lands and the public resources and wildlife they support!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Philip Sherman  
600 I St Apt 704  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I wonder what you plan to kill after you have killed all other life on the planet?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Esther Ciprian m  
624 Adas Ave  
Los Banos, CA 93635

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I worked for the USFS in FIRE for 6 years as a temp, 20 years with an appointment, and 4years as an A.D. Our National Forests and Parks mean a great deal to me. It seems to me that along with a better vision of these lands there also needs adequate funding from D.C.. to accomplish this issue. Many years of my 30 years of being a wild land firefighter the funding was always an issue.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joan Kissinger  
18330 Gardner  
Tuolumne, CA 95379

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I would additionally request an improved quality of management of motor vehicle use in the existing and new areas of the parks and of adjacent highways and roads (and especially off-roads!). The pollution from internal combustion motor exhaust eats away even protected forests and undergrowth!**

**Thank you!**

**Richard Forward**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Richard Forward  
3582 Garrison St voting address  
San Diego, CA 92106

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I would make this request to preserve and protect our forests regardless of the political environment, but I am especially concerned and particularly interested in urging you to draft the strongest, lock-tight, long-term protections because the Federal government keeps trying to find ways to steal (ruin) California's greatest treasures. Once they are taken, polluted, ruined - it would be very challenging to restore them. So PLEASE PLEASE consider how we can protect these precious gems in the long run. Thank you!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melinda Stoker  
67 Tum Suden Way  
Woodside, CA 94062

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm a native Californian, almost 60. We are desperately trying to keep this area green and wild. Please help.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Alison Dale-Moore  
1199 Dunsyre Dr  
Lafayette, CA 94549

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm a 5th generation Californian. My grandchildren are now 7th generation. We all have a long family history of living surrounded by nature. It is alarming to see nature being pricked to death by the slow, inexorable draining away of nature. One more mile of road here, one more acre of forest there. Piece by piece our great state is being ruined. We cannot afford any more losses. Please make sure this does not continue in OUR forest!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mark Bailey  
7636 Kneeland Rd  
Kneeland, CA 95549



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm a resident of California and a backpacker, hiker, and bikepacker. Please protect the forests! Other animals deserve a chance to live a life without our destroying their habitat and people deserve a place to enjoy nature.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kate McDonald  
1820 Point Reyes Pl  
Davis, CA 95616

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm father to twin eleven year old girls, and I've taken them to the national forests. I've shown them the Sequoias and heard them gasp in awe. Please protect these living resources for their children and all Americans.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jason Price  
28530 Rock Canyon Dr  
Santa Clarita, CA 91390

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I've grownup visiting the Sierras and want my grandchildren to be able to enjoy their beauty as I have. We must protect the Sierras so that they will always be there to bring us peace and escape when we need it.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Plyler  
1530 Dover Ave  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If Protecting our forests & wild animals is not a top priority what is? Logging, fracking, polluting the water, \$\$.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bruce White  
PO Box 66616  
Scotts Valley, CA 95067

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If the Forest Service will not act to protect the beauty of our National Forests, who will? Given the threat of wild fires due to climate change, sprawl and development are not to be encouraged in our wooded areas and especially our National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Howard Watkins  
1785 W. Dovewood Lane  
Fresno, CA 93711

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If there is too much litter on the floor of the forest and it is perceived as a fire hazard, could it be given away or sold to gardening companies and used for making garden compost?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

carolyn Wheeler  
40452 Ditmus Ct  
Fremont, CA 94538

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If they're gone they're gone forever! Why would you not wish to protect these lands properly, with proper management and investment? Seems pretty obvious to me.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dan Senior  
22138 Dardenne St  
Calabasas, CA 91302

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If we care for mother nature, she will care for us in return.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Amber Monteleone  
10 Selvino Ct  
American Canyon, CA 94503



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If we continue to put ourselves before other species and the planet, we will surely perish.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Erin Frazier  
69 Meadow Ave  
San Rafael, CA 94901

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If we do not protect what is left now of our forests, we lose not only the wildlife that depend upon them for their survival, but we endanger ourselves on the deepest levels of our humanity, our interconnectedness to all living creatures, including the forests themselves and all they sustain. Please do the right thing now, for our future and the future of not only our children, but the future of our species as human beings. Thank you for listening. R. Block, MA, MFA**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ruth Block  
5722 Huntington Ave  
Richmond, CA 94804

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If we don't protect our environment, what's left! We must protect our rivers, streams, forests and wildlife to protect what America is!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

R Lee Weir  
1375 Quail Ridge Rd  
Solvang, CA 93463

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If we don't protect the forests now, we can't retrieve them.  
Please stand with the people on this, not with industry.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John & Mary Malloy Kane  
1490 Marlborough Rd  
Hillsborough, CA 94010

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If we don't protect the land now, when will we have the chance again?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Zamorano  
254 E M St  
Colton, CA 92324

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If we take away these animals homes, we are leaving them to die, it's like the IRS taking away our homes and leaving us homeless**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

King Plasencia  
644 west elm ave #23  
Coalinga, CA 93210

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**If you don't see the need to fully protect our National Forests, then please go find another job.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Doc Pierce  
6175 Rose Valley Rd  
Ojai, CA 93023

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm 72, and 2 years ago my 2 sons & I hiked to the top of Alta Peak. If I can do that at 70, you can surely take adequate care of our beloved public lands. Please !!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Larry Taylor  
8771 Rancho St  
Alta Loma, CA 91701



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm a boomer and too poor to travel to even see any of it so it's not a selfish motivation. But I'd like our kids and grandkids and great, great children to at least have a chance to see a world not filled with freeways and oil derricks.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

George Selkirk  
8181 Folsom Blvd Spc 6  
Sacramento, CA 95826

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm a consistent Annual pass purchaser and the national parks are where I go to relax! The forest is my church, nature is my religion. Please protect all that is left! All humanity will suffer with the loss of our forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kevin Mcguire  
1551 Kolak Dr  
Ripon, CA 95366

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm aged & won't live much more than a decade. Soooo maaaany live beings are going to be forced into poor-quality life w/o the forests. Oh, hey, I can still walk & drive. Ild like to walk those forests also.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tracey Wingerter  
PO Box 241  
Fairfield, CA 94533

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm encouraged by the improvements in the revision but Alt. C is more visionary than Alt.B please support this alternative and better watershed based analysis of Wild and Scenic River eligibility - water, sediments, wood and wildlife move through a river as a watershed; we should look at these natural highways in a more encompassing way as well.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Markin Whitman  
101 Ross St Apt 11  
Cotati, CA 94931

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I'm now 72, Disabled Combat Veteran Vietnam, I have a degree in Forestry and I'm an out side up in the mountains guy. I hhave degenerating Disk so I don't go fishing as much as I use to. The reason for what I'm saying is I know the Animals, even Lions and Bears, I don't borther them and stay out of there way so they can have there life and we need them here, Please do all you can to preserve all the National wild life we have, If people were to out into the wildlife and watch and feel with there hearts they would see how wonderful nature is and how we need it to be who we are, May God Watch over our wild Country and wild life, Amen and Thank you for the opportunity God Bless you for trying to take care of our nature**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. Johnson  
73 Clinton St  
Yuba City, CA 95991

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**In 1964 waking up at sunrise as our bus traveled through the giant Sequoias from Los Angeles to Humboldt State College is one of my most joyous, awesome memories. PLEASE save the giant redwoods.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jean Halkides  
833 Mistletoe Ln  
Redding, CA 96002

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**In a world of greed our wild life is being pushed into extinction. Without strict policies of protection what's left of our forests, rivers and habitats for animals will cease to exist in the future.**

**Please keep our forests, rivers and animals safe. Human greed, hunters, companies and machines have no place in the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lynne Bradshaw  
P.O. Box 2706  
Apple Valley, CA 92307



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**In next few years human population increase and development will spread into the vulnerable wilderness areas unless stronger protection measures are implemented. For example, "No additional intrusion to wildlife protection areas of any kind under any circumstances shall be allowed."**

**Thank You,**

**Jukka Naukkarinen.**

**tax payer and supportive citizen**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jukka Naukkarinen  
8281 Lorenzo Way  
Ben Lomond, CA 95005

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**In the face of Climate Change, unparalleled die-off of trees in the Sierra and Sequoia forests, it is of paramount importance to place extremely strong protections in place for our forests and watersheds here in California to ensure the ongoing health of these irreplaceable forests which absorb Carbon Dioxide and emit oxygen into our air.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara McMullin  
1892 Mallard Ln  
Petaluma, CA 94954

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**In this era of government irresponsibility toward the people's will and to the environment that sustains us all, we need the strongest possible protections for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mary Hicklin  
5519 Clairemont Mesa Blvd # 26  
San Diego, CA 92117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**In your plans to manage the Sierra's forests for the future please protect the Sequoia.s and wild life. There are ways to be mental with the environment and ways that could destroy it. Think of the future and not of the bottom line.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sue Cleereman  
319 Fernwood Dr  
Moraga, CA 94556

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It goes without saying that we want these resources to be cherished and enjoyed by future generations and that won't be possible if we don't do everything in our power to protect them. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cari Rotoli  
200 Hudson Bay St  
Foster City, CA 94404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is a no-brained and vital for our children's future to act now and do the intelligent, moral, and right thing...protect these forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

George French  
9300 Santa Cruz rd  
Atascadero, CA 93422

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is critical that California protect its forests from the same destruction nearly every other forest in the US has seen. These beautiful places are exceedingly rare and serve as homes to wildlife that cannot exist anywhere else. We humans have taken enough land and have plenty of resources without disturbing these areas. Please protect them. It's the right thing to do**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael Hazelton  
576 S 5th St Apt 21  
San Jose, CA 95112



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is crucial that we protect our beautiful sequoia forest. Please do all you can to put healthy plans in protecting and caring for our beautiful wooden giants. Thank You**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lydia Queen  
1411 Darwin Dr  
Oceanside, CA 92056

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is imperative that our generation make sure that the wilderness be preserved for the heart and soul of generations to come**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marcy Davenport  
PO Box 113  
Tomales, CA 94971

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is important that California lead the way in combating climate change and species extinction!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Scott Mize  
598 Wisconsin St  
San Francisco, CA 94107

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is more important than ever to keep our forests safe and wild.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Funk  
95 S Market St Ste 550  
San Jose, CA 95113

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is of the utmost importance to save, protect and secure the forests of Sequoia and Sierra for all people in generations to come. Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Chesterman  
18201 Berta Canyon Rd  
Prunedale, CA 93907

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is our responsibility to assure we are protecting our forests now for future generations. The decisions made today will impact the health of the forest and all those species that depend on a health forest.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Christine Crum  
1 Shields Ave  
Davis, CA 95616

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is our responsibility to do all that we can too create the most protection possible for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Rousseau  
134 Hahn Way  
Cotati, CA 94931

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is our right, duty, and privilege to protect these lands!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Harmon  
17170 Oscar Dr  
Grass Valley, CA 95949



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is paramount that conservation, not exploitation be at the center of new policy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Ennals  
10481 Florence Dr  
Cupertino, CA 95014

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is so important to protect our planet for our kids and future generations. Nature is invaluable to mankind.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Debbie McNamara  
28111 Camellia Ct  
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is so very important to protect and preserve our Sierra and Sequoia National forests for my grandchildren and great grandchildren to experience!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sandy Gill  
2123 Rodeo Ct # 35  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is time for each of us to become more aware of our interdependence with all of nature. In our hearts we know that a more beautiful world is possible for us and our children. Please do all that can be done to preserve what we have remaining.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Muffy Weaver  
13315 Buttermilk Bnd  
N San Juan, CA 95960

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is unthinkable not to protect these irreplaceable treasures!**

**Save them!**

**Chris marsh**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Chris Marsh  
509 Park Ln  
Petaluma, CA 94954

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is up to us to protect our nations fragile eco-systems. Please protect our National Forests for the future of our nation and planet!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sandy Harrington  
1413 Paseo Belleza  
Turlock, CA 95382

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is vital that you NOT allow the forests to be logged for wildfire protection. Instead, there should be carefully managed CONTROLLED BURNS. THAT should be the management policy in California and nation-wide. Don't let wildfire issues become an excuse to destroy important and vital trees needed for reducing global warming.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nancy Macy  
15485 Bear Creek Rd  
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It is well past the time when humans need to realize that they cannot continue to strip the Earth of resources for short term economic gain. Conserve our forests. Future generations will thank you for a wise decision. Plants and animals would too if they could.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bettie Holaday  
170A Grattan St  
San Francisco, CA 94117



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It isn't a forest; this is planet Earth, wild, natural Earth, the very Earth that "alone", generates and flows all of man's life-lines for life itself. "In wildness is the salvation of the Earth, long known among wolves and planet Earth but seldom perceived by man." The father of Ecology**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Teresa Husbands  
5521 Cloud Way  
San Diego, CA 92117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It shouldn't even be a question that we save these places forever**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Woody Maxwell  
2050 Ayala St  
Ventura, CA 93001

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It will be impossible to accept a reality where these forests are stripped of what makes them forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dawna Knapp  
7251 Lillivale Ct  
Citrus Heights, CA 95621

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It would be a catastrophe in many ways if these areas aren't fully protected!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Larkin  
104 Montclair Dr  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It would be a severe imposition on the Sierra & Sequoia Forest to allow logging of any kind, we need ALL THE TREES we have to help with Climate Change.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Chips Armstrong  
67B Magnolia Ave  
Petaluma, CA 94952

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It's a crazy world, & I'm hoping to find my way back to the garden. It's scary with the fires , invasive species , rising tides , & shrinking glaciers . I'm hopeful for the potential of a green revolution . Recently retired I'm looking forward to spending more time with our common Mother Earth ...**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Schneider  
2151 Carlmont Dr Apt 104  
Belmont, CA 94002

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It's clear that the human species benefits from the existence of other life forms such as trees and plants, wildlife, the oceans and it's inhabitants. We need each other for optimal survival on the earth we share. While Native Americans knew this truth and respected it, western civilization has destroyed much of it before learning that essential truth. IT ISN'T TOO LATE to do the right thing for ourselves and other life on our planet. Don't give it up. Do the right thing and preserve life of all forms to the utmost ability - for the long range benefit of us all.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Amy Dennis  
501 Shady Ln  
Ojai, CA 93023

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It's imperative that a plan that protects these areas is a vital step in caring for our planet for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Christine Porter  
3532 Anza Way  
Chico, CA 95973



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**It's time to stop the extraction process in our public lands - go forward with renewables!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gordon & Melissa Henry  
895 Creekside Cir  
Camarillo, CA 93012

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I've been camping in Sequoia and Kings Canyon since 1946 and I don't want to see any detrimental treatment to the forests or wildlife. Keep them open and managed for the American people who's taxes provide the funds to maintain them.**

**Sincerely,  
William Howard**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

William Howard  
1942 Berkshire St.  
Oxnard, CA 93033

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I've been camping in the Sierra for over 50 years. Please protect this magical area! Use the suggestions from the Sierra Club and other environmental organizations; they're the voice of the American people. Thanks, Gail Raborn, Santa Rosa, CA**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gail Raborn  
PO Box 2703  
Sebastopol, CA 95473

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I've been visiting Parks and Forests for years. Way back in the 60s to Mineral King. Do you remember when that was threatened? Were you even alive then? Come on folks, stand up and step up to the plate for the future. I hope you can and will.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bruce Muirhead  
388 BORICA DR  
DANVILLE, CA 94526

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I've enjoyed the California wilderness my whole life and want to make sure we're protecting forests for the generations that come after us. Forests are healthier when they are whole. I hope we take the strongest possible approach to protecting these spaces.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Emily Salles  
817 Masonic ave  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I've hiked, camped, and fished in these forests for most of my life. Their protection means *\*everything\** to me. Please do the right thing, for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Juliana Fisher  
4741 Courtland Ln  
Carmichael, CA 95608

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Keep California a place people want to be. Let our forests do their job of balancing the carbon cycle and giving us the rich air we need. It's our responsibility.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Margot Johnson  
19845 Viewridge Dr  
Saratoga, CA 95070

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**keep it up and Norther America can look a lot like areas of China.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Thomas Romero  
506 Josephine St  
Alturas, CA 96101



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Keep our planet our planet. Thanks.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Harrison B. Kinney, Jr.  
43 Dutch Valley Ln  
San Anselmo, CA 94960

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Keep the forest wild and free.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Wishingrad  
1218 Castillo St Apt 3  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Keep your hands off my forest, We are parks and ALL PUBLIC lands!! We are watching and remember names of destroyers of public rights!!!!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dianne Haag  
1951 47th St Spc 141  
San Diego, CA 92102

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Keeping our forests healthy and strong is absolutely crucial for the health of our planet and all of its citizens and life forms.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Ullring  
934 Page St  
San Francisco, CA 94117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Leave California out of the conmanduh-in-chief's plan to destroy everything important to those on the left. The conman will be gone shortly, but his destruction will last generations, if not longer. Do the right thing for future generations and stop him and all republicans from further destruction of our protected lands and watersheds. Before they can destroy our pristine protected areas, maybe they should clean up their disasters first like Picher, Oklahoma. Imagine a world with no conservatives...one can dream.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ryan Pollard  
2427 Forse Ln  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Leave our parks alone, don't privatize, don't exploit, don't commercialize.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Chuck Rocco  
2298 Clover St  
Simi Valley, CA 93065

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**LEAVE PUBLIC LANDS ALONE! NO POISON BOMBS! u DONT OWN THEM WE THE PEOPLE OF USA DO1 TELL TRUMP ADMI. GO TO HELL**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marisa baldwin  
310 Del Monte Ave  
South San Francisco, CA 94080

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Let's protect what nature and wildlife!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Diana Champion  
122 Triviso Dr  
Palm Desert, CA 92211



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Let's lead the nation in the right way to protect our Land!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rhianna Miller  
628 Mill St  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Living close to Sequoia as I do, I have derived great joy from my visits there and have had the particular joy of introducing my children & grandchildren to this iconic national treasure. It is vitally important to me & my family that all of our treasured forests be preserved & protected for my grandchildren's grandchildren & beyond.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Robin Vosburg  
2400 Goldenrod St Apt 115  
Bakersfield, CA 93308

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**[Livingflorabuildings.blogspot.com](http://Livingflorabuildings.blogspot.com)**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anthony Crain  
3615 Canyon Crest Dr  
Riverside, CA 92507

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Many of us have relied on these forests for years to maintain a healthy balance and bring peace and joy to our lives. We need them now more than ever! Please do everything possible to protect and keep them!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Annette Pirrone  
1628 San Anselmo Ave  
San Anselmo, CA 94960

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Maximum protection as a hedge against climate change please.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Annalee Cobbett  
2409 Frances St  
Oakland, CA 94601

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**May future generations be grateful for your work!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Victor Kamendrowsky  
203 Hoffman Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94114

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Millions of national forest trees are dying because of climate change, drought, bark beetle infestation etc in California. It is your duty to make this RDEIS as strong as possible to protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Heather Sargeant  
PO Box 224  
Twin Peaks, CA 92391

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Modern science is continuing to find out more important information all the time about what natural mechanisms and synergies old growth forests create and sustain - which may be critical for human survival long term! We have to protect these terribly valuable environments for future study, and also to protect endangered species within them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan St Louis  
16 Clancy Ln S  
Rancho Mirage, CA 92270



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**More Forestation needed to fight Climate Change. We must do everything we can to extend and add to.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jim Standlee Sr.  
4230 Bridge St  
Cambria, CA 93428

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**More land needs to be protected. More trees need to be planted and nature restored. This is necessary for helping to reduce greenhouse gases. Please help to protect what little natural land we have. This forest is our sanctuary and healing to our souls.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Larissa Boatman  
2273 Loring St  
San Diego, CA 92109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**More protection, not less. Save our wild animals & places, please!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melinda Whitaker  
4430 Via Ventura  
Red Bluff, CA 96080

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**More than ever, the Forest Service's protection of our shrinking forest lands, threatened both by humans and climate change, is critical. As a citizen, voter and taxpayer, I rely on you to serve the interests of U.S. public lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Diana Lee  
3021 Regent St  
Berkeley, CA 94705

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**More wilderness and protections for roadless areas, more wild & scenic rivers and streams, better maintained recreational areas, roads, and trails!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Charles Ashley  
31277 Watts Valley Road  
Tollhouse, CA 93667

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Most of these trees are many centuries in the making. Don't destroy what cannot be replaced for centuries if ever.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Iva Baird  
4825 Boyd Dr  
Carmichael, CA 95608

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My and my family's wellness depends on these forest. They are a source of medicine for our busy lives. I strongly am for protecting them. Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Peter Monson  
5199 Vista Bahia  
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My children and their children deserve to see their heritage.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Martin  
42371 Greenbrier Park Dr  
Fremont, CA 94538



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My daughters and I have really enjoyed camping and hiking in the Sequoia's and the redwoods. There is nothing that compares to the awe and majesty you can't help but feel in the presence of this forests. Please do all that you can to protect them for future generations to enjoy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jason Sibley  
257 Mathilda Dr. Apt 6  
Goleta, CA 93117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My family and I expect to see a comprehensive management plan that works directly toward interconnected sustainability and on-going/future preservation-based growth/stability.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Allen Bohnert  
1854 Renoir Ave  
Davis, CA 95618

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My family and I have gone to Sequoia or Kings Canyon for our annual vacations for many years. We love the parks, the trees, the rivers and the lowlands there and hope you do everything you can and what the Sierra Club suggests to fight for these parks and their futures for our family and generations of families to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Debbie Imoto  
25055 Manzanita Ln  
Descanso, CA 91916

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My family and I implore you to please give the highest possible protection to the Sierras and Sequoia National Forest so that generations to come can enjoy and all the animals and plant life within can be preserved.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Donna Beal  
781 S Nardo Ave Apt O6  
Solana Beach, CA 92075

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My family has always enjoyed our parks with their wonderful forest lands. It would be horrible not to have these areas available to us all as well as the conservation of the land and wild life.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Valerie Smetana  
23424 Mona Marie Ct  
Hayward, CA 94541

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My family has camped, hiked, backpacked and generally enjoyed the beautiful wild places in the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. We want to see these places preserved from development that has spread through so much of California.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Fernsler  
965 N 6th St  
Grover Beach, CA 93433

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My farther worked for the Forest Service his entire career. He never would approve of destroying the forests and putting animals lives at risk. Please follow his lead.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephen Edwards  
19032 Bayhill Ln  
Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My heart breaks every time I see more land and endangered animals are threatened. We need the chain of animals to ensure a balance of nature. Save our forests and our plants, animals and humans from devastation due to destruction of common sense laws. Save the planet, please. It is our home. Thank you!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kellerman  
5858 E Gossamer St  
Long Beach, CA 90808



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My husband and I love to camp in these two national forests, and their beauty and wildlife must be protected from human traffic, development and climate change!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jenine Davison  
Box 365  
Lockwood, CA 93932

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My husband and I were camping in the Sequoia National Forest on August 15-1, 2019. We had a great time hiking, birding, and botanizing. The Sequoia NF is a spectacular and unique place -- as is the Sierra National Forest -- and both deserve the strongest possible protections in the plans you are now developing so that future generations can enjoy them just as my generation has. Please strengthen your plans significantly to protect these wonderful Forests. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Johanna Wald  
845 Ashbury St  
San Francisco, CA 94117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My name is Grace Silva and I support the Sierra Club's message.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Grace Silva  
7050 Babcock Ave  
North Hollywood, CA 91605

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My partner and his family have had wonderful times in the Sequoia forest and I would like future generations to have this same opportunity. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pam DuPertuis  
PO Box 1307  
Kenwood, CA 95452

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My sons love animals and I want to do what I can to ensure they flourish in California as much as possible**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kelly Grindstaff  
856 Jones St  
Berkeley, CA 94710

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**My visits to the Sierra and Sequoia forests always are memorable. Please protect their diversity and beauty from development and climate change.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ann Smith  
229 Devon Ave  
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Native Americans, as well as other peoples in many other places on the planet, knew that we humans are imbedded in Nature and would literally lose our spirituality if we lost this connection. If we do not protect Nature now as our treasure, we will eventually lose ours.....**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dan And Joy Meyer  
4631 Del Mar Ave  
San Diego, CA 92107

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Nearly every American President since Teddy Roosevelt has made preserving America's wilderness a national priority... until the current illegitimate, science-denying, toxic administration came to power. Trump's wholesale attempts to eradicate our precious natural environment MUST BE STOPPED! STOP THE TRUMP MADNESS!! SAVE OUR FORESTS!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Rotondi  
4711 Rising Glen Dr  
Oceanside, CA 92056



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**No development of California's Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Hirth  
1309 Cornell Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94702

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**No development, the forests belong to the Wild Animals, they are an asset to keep the forests healthy and promote responsible tourism without harming the environment and Wildlife, which contributes enormously to the economy. Protect forests and Wildlife.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Green  
PO Box 6100  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**No expanded wilderness areas should relocate any Native people's communities nor limit Native People's access to their sacred sites.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Molly Brown  
722 Meadow Ave  
Mount Shasta, CA 96067

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**No Federal Government should govern or give away any States resources.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Richard Wagner  
1121 Santa Ana Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**No more sprawl. No more encroachment in to wildlands. 60-70% of all land animals have gone extinct in the past 50 years. We are destroying the diversity so critical to a healthy, vibrant ecosystem. This MADNESS must stop. Please show critical leadership and don't allow one more acre to be intruded upon by human interests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Moira Sullivan  
308 Walnut St  
Petaluma, CA 94952

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**None of us know for sure what our children's future will look like, but I truly believe that keeping certain areas as clean as possible will only help them and our country in that future**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Teri Searcy  
2055 Helena Way  
Redwood City, CA 94061

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Not only do I care about recreation opportunities, but I am very concerned about the impact on wildlife that many of this administration's plans affect. We need to protect our forests, lakes and streams from development before it is too late.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Alice Fichandler  
4520 Greene St  
San Diego, CA 92107

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Now is not the time to reduce the size of any of our forests let alone the incredibly important stands in the Sierra and most especially the Sequoia with its iconic and irreplaceable groves and which are home to very specific species some of which are already endangered.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Florenzen  
218 Center St  
Healdsburg, CA 95448



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Now is our time to provide greater protections for rivers, streams and watersheds. It is time to ensure there are stronger protections for wildlife, We need more money allocated to fire management and I really want to keep the Endangered Species act. We owe it to future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Margaret Christoffer  
5725 Hermann St  
Oakland, CA 94609

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Now is the time to act!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Conchita Perales  
1313 Mound St  
Alameda, CA 94501

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Now more than ever it matters to protect our forests and environment ...before it's too late!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Eva Del Campo  
559 Sanchez St  
San Francisco, CA 94114

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Of course we need to protect our forests for our children and grandchildren. It's the moral action to take.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Jess-Fulwiler  
PO Box 731  
Moss Beach, CA 94038

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**On behalf of our future generations, please take action to protect our planet and natural resources! Thank you!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karina Reyes  
3391 Via Benito  
San Diego, CA 92111

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Once developed, we won't see it again.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stan Bunce  
1439 W North Bear Creek Dr  
Merced, CA 95348

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Once gone these places are not coming back. This erosion of American forests and wilderness is a oneway process. You must stop at all cost. I have been in an old growth Forrest. It is a magical experience. Even if these are no longer old growth, it is the best we can offer. Do the right thing, for generation to come.**

Sincerely,  
**Peter Aichinger**  
**(895)284-2868**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Peter Aichinger  
1687 Nordentoft way  
Solvang, CA 93463



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Once gone, they will never come back! Do your job!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael O'Neill  
307 S B St  
San Mateo, CA 94401

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Once nature and wild life are destroyed they cannot be re-created. We don't have the right to destroy what we have not created.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Birgit Urmson  
3807 Harrison St  
Oakland, CA 94611

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Once our grand trees are gone, so is the animals and all that surround the forests. Destruction is equal to destruction of our souls. BE Responsible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Debra Sherman  
5114 Parkhurst Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Once these lands are given to development, there is no coming back. We must protect them for ourselves, our children, and all future generations. We owe them at least that.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bruce Schwagerl  
3945 Texas St Apt 4  
San Diego, CA 92104

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Once these treasures are gone, they are gone forever.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sara Bruce  
689 Locust St  
San Jose, CA 95110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Once they're gone there's no going back. Then we're next. PLEASE, wake up and stop this madness.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kalyn Mccloud  
PO Box 2244  
Port Hueneme, CA 93044

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**One of the true legacies we leave our children is a clean, healthy and vital planet...we must act now and in the future to fulfill this responsibility. Our forests are at the core of this commitment.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Thomas Brown  
104 Cezanne Ln  
Folsom, CA 95630

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Or national parks and wildlife are the envy of the world. We need to keep it and improve it around children and grandchildren**  
**Or national parks and wildlife are the envy of the world. We need to keep it and improve for our children and our grandchildren!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ted Goldstein  
4822 Edgeware Rd  
San Diego, CA 92116



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our beautiful Public Lands are ours to protect and save.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Esther Mcegan  
300 Arguello Blvd Apt 201  
San Francisco, CA 94118

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our California forests must be protected. I spent over 30 years enjoying these forests in Sequoia national park and the sierra nevada forests! Please safeguard these important lands. I want my children (and grandchildren to have the same opportunity I did to backpack, hike, river raft and camp. Please save our forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joan Smithline  
1305 Johnson St  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our children deserve this! Do not let them down!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Deb Roman  
3124 Anderson Dr  
Simi Valley, CA 93065

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our children, grandchildren, and all future generations of Americans deserve to live in a country that has preserved its beautiful forests and wildlife. We owe it to them to keep it safe and wild.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Renata Ewing  
1601 Alameda Ave Apt 4  
Alameda, CA 94501

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our disappearing wild spaces are the most valuable resources we can pass on to future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Julie Ouellette  
4216 Norton Ave  
OAKLAND, CA 94602

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our family greatly values our time in nature and the inherent beauty of wild places. Please insure that Sierra and Sequoia National Forests remain a part of the natural heritage of future generations, by implementing the strongest measures of protection. With many thanks.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Edda Loranger  
1515 Timberhill Rd  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our family has hiked in the Sierra for over a hundred years, including the Sequoia National Forest and Golden Trout Wilderness.**

**Over the past 150 years, California conservation efforts have preserved our magnificent forests and wild landscapes. To allow the great sequoias to be chopped down for short-term profit to logging interests is criminal. Save our public lands for our children's children, and future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Young  
836 Tanglewood Dr  
Lafayette, CA 94549

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forest lands are what keep California great, and attract visitors from all over the world. Please protect our natural lands and forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mary Martinez  
1703 Glenoaks Blvd  
San Fernando, CA 91340



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests and the wildlife and resources within them are extremely important for our existence and health. Please takes important steps to protect them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rod Kirk  
3095 Yancy Dr  
San Jose, CA 95148

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests and wildlife are vital for all life to survive. If we don't protect it then we all lose including your families not just those of your constituents... thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mercedes Mata  
5362 Lescoe Ct  
Riverside, CA 92506

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are a previous resource both for recreation and for preserving our environment. Please build strong protections.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Seth Bates  
501 Valenzuela Rd  
Carmel, CA 93923

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are already under attack from climate change, fires, beetles etc. Please do all you can to protect them. They are our future.**

**Sally Hughes**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sally Hughes  
2210 Stuart St  
Berkeley, CA 94705

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are critical to the California environment. They help with climate, they help with biodiversity and they provide enjoyment to us humans. Please protect them to the maximum extent.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janet Walworth  
PO Box 120  
Palo Alto, CA 94302

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are home to wildlife and plant species, which are irreplaceable and must be protected. These forests also help reduce carbon emissions, which is crucial in preventing further damage to our climate. We humans also must have these green peaceful natural spaces to spend time in and which is also crucial to our health and well being, especially now because of such highly stressful times we are living in. Please, we must do everything we can to protect our forests now and for future generations. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Angelana Avila  
218 W Fountain Way  
Fresno, CA 93705

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are important for our survival, not just for the other animals. Do the right thing please!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

E Mather  
9412 Maler Rd  
San Diego, CA 92129

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are important resources that we need to protect as much as we can. Please do everything you can to protect our forests!**

**Thank you,  
Lorri Reynard**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lorri Reynard  
9200 Madison Ave Unit 152  
Orangevale, CA 95662



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are infinitely more important than the short-term interests of the corporate world. Think big,**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jim Sharp  
333 Waverley St  
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are proving to be increasingly important to the overall wellbeing of our planet And need to be protected.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anna Factor  
670 E Ocotillo Ave  
Palm Springs, CA 92264

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests are the last of long gone, ancient ones. They are beautiful, and have been around for centuries. They should continue to be around, to help protect our wildlife and produce oxygen for us. They are historical monuments that need every bit of attention we can give them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sagejane Snyder-Behr  
1111 N Cedar St Apt 3  
Chico, CA 95926

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our forests cannot be replaced. Please do all you can to protect our remaining wild lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Doris and Charlie Estudillo  
17681 Arnold Dr  
Sonoma, CA 95476

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our future depends on it! Thank you!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Hoff  
3910 West Beechwood Ave.  
Fresno, CA 93711

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our healthy existence depends upon the health of the forests. Please do all that you can to promote and adopt good forestry and environmental management practices.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Richard Youatt  
958 Mercedes Ave  
Los Altos, CA 94022

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our National Forests are a WISE LEGACY and plan! The Sierra & Sequoia National Forests need the strongest possible plans. Don't buckle under the shortsighted, greedy, ignorant suggestions by Trump and his goon squad.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Y Saavedra  
PO Box 6398  
Chico, CA 95927

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our national forests are vitally important for wildlife habitat as well as human recreation. Please make the Sierra and Sequoia National Forest Plans the strongest protections of these habitats for all the good of Americans. Thanks**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Thompson  
4634 Mint Ln  
Santa Barbara, CA 93110



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our national wildlife and forests need our protection without us they will disappear forever.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Peter Del Monaco  
2798 White Ridge Pl Apt 18  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**our natural habitats are such an important resource for people both when people are able to visit and be in the awe of nature and as a contribution to maintaining balance for the climate of our planet in which we inhabit. So pleased that in the decisions ahead that you will be taking care of this for all of humanity and protect our forests for the long term.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Pearce  
3259 Collingswood Drive  
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our natural resources must be protected. Once we fail to take the steps necessary to protect these valuable areas, it will be too late to reclaim our losses.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bob Ginther  
12631 Paseo Del Verano  
San Diego, CA 92128

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our parks and forests are heavily used which shows we need more land preserved as wilderness with careful evidence based management to avoid destructive fires. Watershed, habitat and wildlife management (meaning preservation and protection) should be top priorities.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Patti Colevas  
957 Cottrell Way  
Stanford, CA 94305

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our planet Earth is precious because of the beauty and diversity of its flora and fauna. We should show our gratitude and appreciation for these gifts by protecting and nurturing this essential part of our environment.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

May Pon  
5412 Almond Falls Way  
Rancho Cordova, CA 95742

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our public lands are not for sale. We cherish our public lands and our wilderness. No fracking, mining or oil drilling on our lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Catherine & Don Dishion  
1292 Highland Rd  
Santa Ynez, CA 93460

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our public lands, wilderness, and wildlife MUST be protected and preserved; once gone, they can never be recovered.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gail Weininger  
3009 Triumph Dr  
Alameda, CA 94501

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our rare planet and our rare democratic republic matter. There is accountability!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Martha Del Rio  
14081 Wycliff Way  
Magalia, CA 95954



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our treasured, irreplaceable Sierra and Sequoia National Forests MUST be forever preserved...for all Americans and for all future generations to come!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

P Johansen  
2066 Camel Ln Apt 8  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our wild lands are under attack from so many sides looking to make money off them , please preserve these forests for future generations to enjoy!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mark Clark  
5204 Linda Lou Dr  
Carmichael, CA 95608

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our wild lands matter! They help us fight climate change, serve as home to multiple species of animals, and offer humans teaching opportunities for future generations as to how to protect our planet. Our wildlands offer us recreational respite as well.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rondi Saslow  
5595 Taft Ave  
Oakland, CA 94618

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Our world is nothing without the flora and fauna. Please do the obviously right thing!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melinda Grant  
908 Providence Ct  
Cupertino, CA 95014

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Over many years, my family and I have spent countless times visiting and enjoying the Sierra and Sequoia National forests. Protect the forests for my family and future generations!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

P Johnson  
306 Sutton Cir  
Danville, CA 94506

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Over the years my family has enjoyed the natural beauty and availability of our national and state parks. The Sequoia groves and the majesty of our parks mean a lot to us. As an educator and administrator I want to make sure you know I support the strongest future management plan you can devise. Please preserve what we have now and increase protections for our legacy to our descendents. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael Rupp  
3463 Brook Valley Cmns  
Chico, CA 95928

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**People need their forests and wild lands for recreation in every sense of that word. Please be careful to protect our Forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Terry Brejla  
15220 McKamey Ct  
Sonora, CA 95370

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these spaces for us and the future**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rachel Gita Schiff  
2300 Eunice St  
Berkeley, CA 94708



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Diane Simmons  
4446 38th St # A  
San Diego, CA 92116

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please act now for this urgent need and precious opportunity to protect California's beautiful public lands!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janice Ross  
148 Greenoaks Dr  
Atherton, CA 94027

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please add greater protection for our precious preserves of Sequoia and Sierra National forests for future generations! Thankyou!**

**Mike Murphy**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael Murphy  
3792 Atlas St  
San Diego, CA 92111

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**PLEASE AFFORD OUR FORESTS AND GIVE THEM STRONG PROTECTIONS**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linnea Fronce  
991 Sagamore Way  
Sacramento, CA 95822

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please be responsible and do what Alternative C recommends.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Diane Pitzel  
2222 Felspar St  
San Diego, CA 92109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please consider conservation first, recreation second, and resource extraction last. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marta Beryt  
455 E Balboa Dr  
Fresno, CA 93730

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please consider preserving our forest for our future generations. Please remember and consider saving these lands and waters as well as wildlife for our children, grandchildren and future generations, that they may come to know and love this land. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Violet Henderson  
524 W Prospect Ave  
Exeter, CA 93221

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please develop a plan that will keep our forests healthy and pristine.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jerry Sullivan  
1909 Eddy Cir  
Mount Shasta, CA 96067



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do all that you can too create the most protection possible for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gregory Brown  
134 Hahn Way  
Cotati, CA 94931

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do all you can to create the strongest possible plans for the beautiful and treasured Sierra and Sequoia National Forests! As a life-long California resident I am familiar with these forests and place great value in their well-being. They provide an endless life-producing support for countless birds, mammals, fish and plant life. Thanks so much for listening!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Macraith  
2592 Maple Ln  
Arcata, CA 95521

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do all you can to protect the public good from corporate greed.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tom Williams  
5452 Adobe Falls Rd Unit 10  
San Diego, CA 92120

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do all you can to protect these irreplaceable forests that are icons of life in California as well as home to a lot of species. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Herbert Pruet  
28900 Timberline Rd  
Willits, CA 95490

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please do all you can to protect these national treasures!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melissa Miller  
80 W Hookston Rd Apt 221  
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do all you can to save the most precious National Forests of California.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Schertzer  
2621 W Highway 12  
Lodi, CA 95242

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do all you can. It's all we have, if you think about it.**

**Thank you.**

**Chuck Whitchurch**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Charles Whitchurch  
16172 Brent Cir  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do as much as possible to protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests in light of sprawl development and Climate Change.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Helen Matosich  
2340 Turk Blvd  
San Francisco, CA 94118



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do everything possible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Timothy Tomlin  
809 Lyon St  
San Francisco, CA 94115

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do everything you can to preserve wilderness for future generations. These forests are irreplaceable and my heart breaks whenever I think of how much we have already lost. Especially those beautiful redwoods.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

K Provenzano  
601 San Antonio Way  
Sacramento, CA 95819

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do everything you can to protect these wonderful and unique treasures. Thank you.**

**Sheila Barry**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sheila Barry  
1470 Sproul Ave  
Napa, CA 94559

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do everything you can to safeguard our public lands for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pamela Turner  
6042 Manchester Dr  
Oakland, CA 94618

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do everything you can to save these wild public lands. The value of them is in our enjoyment, not selling them out for profit.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hosmer  
25672 Cervantes Ln  
Mission Viejo, CA 92691

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do not reduce the protected area of the Sierra and Sequoia forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Charles Almack  
114 C Ave # 228  
Coronado, CA 92118

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please Do Not submit to the rapacious will of this rogue administration. Some or most of You got Your jobs because You love this country. help save it til we can get these jerks out of office & into prison. Protect our planet, starting here. You can.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Citizen Voter  
PO Box 113  
Westport, CA 95488

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do the right thing by our dear, dear animal and plant friends!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Chenoweth  
PO Box 1808  
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do the right thing for a change!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Glenn Bennett  
823 David Dr  
Chula Vista, CA 91910

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do the right thing for generations to come. Your grandchildren and their grandchildren depend on you doing the right thing today.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Deborah Walden  
259 S Rock River Rd  
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please do the right thing  
thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jon Walter Mocey-Hanton  
27452 country glen road  
agoura hills, CA 91301

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**PLEASE do the right thing!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anita Watkins  
6109 Westover Dr  
Oakland, CA 94611

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do the right thing.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

William Elsman  
225 S Grand Ave Apt 815  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do the right thing.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Thorsten & Gail Ostrander  
11329 Red Cedar Way  
San Diego, CA 92131

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do the utmost to protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

**Thank You,  
Mark Alexander**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mark Alexander  
27255 Lack Creek Dr  
Shingletown, CA 96088

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do this for this generation and the future.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Brian Flaigmore  
5994 Dwight St  
San Diego, CA 92105



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please do what you can to save the irreplaceable.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janet Lorraine  
2003 Burbank Ave  
Santa Rosa, CA 95407

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please do whatever is necessary to protect and develop our forests for the benefit of all living beings.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Chaney  
6281 Schindler Rd  
Newcastle, CA 95658

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please don't be influenced by short-term greed but take the long view. When our forests are gone they are gone forever. Old trees connect and work with each other, they need to stay intact. Please let's be good stewards of this beautiful world! Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Katherine McIntosh-Smith  
504 Mockingbird Pl  
Davis, CA 95616

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please don't give in to private interests! We know that sawmill owner wants to clear out too many of these majestic trees!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Chrissy Kaufman  
7653 Kensington Dr  
Citrus Heights, CA 95610

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please don't let us down. There are so few forests left.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Blincow  
8561 Hamilton St  
Alta Loma, CA 91701

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please don't miss this opportunity to protect our wilderness areas that also are vital functioning eco-systems and healthy watersheds overall. I urge you to support the strongest possible protection for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stefanie Pruegel  
1005 Minerva St  
San Leandro, CA 94577

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please ensure California has more wilderness areas and greater protections for rivers, streams and watersheds. Now is our key moment to ensure stronger protections for wildlife, at a time when Trump is working to gut the Endangered Species Act.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marc Maloney  
5802 Shadow Creek Dr Apt 2  
Sacramento, CA 95841

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please ensure the health and sustainability of the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests for future generations to enjoy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Germano  
3520 Sewell St  
Bakersfield, CA 93314



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please fight for what's right - let's protect these forests for generations. Don't fall into the current administration's goals of destroying every form of environmental protection in our country.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Apurva Dave  
1619 Jaynes St  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please follow your heart and help protect the forest for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Avril Allan  
PO Box 1737  
Cambria, CA 93428

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please get this done! There is no area in the US I care about more.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lorenzo Bavoso  
6227 Virgo Rd  
Oakland, CA 94611

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please give the strongest protection to our forests so that our children and grand-children, future generations who depend on us to protect them can enjoy this beautiful planet.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sirika Yong  
1331 23rd avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94122

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please help do the right thing!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hinds  
2451 Scenic Ave  
Oakland, CA 94602

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please help protect our poor trees, they have enough problems!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Luci Evanston  
752 Glenview Dr Apt 209  
San Bruno, CA 94066

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please help save our forest so we can keep our native animals.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ruth Rancano  
3125 Amos ct.  
Modesto, CA 95355

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please help to make a future for our children and grandchildren. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lee Lipinski  
201 Walnut Cir  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please help to preserve our wilderness and it's inhabitants**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Scott Smith  
603 Loma Prieta Dr  
Aptos, CA 95003

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please initiate strongest protections for all of our national forests**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michele Tornabene  
PO Box 1483  
Summerland, CA 93067

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please Keep California Forests Green for future generations to enjoy!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sharon Rosen Leib  
455 Barbara Ave  
Solana Beach, CA 92075

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please keep in my our growing population and the critical need for generations to come to be able to find solace and recharge in our protected forests and watersheds.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Claudia Holzinger  
PO Box 233  
Orleans, CA 95556

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please keep my family's backyard something to inspire and humble us. It should always be something to be proud of and enjoyed, not pilfered for big corporate profits.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rons Saunders  
631 N Villa Ave  
Fresno, CA 93727

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please keep our nature and animals safe and protected.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ashley Comora  
127 Santa Ana St  
San Pablo, CA 94806

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please keep the focus of your Draft Revised Plans on preservation and enhancement of the natural features of these National Forests. Exploiting resources should not be the goal of these Revised Plans!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Illig  
4036 Main St  
Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please keep the forests intact so that they can be enjoyed by future generations: your kids.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Steven and Judy Hayashi  
535 Newville Dr  
Los Gatos, CA 95032



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please keep these heritage areas intact. Not only because its the right thing to do, but because it supports the economic health of the region and nation.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Tucker  
100 Berkshire Ave  
Redwood City, CA 94063

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please know what is at stake here. OUR beautiful miracles that means so much to the people of California. Do the right thing!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Roger Stephens  
33975 Sage Rd  
Hemet, CA 92544

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please leave our National Parks and one of a kind Sequoia trees alone and keep protecting them! Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Brown  
5372 Sierra Drive  
Kelseyville, CA 95451

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please let's preserve what's left of our beautiful wild lands before it's too late.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jane Spini  
570 Hilton Ln  
Arcata, CA 95521

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please limit or put moratoriums on building and blacktop areas in these special National Forests to limit heating up the mountains and think about SOIL health, Tree health taking Carbon and putting it into the soil and cooling down the forest.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ms Vicki  
PO Box 744  
Point Arena, CA 95468

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please make an effort to maintain and sustain the forests. Not only are they home to our state flag's mascot but they are an clean our air and help produce oxygen. Our world is becoming increasingly toxic. Please take a stand and save our detoxifying Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Fatima Jamil  
1234 Greenwood ave  
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please make and implement the absolute strongest management plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. I would like these public lands nurtured and saved for all time. I know what I am talking about; I live in northern Northern California on the coast with redwood forests, which we also work hard to manage and save. Thank you for doing the right thing for all of us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Burke  
16610 US Highway 101 N  
Smith River, CA 95567

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please make decisions that will protect the forests so that they will be healthy in coming generations**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Melin-Collins  
21 Oak Dr  
Orinda, CA 94563



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please make sure that our beloved National forests get the maximum protection, which is what should be provided.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ed Van Den Bossche  
121 40th St  
Newport Beach, CA 92663

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please make sure these areas are protected to the maximum. I want my grandchildren and future generations to be able to enjoy the Sierras as I have. The loss to a species has a domino effect that ultimately leads to other losses**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

James Kinkela  
5414 Yerba Buena Rd  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please make the Draft Revised Plans the strongest, to the letter and spirit of conservation and protection of California's forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joseph Zakrzewski  
1450 Golden Gate Ave Apt 301  
San Francisco, CA 94115

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please make the strongest plans to protect endangered species and vital resources to keep California from the threats of climate change!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jim Fleming  
538 Yarrow Dr  
Simi Valley, CA 93065

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please no further development in the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ron Melin  
169 Driftwood Ln  
Trinidad, CA 95570

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please offer the strongest protections possible for these wonderful wilderness areas and help California lead the way in forest conservation**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Will Pallister  
2101 Webster St  
Oakland, CA 94612

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please plan for future generations**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Laurie Rice  
10 Eliseo Dr  
Greenbrae, CA 94904

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please preserve California! Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jill Adler  
1972 Willowleaf Way  
Manteca, CA 95337



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please preserve large forests so that my grandchildren can enjoy them**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Nelson  
8959 Bella Vista Dr  
Morongo Valley, CA 92256

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please preserve our forests for my grandchildren.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joy Takemoto  
5144 Coral Ct  
Concord, CA 94521

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please preserve our forests. They preserve us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Hoskinson  
813 Old Farm Rd  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please preserve the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests to be as healthy and as natural as you can. We need intact ecosystems, thriving forests, and pristine watersheds in our public lands. And as a person witnessing the wonders of the natural world, it lifts the spirits in a way no place else can. Please protect these wonderful forests!! Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jean Andrews  
1025 Laurent St  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please preserve these national treasures for my grandchildren**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Maryjo Morris  
140 W Pioneer Ave Spc 122  
Redlands, CA 92374

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please preserve these vulnerable public lands for present and future generations. It's your responsibility to do so! If you don't, who will?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lisa Stanziano  
227 Eureka St  
San Francisco, CA 94114

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our National Forests. They mean the world to me and can't imagine life without them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Geoff Quinn  
14618 Tyler Foote Rd  
Nevada City, CA 95959

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect ALL of the Sierra. It is a very special and unique place that should be cared for so it can be enjoyed responsibly for generations to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susanna Wise  
4427 Sierra Del Sol  
Paradise, CA 95969



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect all our wild lands and the animals that live there. Future generations should be able to enjoy what we do. Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bill Gurney  
PO Box 884  
Novato, CA 94948

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect California's forests! I spent many years backpacking in both the Sierra and Sequoia forests and want future generations to be able to enjoy them as well. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Stewart  
297 Alta Vista Ave  
Los Altos, CA 94022

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect California's natural beauty and resources for generations to come! We need to develop sustainable and responsible policies to protect our environment!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Julia Kitay  
1001 Rolling Woods Way  
Concord, CA 94521

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect Nature. We only have one place like the Sierra in the whole world.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Raffaele Gesulfo  
3440 25th St  
San Francisco, CA 94110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forest from development. Once they are invaded, they are lost forever.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Warren Eakle  
2 Santa Victoria Ct  
Novato, CA 94945

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests and all public lands!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Steven Bal  
6717 Friars Rd Unit 85  
San Diego, CA 92108

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests and the wildlife there with the strongest possible plans**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Admjane Macfarlane  
3250 Wilshire Blvd Ste 1106  
Los Angeles, CA 90010

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests and wilderness environments!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ellen Schulz  
100 Marin Valley Dr  
Novato, CA 94949



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests and wildlife habitats. Our State is already over-populated and we don't need to build any more as we don't have enough water to support more buildings.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Case  
4007 Hummingbird Way  
Clayton, CA 94517

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests and wildlife to the highest degree. We need them now more than ever.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gayle Fieldgrove  
7604 Wide Loop Rd  
Bakersfield, CA 93309

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests and wildlife.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Virginia Bradford  
70 Prince St  
Banning, CA 92220

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests for future generations**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Bartz  
1601 Burroughs St  
Oceanside, CA 92054

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests for our children and their children.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marguerite Etemad  
929 Broderick St Apt 5  
San Francisco, CA 94115

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests for our children!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Connie Wilson  
1658 Scott St  
Saint Helena, CA 94574

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests for our children!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marguerite Pelose  
678 Chapman St  
San Jose, CA 95126

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jerami Prendiville  
12619 Ridge Dr  
Santa Rosa Valley, CA 93012



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests, for my kids and grandkids!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melinda Teves  
1921 Spruce Ave  
Chico, CA 95926

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mark Bosler  
1925 Palm Ave  
Chico, CA 95926

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anne Ramirez  
611 Brizzolara St Apt 108  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests. Once they are gone, they will be gone for all the generations to come, children who will not experience the beauty and joy of the mountains and all they contain.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Catherine Glahn  
1976 Lexington Ave  
San Mateo, CA 94402

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests. They are the lungs of our earth and bring us so much beauty. For the sake of future generations...please care for them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

joui hinman  
209 Anderson Ave  
Winters, CA 95694

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our forests; as Earth's forests burn, they become increasingly invaluable!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nancy Petitti  
4242 Porter Rd  
La Mesa, CA 91941

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our increasingly valuable forests, flora and fauna from greed, destruction and exploitation, thank you!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Conor Soraghan  
4538 Saratoga Ave  
San Diego, CA 92107

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our legacy of natural and wild places for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Diane Merrick  
238 Mayo Ave  
Vallejo, CA 94590



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our National Forests! Once they are developed they are gone forever**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Hershberger  
818 Channing Way  
Berkeley, CA 94710

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our national treasures...**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rob Straus  
4153 George Ave  
San Mateo, CA 94403

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our planet and animals that live here.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sonia Fletcher  
1525 Highland Dr  
Mount Shasta, CA 96067

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our public lands from development to serve generations to come and the animals that live there.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sandy Lowder  
100 Park Plaza, Apt #2901  
San Diego, 92101, CA 92101

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our public lands from expansion which would leave no forests etc.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Robyn Little  
509 Brown St  
Napa, CA 94559

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our resources from exploitation! No private gain on public owned lands! Trees are a carbon sink - listen to science.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sharon Mulkey  
2550 Cienaga St Spc 45  
Oceano, CA 93445

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our trees!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Alice Henneberg  
1201 E Vista Chino  
Palm Springs, CA 92262

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our valuable forests in California.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Schreiber  
458 Maplewood Ave  
San Jose, CA 95117



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect our wild lands. They are precious to us all**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lisa Mingear  
25251 Barque Way  
Dana Point, CA 92629

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect Sierra and Sequoia National Forests**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karyn Kraft  
31 Lovell Ave  
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect t our diretsa d the wild life thereof**

**Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gwen Sorosky  
2 Rue Chantilly  
Newport Beach, CA 92660

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect the future of the Sierra and Sequoia forests! They are wonderful areas that need to be preserved.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janice Jones  
2612 Tulare Ave  
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect the Sequoias. So many things that time and they said the oldest living things that we've identified. If you've ever seen one return the favor and protect them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Hale  
3476 16th Street  
San Francisco, CA 94114

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect the Sierra & Sequoia groves! We love our public lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Knapp  
4950 Hackberry Ln  
Sacramento, CA 95841

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect the Sierra and Sequoia forests for future generations. These forests are our legacy. Once destroyed they can never be replaced. These forest systems protect plant and animal habitats and help to enhance air quality. It is absolutely unthinkable to consider anything but a science based vigorous and strong management plans to protect these precious places.**

**Thank you for protecting our future.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Brophy  
2424 De La Vina St  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect the wildness and integrity of the forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sigal Tzoore  
320 Cervantes Rd  
Portola Valley, CA 94028

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these areas of forest. Enough is enough with developers in this state...It's out of hand and too much about money....Karma time!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Florence Assalit  
500 Ramona Ave Apt 118  
Monterey, CA 93940

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these beautiful forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rachelle Mazar  
29 Pillon Real  
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these beautiful lands. Once built on, can never be the same. We need open land to enjoy. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sheri Veta  
96 Sandpiper Ln  
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these Forests and lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sherry Dunn  
10366 Bar Hill Rd  
Penn Valley, CA 95946

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these forests for our future generations and for all of Nature that lives within them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marie Nelson  
324 Brown St  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these iconic forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Knoll  
2 Irwin Way Apt 208  
Orinda, CA 94563

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these irreplaceable lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Burkett  
2991 Royal Dr  
Cameron Park, CA 95682



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these lands with the strongest actions possible. If we don't, who knows how devastating it could be to our future.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Leeann Montemayor  
424 Ocean Mist Pl  
San Diego, CA 92154

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these sacred places and their inhabitants as though they're the most important 2+ million acres on this earth! The wild lands, rivers, animals, old-growth trees, insects, and plants that call the Sierra and Sequoia home can never be replaced once they're gone or dramatically changed.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susie Foot  
1873 Cliff Ave  
McKinleyville, CA 95519

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these tree colonies with canopies that enhance our daily lives and provide oxygen for the human species.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Vita Miller  
1205 Bay Oaks Dr  
Los Osos, CA 93402

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect. Can't go back once they are all gone. this forever!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Patrick Dalton  
226 Coventry Dr  
Campbell, CA 95008

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these forest for our grand children and their children  
Bernard**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bernard Bruand  
3100 Monterey St  
San Mateo, CA 94403

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please provide maximum protection to our wildlands. thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Grable  
PO Box 1111  
Shingle Springs, CA 95682

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please read. Thanks**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Roberto Morales  
714 West Olympic Blvd, Suite 1000, 1000  
Los Angeles, CA 90015

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please recognize the need to look out for Californias forests and animals that depend on them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Angela McClure  
225 Horizon Cir  
Grass Valley, CA 95945



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please remember that you hold these places in trust for future generations. Please act accordingly.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Shibani Ghosh  
4613 Collwood Ln  
San Diego, CA 92115

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please remember the land belongs to all of us and must be preserved for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Claire Simonich  
411 Magellan Ave  
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save California's precious lands and all it's wild animals. Our future generations deserve to appreciate it's beauty too! Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Martinez  
2677 Tipton St  
Cambria, CA 93428

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save our national forests for generations in the future!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Antoinette Guynes-Garrison  
1499 N Mulberry Ave, Upl California 91786  
Upland, CA 91786

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save our national forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jeff Willix  
936 Alyssum Rd  
Carlsbad, CA 92011

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please save our nature for all to enjoy, may you legacy be one of hope and life**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stacy Guillén  
1234 Sunflow Dr  
Oceanside, CA 92056

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save our whole environment, for the ages to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sandra Mcpherson  
1111 Alvarado Ave Apt 245  
Davis, CA 95616

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save our wild lands!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joy Smith  
11084 Avenida Playa Veracruz  
San Diego, CA 92124



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save the forests for your children and grandchildren!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Gilcrest  
717 Ashton Oaks Ct  
San Ramon, CA 94582

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save the over 2 million acres in the Sierra and Sequoia region! We need all the trees right now!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Callie Telikicherla  
2815 Stanton St  
Berkeley, CA 94702

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests! These areas are a treasure that would belong to America's future generations!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Laurel Rutz  
1974 Revere Ct  
Vista, CA 92081

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save these beautiful forest for the future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jean Frederick  
2045 W Visalia Rd  
Exeter, CA 93221

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save these beautiful public lands and habitat for all natures creatures and mankind**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tricia Kintigh  
PO Box 254  
Gasquet, CA 95543

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save these irreplaceable forests and protect them from attacks by evil, money-grubbing humanoids.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Frances Whiteside  
5453 Hawthorne St  
Montclair, CA 91763

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please save what's left for my grandchildren and their children. So little left to protect.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Helen Depasquale  
2412 Julliard Cir  
Roseville, CA 95661

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please secure the Sierra and Sequoia forests the protections they deserve for future generations and the health of these ecosystems.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Cost  
6141 Nelson St  
San Diego, CA 92115



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please seriously consider these issues and protect the forests. There's no going back. Many wonderful family memories, summer camp memories reside there. It is a source of pride for me to take international visitors to the Sequoias and show off what they do not have in Europe. I was raised and have raised my children to respect, learn from and feel the magic of the Sequoias. I want to be able to do the same with y future grandchildren.**

Sincerely,

**Valerie Clark**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Valerie Clark  
473 Woodbridge St  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please set CA apart f/ the nation as a leader and preserve these lands and their creatures with the best plan possible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melody Brown  
4255 Spring Ct  
La Mesa, CA 91941

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please stop the suburban sprawling. Suburbs are ugly anyway, and create a lot of obesity with people who always drive, it creates pollution and many other problems.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rene Martinez  
352 Victoria St Apt 20  
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please strongly limit development / suburban sprawl; it's ugly, gets low marks for environmental, sustainability, and contributes to degradation of forest environment**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Walter Bankovitch  
2213 Spaulding Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please support Alternative C or strengthen Alternative B to recommend more wildlife areas! THANK YOU!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janet Flanagan  
PO Box 44  
Platina, CA 96076

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please support Alternative C, which recommends far more wilderness protection, proposes more acres of forest restored through prescribed and managed fire, and more riparian and meadow restoration than Alternative B.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

VALERIE CHERESKIN  
1364 CALLE CHRISTOPHER  
ENCINITAS, CA 92024

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please support C and Alternative C for stronger protections of our National Forest. Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Julia Cichon  
910 W 26th St Apt 8  
San Pedro, CA 90731



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please support plans that have the most possible protection for these forests. The impact on the ecology and the impact on the human population was never more needed than at this time.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Geraldine Wadia  
1079 Craig Ave  
Sonoma, CA 95476

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please take care of these forests**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Ross  
235 Mountain View Ave  
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please take this seriously??**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dianne Lamprecht  
492 Day Rd  
Ventura, CA 93003

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please try your best to do the right thing and you know what that is!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Perez  
1545 Grass Valley Hwy Apt 22  
Auburn, CA 95603

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please! please! protect our majestic beauty**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Yost  
15675 Lake Arthur Rd  
Auburn, CA 95602

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please, I beg you to preserve these National Forests and protect the Animals. Our Future children deserve to see these beautiful places and we all should be understanding that once they are gone it's forever and we will all be sickened. Please, I'm begging that these National Forest are preserved.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Francesca Stensland  
240 Caldecott Ln Unit 317  
Oakland, CA 94618

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please, I repeat, I strongly support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it! Only as a lessor option, if chosen, it is important to strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nina Powell  
31511 Ridgewood Way  
North Fork, CA 93643

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**please, please don't betray the future for the short-term present. Nobody's making more forests!**

**Thanks.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Don Person  
1651 Ramblewood Way  
Pleasanton, CA 94566



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please, please increase protection of the Sequoia forests. Please.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Penny Porter  
1329 Pacific Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please, please save our natural habitat and all species of animal life. Save our planet for your grand children and mine.**

**Thank you Win Griffen**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Win Griffen  
573 S Boyle Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90033

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please, please, please act to protect these treasures.**

**You are smart, you have a conscious for generations to come..you can be a hero. I will look for your good action.**

**Thank you Kimberly Morales**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Morales  
242 Peach Grove Lane  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please, save our natural resources, help humans to understand their impact while using public lands and build, preserve & protect healthy ecosystems in these precious Californian environments!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dianne Yost  
5933 Garrapatos Rd  
Carmel, CA 93923

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Long  
62 Buena Vista Ter  
San Francisco, CA 94117

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Preserve the old growth and stop clear cutting. I can see it on Google maps**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Philleo  
552 Bean Creek Rd Spc 71  
Scotts Valley, CA 95066

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Preserve these iconic trees and spaces, please.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jenny Saar  
1627 Parklawn Dr  
El Cajon, CA 92021

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Preserving and enhancing the health of our natural resources is an ESSENTIAL part of our health and well being ... and all life. It's all one!**

**THINK, IT'S ESSENTIAL!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

A Hernday  
5851 Monte Verde Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Pristine wilderness is a priceless rarity. We must save it and protect its gifts to all of us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Beatrice Lopez  
137 N Berkeley Ave  
Pasadena, CA 91107

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**PROTECT OUR CA FORESTS!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tami Dorraugh  
23641 Stagecoach Rd  
Volcano, CA 95689

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests and the animals that live there! They need our protection and help.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Fiorella Russo-Jang  
2415 Deer Tree Ct  
Martinez, CA 94553

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests and wilderness areas and by doing this all animals are protected and the human population will have access to true nature. Thank you!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Maria Avila  
2419 Waters Edge Way  
Sacramento, CA 95833

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests especially the special ones like these. This is your job!!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Grenetz  
1047 Lehigh Valley Cir  
Danville, CA 94526

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests for future generations to enjoy!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Desmond  
551 Camino Tierra Santa  
Camarillo, CA 93010

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect Our Forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Baca  
1 CYCLOTRON Rd  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Oxley  
PO Box 1626  
Capitola, CA 95010



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests, protect our public lands, protect our environment. Protect our future and our planet. Show some wisdom and some demonstrate some ethics.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Herbert Thorne  
335 W Bissell Ave  
Richmond, CA 94801

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Xavier  
3252 Guillermo Pl  
Hayward, CA 94542

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kate Cozart  
12301 Kensington Rd  
Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests. No oil drilling "needs" to be done there. Plenty of other places if we cannot get ourselves off fossil fuels. To me, every time we drill, we step back from alternative energy. Guess what? I am a Republican who cares about God's creation.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Calderon  
PO Box 2732  
Oxnard, CA 93034

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our forests.  
Keep them wild, free, and public!**

**Richard**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Richard Schatzman  
850 W Grand Ave Apt 5  
Oakland, CA 94607

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our natural assets for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Betsy Kramer  
2616 Pacific Ave  
Stockton, CA 95204

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our precious resources!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ken Lovgren  
1193 Palmetto Ave  
Chico, CA 95926

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect our world.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Diana D'Itri  
12655 Kewanna Rd.  
Apple Valley, CA 92308



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect the Earth and it's animals. Our natural resources NEED to be protected, ALWAYS and at ALL costs!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kiera Fisher  
7726 , Inverness drive  
Newark, CA 94560

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect the environment. That is your only job. If you don't want to protect the environment, resign.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jorge De Cecco  
705 N State St # 268  
Ukiah, CA 95482

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect the forests. Protect our ecosystem before it's too late.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nicole Vernstrom  
486 Sycamore Dr  
Wofford Heights, CA 93285

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect the Sierra & Sequoia forests for wildlife & future generations. Take responsible stewardship with local communities & conservation groups. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Margaret Cohea  
1327 Elm St  
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect today for all of our futures. Do no harm. Stand by the ESA as bipartisanly written in 1973!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anabel Crouch  
9526 Madrid Way  
Elk Grove, CA 95758

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect US forests for future Americans**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jocelyn Levy  
1525 Hidden Terrace Ct  
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protect, value, and appreciate our National Forests. They cannot be replaced !!!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Gann  
PO Box 3188  
Lake Arrowhead, CA 92352

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protecting forests and wildlife are an important part of what makes the West a special place for we, the residents, and visitors to our state.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janice Sweeney  
1736 8th Avenue  
Sacramento, CA 95818



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protecting nature is the most important thing we can be doing now, in our time of climate crisis. Preserving forests is vital to human survival.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Ohsiek  
12110 Mead Rd  
Middletown, CA 95461

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protecting wild habitat, especially our local ones here in CA, is of utmost importance to me. I want to preserve these areas forever for my children and their children. Please create the strongest and most robust plan for protection and secure these treasures for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Casipit  
20 Buckingham Dr  
Moraga, CA 94556

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Protection of wild areas is our stewardship responsibility and commitment for ourselves and future generations. Do the right thing!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

William Cox  
2574 La Crescenta Dr  
Rescue, CA 95672

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Public lands in the US are one of the most valuable resources we own as a nation. Good management is crucial to maintaining their integrity.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Peter Stricker  
2835 Verde Vista Dr  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Recently, I was in Kings Canyon/Sequoia National Park. The National Forest from Kings Canyon to Sequoia is an area that desperately needs protection. Some of our most magnificent Sequoias, such as the Boule Tree are in this section of the National Forest. Not only should this National Monument be protected, but it should be included in the two National Parks that flank it. Our Sierra forests are a rare gift and should be subject to the most stringent management plan, one that will insure continued benefit to all Americans. Clear cutting and thinning should play no part in this plan. They are not in the best interests of the forest. These practices benefit no one but the logging industry. I love our National Parks and Forests and have for 76 years. Now is the time for my grandchildren to benefit from them, as well.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Annette Cadosi Wilson  
1421 W Dry Creek Rd  
Healdsburg, CA 95448

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Remember, trees are prime fighters against global warming and animals are going extinct at an alarming rate, so forests are essential.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jimmie Gray  
40492 Marsha Ct  
Hemet, CA 92544

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Responsible recreation should minimize motorized vehicles. Fire protection should not include removal of large trees**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jerry Bernhaut  
23 Woodgreen St  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Rita and I are going up to Sequoia NP tomorrow morning. We go every year. We've seen the deterioration of services and upkeep in the last two years. PLEASE let's not let our National Parks be ruined by both business interests who want to log, mine, and strip public lands, but of individuals who graffiti the parks, destroy icons, and trash the parks. We need vigilant enforcement...that is money well-spent for the preservation of our national treasure for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Shockley  
14760 Valerio St  
Van Nuys, CA 91405

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save California's beautiful forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Evan Jane Kriss  
26 Cloud View Rd  
Sausalito, CA 94965

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save our Earth!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Julie Reynon  
3840 Graham Island Rd  
West Sacramento, CA 95691

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save our forests**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Brenda Bergstrom  
2066 Del Rincon Pl  
Escondido, CA 92026

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save our forests!! We cannot lose them..**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Regina Basurto  
3065 Half Moon Bay Cir  
West Sacramento, CA 95691

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save our forests....forever!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nan Singh-Bowman  
10361 California Dr  
Ben Lomond, CA 95005

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save our Sierra and Sequoia forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Thomas Laferty  
15695 Avenida Florencita  
Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save our wonderful National Forests here in California!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Meredith  
518 Quail Walk Way  
Rio Vista, CA 94571



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save public lands for our children's children !**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Benzwi  
5253 Harbord Dr.  
Oakland, CA 94618

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save the Animals**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

George Schiffner  
1714 Cherryhills Ln  
San Jose, CA 95125

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**save the animals!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Maurice & Leeann Robinson  
28 Dover Pl  
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save the forests and Cool the planet !**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Trevethan  
238 Lakeview Dr  
Napa, CA 94559

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save the parks for future generations**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

James Bartley  
35749 Holly St  
Yucaipa, CA 92399

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Save the trees !**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Andy Farro  
1080 Saint Andrews Dr  
Discovery Bay, CA 94505

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Seems to me that good forest management is to keep development away from it. If humans are living there, considerations of human life and property become primary. The land isn't needed for housing. Population densities are low in these areas where people want the illusion of being "close to nature." But the infrastructure of roads and utilities impact the environment. If this is about the forest, then it should be about keeping people away.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pamela Richmond  
PO Box 564  
Santa Cruz, CA 95061

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Sequoia and Kings Canyon are my favorite National Parks. They are home to the giant sequoias which must be protected. These are the closest of the giant trees to my home in San Diego, more accessible and less crowded than Yosemite. We need more such spaces, not fewer.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jana Birch  
2346 Wales Dr  
Cardiff, CA 92007



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Short term resource exploitation benefits are not worth environmental destruction.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephen Keese  
310 Cypress Dr  
Fairfax, CA 94930

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Sierra and Sequoia forests are wonderful, majestic places and should be preserved, not threatened.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Paula Katz  
2233 44th Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94116

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Sierra and Sequoia National Forests, along with all of the National Public Lands in the United States, needs to be protected. They are our history and our children's future. They are beauty, wonder and and play an intricate part of balance in nature. We must do all things possible to protect them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Terrill McMahon  
998 38th Ave Spc 3  
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Since I was 8 my parents took me camping in the Sierras from that experience we carried it through with our children. 40 + years of camping in Yosemite have given us the appreciation of the benefits our National Parks provide to all Americans. Their invaluable and must be preserved.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Matthews  
13810 Condesa Dr  
Del Mar, CA 92014

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Slow down!.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Thad Waterbury  
133 E Dodge Ln  
Sonora, CA 95370

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**SO IMPORTANT to protect these 2 forests...(and all others!).**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Christopher Horner  
90 Virginia Ln  
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**So many plants, animals, forest and too soon people are on the endangered species lists....let's start with the forest to in reality save our lives. Thank you for what ever you can do!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jessica Martinez  
236 El Conejo Dr  
Ojai, CA 93023

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**So many things are threatening our national forests in our wildlife throughout the world already. Please do all you can just drink and protections for our beautiful National forests in California!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sonja Derose  
PO Box 279  
Foresthill, CA 95631



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Some of the most beautiful places anywhere are in the Sequoia's. Keep and nurture what you want your children and grandchildren to see.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gary Wayne  
29 W Islay St  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Species diversity, recreation opportunities, and protection of our national heritage are more important than the short term profits of exploiting the Sierra's natural resources. It is your job to protect these lands not exploit them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kristofer Kendall  
10800 Donner Pass Rd Ste 300  
Truckee, CA 96161

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Spending time in wilderness areas and National Forest campgrounds has been one of the most important parts of my life and the life of my friends and family. There is no substitute for wild lands and wild rivers, nothing that can come close to the beneficial effect spending time in wild areas has on the moral fiber of human beings, on their spiritual growth, on their hearts and minds. No substitute. These areas must be preserved.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Shelley Gault  
481 Mountain Dr  
Santa Barbara, CA 93103

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Stop the encroachment into the Sierra and Sequoia Forest. Develop programs that protect Forests from Climate change and near to their area sprawl and other pressures.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

William Grgurich  
33 Encina Ave Apt 519  
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Stop the insanity .**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bruce Coston  
1055 Manhattan Ct  
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**STOP YOUR DAMNED RUINATION OF "OUR" LANDS!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Erskine  
1169 Colusa Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94707

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Study after study shows that the health of these areas is vital to the physical and emotional health of human visitors.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Shelley Strohm  
2821 Steensen St.  
Lake Isabella, CA 93240

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Sustainable use, not destructive use is key.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Grace Tam  
934 Grizzly Peak Blvd  
Berkeley, CA 94708



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Take nature seriously, her anger is been seen in the dramatic weather patterns on earth**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michele Sanderson  
3417 Tice Creek Dr  
Walnut Creek, CA 94595

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Taking care of our wilderness & the souls that live there is both our duty & an honor.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kate Lee  
224 Bosworth Street  
San Francisco, CA 94112

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you again for this opportunity to be part of the decision making.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nita Kenyon  
1820 11th St  
Los Osos, CA 93402

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for a strong plan for our forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barb Sidener  
54 Sylvan Way  
Quincy, CA 95971

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for considering my comment.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Tao  
241 Geil St Apt A  
Salinas, CA 93901

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for considering my views.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ben Martin  
49 Showers Dr Apt A340  
Mountain View, CA 94040

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for doing everything you can to protect our National Forests an the flora and fauna that call them "home."**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael Ireland  
PO Box 1048  
Groveland, CA 95321

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for holding the Trump admin accountable for preserving our forests**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Hayes  
220 28th St  
San Francisco, CA 94131



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for protecting our forests, crucial to the health of our planet and all of us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Suzy Kosh  
1155 Grand Teton Dr  
Pacifica, CA 94044

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for protecting these beloved and highly travelled to National Forests . recreation destinations. In a time of misunderstanding the value of Nature, it's our time to preserve it more than ever. It's never too late to make better decisions to protect what is more important to the many, instead of the few. I commend you on your future conservation and preservation of these majestic lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dawni Pappas  
112 Pine Pl Apt 1  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for supporting Alternative C!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

L Watson  
PO Box 1081  
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for taking the time to read this and for your serious consideration of expanded protections for our national forests. Please move forward with Alternative C and further expand on it as so many environmental groups have recommended. I have spent much time this summer camping and hiking in our wilderness areas, National Forests and National Parks. I am more convinced than ever that protection of these places, water and wildlife is a gift from our ancestors to us and that we need to continue and expand that legacy for our children and grandchildren. Thank you so much, Bill Fournell**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bill Fournell  
594 27th St  
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Sierra and Sequoia Forests. They should be preserved and kept clean at any cost for many decades to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathy Monteleone  
33671 Landerville Blvd  
Lake Elsinore, CA 92530

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for the work you do safeguarding our state's National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dawn Maxon  
641 Fairmede Ave  
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for working alongside us to protect our planet for the future of humanity and all living creatures that call Earth home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Simpson  
4660 N River Rd  
Oceanside, CA 92057



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for your attention.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Yvette Martin  
1624 N Leila St  
Visalia, CA 93291

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for your consideration.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anne Smith  
37 San Mateo Rd  
Berkeley, CA 94707

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for your support to keep these precious resources preserved for the future generations to come!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jeff Del Toro  
12516 Sundance Ave  
San Diego, CA 92129

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you for your time and consideration. It really means a lot.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janet Warren  
PO Box 932  
Borrego Springs, CA 92004

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you Sierra Club for fighting the good fight.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janet Culp  
103A Caledonia St  
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank You!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Donald Wenger  
13217 Aurora Dr Spc 63  
El Cajon, CA 92021

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mark Bartleman  
1984 Del Mar Ave  
Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Hope  
345 Church St  
San Francisco, CA 94114



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Patricia Linder  
839 Bend Ave  
San Jose, CA 95136

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Thanks**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jomel Sodusta  
181 La Venta Dr  
santa barbara, CA 93110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The 2.1 million acres in the Sierra and Sequoia are home to giant sequoia groves, vulnerable wildlife like bighorn sheep and the California spotted owl, and miles of wild and scenic rivers. Let's do all we can to keep it for future folks.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Terry Hawkins  
1505 Gough St  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The best times of my life were hiking and camping with my wife in the Sierra and Sequoia forests. We always looked forward to when we could hit the road and get to places that restored our faith in life and God. Those forests are the most precious resource I can think of. Especially with climate change, they are endangered and need vigilant and loving care to keep them from harm and encroachment from development. Please do everything in your power to protect them to the utmost, to expand their reach, and keep them the majestic works of God that they are and should be forever.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Randy Nichols  
2718 Piedmont Ave Apt 9  
Montrose, CA 91020

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The California forests are my second home. They have been integral in my upbringing and my life and will forever be a huge important part of my existence. They must be kept pristine.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Matt Kellison  
1857 Stonecrest Dr  
Roseville, CA 95747

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The climate crisis demands the most from our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anthony Owen  
550 Union St Apt A16  
Arcata, CA 95521

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The delicate balance sheet of nature depends on these forests. If we continue to destroy, disrespect and disparage these sources of and for life, we will be next on the extinction list. Our children deserve and must receive better than what the current government is drilling digging plundering and draining for them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Traford Burke  
8 E Valerio St  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Earth uses the trees to give off oxygen. Please do not take it away.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathy Vance  
447 Avenida Sevilla Unit A  
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The exploitation and destruction of lands in unsustainable ways needs to stop. Invest more in renewable resources before you ruin a habitat forever. I honestly cannot believe i am having to do this**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Andrew Gonzalo  
6517 Crystal Springs Dr  
San Jose, CA 95120

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The first (and only) time I saw a bear was truly majestic. Like a character from a story book coming alive. Kids know that our planet is magical, but as we seep further into a world of concrete and computer screens, this majesty seems more like fiction. Keep the magic alive for adults and children alike.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Katie Dunne  
3239 Galindo street  
Oakland, CA 94612

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Forest Service has become just one more government agency doing the bidding of the pretend-president and the corrupt GOP, who care only about making money, and not at all about protecting our environment from money-making schemes. You need to step up and do the right thing, instead of just wearing the brown lipstick.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kay L.  
299 Juana  
San Leandro, CA 94577

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The forests are a priceless heritage and must be protected**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Mooers  
524 Spring St  
Nevada City, CA 95959

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The forests are a resource for peace of mind, walking, hiking, camping, and for all generations to feel their connection with the Earth. We need to preserve when for the health of our environment and future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Deena Brown  
640 Adams St  
Albany, CA 94706

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The forests are home to so MANY animals and our wanton destruction of them will in fact end up causing the worlds demise! The oceans are dying faster than anything and being over fished and when you kill plants and trees, you're choking off our oxygen!! Stop destroying and start building up our planet!! We've only got this one!! So how you going to save it? Hmmmmm?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Laura Hawkins  
4325 Pleasant Ct  
Cottonwood, CA 96022

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The forests are rich and necessary habitats. Please be responsible and preserve them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Christina Curley  
250 Terrace St  
Auburn, CA 95603



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The forests of California are its most treasured and irreplaceable resource; don't allow this administration's short-sighted plans for development to ruin them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Thomas Ronca  
12325 Moorpark St Apt 202  
Studio City, CA 91604

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The forests under discussion are where I stomped around as a youth born and raised in Visalia, California. They remain special to me and I visit them often, hiking and backpacking in their beautiful territories.**

**So I want the Forest Service to ensure the highest possible protection for those lands from development pressures. We have too little unmarred land as it is, having allowed development for so long before any environmental consciousness arose in the U.S.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Chris Hamilton  
1316 Albina Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94706

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The forests will save us if anything will.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jean Tepperman  
1701 Channing Way  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The future health of our people depends on the protections of our land, forests, watersheds, and air. I hope that the Forest Service sets an example for private land owners and communities, etc. To conserve the resources that will serve the people best is to provide as much protected wilderness possible. Thank you for your time and consideration.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Ramirez  
2770 33rd Ave  
Sacramento, CA 95824

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The giant sequoias and redwoods of California are among the oldest living creatures on earth. They inspire a sense of religious awe in all right-thinking people and are venerated by the citizens of this state. In these times of global heating, these magnificent trees are under great stress and must be accorded the highest levels of protection possible. I urge you to respond to the wishes of the conservation-minded people of California**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Regina Stefaniak  
2507 Rose Walk  
Berkeley, CA 94708

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The health of our forests portends the health of our own species. We must do what we can to keep these places healthy and vibrant.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anya Davis  
1001 Keith Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94708

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The highlight of my life is visiting these forests and walking on their sacred grounds, smelling the clean air, listening to years of wisdom.**

**We must protect these areas!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Debbie Molnar  
3014 Hermosa Rd  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The importance of protecting these magnificent areas cannot be undermined. As an avid wilderness backpacker, these are two of my most loved and valued National Forests. It is my hope that generations to come will be able to completely enjoy these areas as well, and that is why this is such an important time to make sure we are making the best decisions now to protect these areas for decades to come. I trust you have it in you to create the right plan. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lisa Foster  
11509 Northwoods Blvd  
Truckee, CA 96161

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The lack of sensible plans has caused me to comment on a trend which must be boring to you people. Please remind President Trump, we hired him to fix the government, not wreck the environment. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Richard Monroe  
2282 Santa Anita Rd  
Norco, CA 92860

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The land is more than just acreages, it is home to many forms of life and vital to our understanding of the natural environment. These forests are a part of many peoples memories and brings happiness to all that experience it and I want to experience that forever and hope eventually my kids and their kids do too.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Alysun Seablom  
2104 Luna Pl  
Arvin, CA 93203

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The National Forests were set aside to host countless plant & animal species, as part of our ecosystem that we depend on. By destroying them we are forcing plant & animal life into extinction - which will force the extinction of animals that prey/survive on them. Without the forests we lose out on vital oxygen to us as a species - and homes to animals.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Bonta  
2808 T St Apt 4  
Sacramento, CA 95816

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The only vacations that my parents could afford to take us on as kids was camping in the National and State forests. These are some of my most beloved childhood memories and I want my grandchildren to have the same memories and experiences that shaped me into the active and aware person I am today.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Simone St Clare  
721 W 2nd St  
Benicia, CA 94510

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The parks and woodlands are one of our favorite vacation spots.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Maureen Hochberg  
PO Box 569  
Philo, CA 95466

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The planet is being slowly damaged by the humans of today. The forest needs to be cherished and protected.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

DEBRA FRANZMAN  
6601 Potter Ln  
Foresthill, CA 95631

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The plans being formed will determine the sustainability of our wild lands for generations to come. We most protect what little is left.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Andrea Chapman  
6286 Garden Park Dr  
Garden Valley, CA 95633



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The preservation and expansion of wilderness areas matters deeply to me. I am deeply concerned about the extinction crisis and the loss of healthy ecosystems that is occurring in the United States and all over our planet. Please consider all the concerns listed above and adopt a final plan that maximizes the acres included, maximizes the protection of watersheds and clean water and maximizes the preservation of species.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Jacques  
1209 T St  
Sacramento, CA 95811

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The preservation of our natural resources and habitat for future generations is the only true legacy that we can leave behind for our children and grandchildren so that they can enjoy these beautiful places during their lifetimes just as we have during ours.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Atul Patel  
2212 Corte Cicuta  
Carlsbad, CA 92009

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The protection of the land and animals are in your hands. We are counting on your strength of character to protect these forests. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Goodwin  
1212 Ocean Park Blvd  
Santa Monica, CA 90405

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The publics' open spaces with their plants and wildlife will be stressed enough in the future. They must be protected for long term habitat and recreation uses.**

**Human development patterns should be compact rather than dispersed, for the purpose of efficiency. They should not sprawl into national forest lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Mandeville  
367 Shasta Ave  
Morro Bay, CA 93442

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The rate of deforestation and extinctions affects the climate which in turn will destabilize economies, populations, trade and our health. Keep the wild untouched by harmful intrusions by human activities. Our government is moving too slowly to preserve the earth and all it's wild places and animals.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

James Walker  
765 School Rd  
McKinleyville, CA 95519

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The rate the President (guess you can call him that) is going we will NOT HAVE FOREST nor the animals that NEED TO LIVE IN THEM...WHY? HMMMM, greed... money? We are a CIRCLE people depending on each other...each forest...each animal....END THEM WE SHALL END!!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pam Moore  
15594 Del Vista Ct  
Grass Valley, CA 95945

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The redwoods are already compromised by global warming. Please do what you can to protect the Sequoias from politics**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jan Romanazzi  
195 I St.  
Cayucos, CA 93430

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sequoia and Sierra National Forests belong to the people, not powerful special interests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Brian Carr  
5482 Blossom Tree Ln  
San Jose, CA 95124



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The sequoia forest is so unique you must do all you can to protect it. All rivers and waterways in California are critical for plants, animals and humans. Please help preserve and enhance them for all of us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Leslie Bunker  
153 Twin Oaks Cir  
Chula Vista, CA 91910

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierra and Sequoia National Forests are natural resources that we can't afford to squander. We must live responsibly, care for and preserve these beautiful spaces for our enjoyment and for future generations. Once damaged or destroyed, the forests are lost forever.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Peggy Johnson  
240 Country Club Dr Unit D  
Simi Valley, CA 93065

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierra and Sequoia National Forests are iconic of California- my home state -and deserving of protection of the health of the rivers, watersheds, ecosystems. These places are California- it's important they thrive! I want to make sure we do all we can to make sure that happens.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Amanda Begley  
180 Fredricks Ranch Ln  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierra and Sequoia National Forests are one of my favorite places to visit and need to have the best possible protection plans so future generations can enjoy the forests and all it offers.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Kocis  
14373 Manzano Rd  
Victorville, CA 92392

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierra and Sequoia National Parks are part of the few TRULY MAGICAL places left in California, and need to be protected for the current and future generations can bask in the awe and majesty of its beauty!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Alison Larson  
2754 Marazan St  
Denair, CA 95316

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierra Mountains are my family's sacred mountains.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joel Thacker  
28745 11th St  
Lake Elsinore, CA 92532

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierra National Forest is my backyard. I strongly approve of alternative C--it needs strong protections to maintain the beauty and integrity of our rivers and wild lands. Please approve the strongest possible protections. This is a crucial moment in care and protection of public lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Judith Looby  
34650 Douglas Ranger Sta Rd# R  
North Fork, CA 93643

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierra provides the most healthy experiences to millions of people, they are my personal favorite place to visit.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Calvin Trampleasure  
7609 Errol Dr  
El Cerrito, CA 94530



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierras and Sequoia park and trees are national treasures that cannot survive in our lifetime without our help. Please do what you can to protect these amazing areas. I did 2 week long backpack trips this year in these areas and they are precious and well used and loved.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Gould  
15 Old Creek Rd  
Petaluma, CA 94952

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierras and the magnificent Sequoias are treasures and must be protected and managed well. Thank you!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gina Ness  
347 Valley Oaks Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierras are one of the most beautiful regions of not just the state of California but the whole country, in my opinion. Furthermore, their health flows outward to affect the entire state. I support robust protections backed by scientific evidence for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sarah Nothnagel  
3775 S Canfield Ave Apt 17  
Los Angeles, CA 90034

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The Sierra's area a national treasure. Please do whatever you can to protect them from exploitation and destruction. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

dave gilovich  
2 Saint John  
Dana Point, CA 92629

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The strongest possible protections are the only viable course. Anything else is obscene. By protecting lands, environments and animals we ensure a viable eco system, habitat and economy for all. Best, Vanessa Langer**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Langer  
2780 Buena Vista Way  
Berkeley, CA 94708

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The US Forest Service needs to do its part to save the forests for both animal habitat and humans. The absorption of CO2 emissions by the forests need to be expanded by plantings. Sempervirens, Save the Redwoods, and the Trust for Public Lands cannot do what the US Government agency can to protect massive amounts of land from despoilment by clear cut logging and destructive roads carved into the pristine forests--also from strip mining.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Sachs  
2422 Belvedere Ave  
San Leandro, CA 94577

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The value of living in California rests, not only on the beauty it possesses, but on the will of its inhabitants to protect our greatest resource. This is truly what sets us apart as the greatest place to live in the USA. We are trusting our representatives to act in our interest and protect our wilderness at all costs. If they do not, we must do our part to elect representative who do. Of course this conservation will create great challenges, but our ingenuity and creativity shine the greatest when met with challenges; and that will always overcome!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Peterson  
6417 Jefjen Way  
Elk Grove, CA 95757

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The vast Sierra Nevada range has been my choice for seclusion for over 40 years. It must remain intact in order to nurture all future generations of our Citizens. Breaking it up would forever destroy any such opportunity.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jon Hammari  
11700 Mesquite Ave  
Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The well being of our environment is closely tied to the protection of our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ella Craig  
2552 Hubbard Ln Apt D  
Eureka, CA 95501

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The wild places are there to protect all of us. Wild and domestic creatures. Please maintain protection for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Domanchuk  
790 Riverside Park Rd  
Carlotta, CA 95528

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The wild places in the Sierra Nevada mountains are a precious part of California's natural heritage. I want to see us protect these places, and do everything we can to keep their ecosystems strong and healthy. Perhaps, one day, the mighty grizzly will be able to return to his ancestral home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rachel Denny  
2318 Lakeview Dr  
Bradley, CA 93426

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The wilderness inspires and is necessary for our humanity.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Deb Horner  
2621 Bellows St  
Davis, CA 95618

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The world is becoming less green, making it even harder to fight climate change. We need to do all that we can to preserve the forests we have!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wilton Gorske  
250 Grand Ave Apt 1  
Oakland, CA 94610

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There are 4,000-year-old trees up there! Do not mess with National parks!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jim Vikse  
311 N 1st St  
Blythe, CA 92225

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There are no do-overs if we get this wrong. Thank you for your work on protecting our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Alderson  
1104 Pomeroy Ave  
Santa Clara, CA 95051

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There are no forests anywhere else in the world like those found in the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. No type of development, no matter how 'economically prosperous' they are claimed to be, is equal in value to that provided by the forests as they are now. People travel from across the world to come marvel at these forests and to lessen their protection or encroach on their borders would not only be a disservice to every generation alive today, but to all future generations that will be robbed of seeing such beauty. Furthermore, any decision to decrease protected forest land in light of the current and coming effects of climate change is not only irresponsible but downright unintelligible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,



Kristofer DiGrande  
240 Beach Dr.  
S. Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There is no greater treasure on earth than Earth herself. Please protect her forests and the wildlife that make their homes in these forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Snyder  
3436 Voltaire St  
San Diego, CA 92106

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There is no Planet B.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dianne Lane  
3509 Udall St  
San Diego, CA 92106

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There is no replacement for these forests. Their protection is critical!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Dworak  
237 West 25th Ave  
San Mateo, CA 92054

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There is nothing more important than life itself, in all its forms and ages. If we loose our wild lands, our wild creatures, our wild trees and herbs, then we ourselves will lose our lives in the emptiness of sorrow. Please, for the good of our souls, for the beauty of the world, let us do all we can to nurture and foster what remains of our sweet lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Mekarski  
299 Cannery Row  
Monterey, CA 93940

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There is nothing we can do that seems more worthwhile for our future loved ones (all of us!) than to do whatever it takes to preserve and care for precious natural places. Thank you, Joan Sugihara**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joan Sugihara  
13073 Via Latina  
Del Mar, CA 92014

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**There's everything important about the health of our forests for climate, natural systems, water, animals, plants, beauty and human health. Do not sell out for money and industry.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jan Cecil  
2923 Ashby Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94705

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These animals must be allowed to live for our future generations and for our environment. Please, please do not allow them to be killed!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nancy Neely  
9810 Ladera Ct  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These are areas I would like to visit with my family and would like generations and generations to be able to visit. These are important areas for wildlife and for residents of California.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carrie Bowler  
2559 29th Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94116

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These are our forests and they must be protected. Stop the greedy destruction.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pat Lang  
25100 Tapa Way  
Los Altos Hills, CA 94022

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These are OUR public lands and need to be preserved for future generations. We need to protect existing forests and wilderness, which provide respite from urban areas, recreation, wildlife habitat, clean air, and belong to the public. Don't put profit before physical and mental well being!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Genevieve Ryan  
1294 Potrero Ave Unit 1  
San Francisco, CA 94110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These are places I visit, hike and backpack. They deserve the best protections we can give them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Brian Demilio  
2456 Hilgard Ave Apt 506  
Berkeley, CA 94709

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These are precious lands for ALL Americans! Don't jeopardize their status and safety!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Connie D  
141 Sacramento Ave  
San Anselmo, CA 94960

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These are special places, in reach of many in more urban areas. Save these forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kris Montgomery  
1356 OAK VIEW CIR APT 246  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These are the forests I visit most often. It is in these forests that I find the peace that enables me to deal with the stressors of life. Please protect these forests for me, for my children, grandchildren and great grandchildren.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lynn Locher  
82 Zacate Pl  
Fremont, CA 94539

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These are vital & integral components of our air, ecosystem & ability continue to live on this earth; let alone the importance of keeping wildlife alive. Also very important parts of what makes this country great. This is a crucial matter. Thanks so much.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mary Leary  
4430 37th St  
San Diego, CA 92116



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These areas are among the most beautiful, and vulnerable, places in the West, if not the entire world. Please do everything you can to preserve and protect this land so it survives climate and future political change**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Daniel Gonzalez  
14405 Corte Lampara  
San Diego, CA 92129

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These areas are important to maintaining our mental and spiritual health. They cannot be treated well enough when considering their value. Peace**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

peace light  
1717 E. Vista Chino a7310  
Palm Springs, CA 92262

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These beautiful trees and the park around it is a national treasure. I want to be able to show my kids the beauty of this place one day. We need to protect it.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jonas J  
2259 Barry Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 48240

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These comprehensive changes and additions will help preserve intact ecosystems for future generations and will help mitigate climate change as we do everything possible to ensure that the Earth will be inhabitable in the future.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Laurel Harris  
PO Box 88  
Rutherford, CA 94573

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forest contain some of the biggest and grandest trees in the world. We need to insure that future generations have the same opportunity to observe these works of nature. To stand in these forests is to truly value nature.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tami Trearse  
4321 65th Street  
Sacramento, CA 95820

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forest have been an important part of not only my life but my children and grandchildren as well. They are very important to California, the USA and the world.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ina Cantrell  
6164 Baltimore Dr  
La Mesa, CA 91942

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forest have been important to my entire family all our lives. Please keep them safe**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pamela Sandberg  
32800 Sutliff Ln  
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are among the most important living things on earth. And are part of my own heart and soul. PLEASE protect them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Diana Chamberlain  
508 E Magnolia Ave  
Portola, CA 96122



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are close to millions of visitors enjoying them, means the level of environmental protections must be kept high. Thanks**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Warren White  
39 Clark St  
San Rafael, CA 94901

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are irreplaceable!!! We have to do all it takes to protect them! Just visited last year!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ravi Shah  
10833 LE CONTE Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90095

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are more critical than ever for the utmost protection. Right now the Brazilian Rain Forests are being destroyed by fire. The Planet depends on forest land to sequester Carbon and we face devastating loss.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Mailheau  
5512 Calle Arena  
Carpinteria, CA 93013

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are national treasures and deserve the maximum protection!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dana Bingham  
11224 River Run St  
Apple Valley, CA 92308

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are our refuge for replenishing our spirit of hope and reconnecting with ourselves.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Maurice Freeman  
2138 Sand Dollar Dr  
Richmond, CA 94804

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are out national treasures! We must protect them before it is too late!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Tracey Link  
968 Valley Ave  
Solana Beach, CA 92075

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are precious and a Gift of natural heritage. It is our responsibility to preserve and care for them. Manage them with this guiding principle, not one of short term profit.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Leana Rosetti  
4000 Rhoda Ave  
Oakland, CA 94602

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are protected because of their unique and home to multiple vulnerable animals and trees. My family often hike, camp and explore these forests often and it would be a disgrace to spoil them with development. That would be a step backward not forward.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carey Jones  
832 STEVENS AVE  
SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are some of the most amazing and home to incredible biodiversity, not to mention attract visitors from around the world.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stefanie Landman  
520 Washington Blvd  
Fremont, CA 94539

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are the jewels of California. If you are interested in money, these lands bring in billions of dollars annually with visitors from around the world. Please don't be reckless with your decision about these beloved and irreplaceable forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hearon  
428 Montgomery St  
Santa Barbara, CA 93103

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are truly precious to our family. We ask that the Forest Service take the strongest approach to protecting the Sierra and Sequoia forests. Once these great, noble forests are gone; once any part or parcel of them are gone, they are gone forever. We will follow your plan development closely, thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Andrew Vahldieck  
223 John St  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are unique and need to be preserved and nurtured for us and future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Herring  
51930 Avenida Martinez  
La Quinta, CA 92253

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are vital to the health of all mankind. I want them available for future generations, like my son's.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Hill  
3289 Donna Dr  
Carlsbad, CA 92008

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are who we are. They are us, they define California, they are unique and also essential to our land. Their protection should be among our highest priorities.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anita Jackson  
2462 Wildhorse Drive  
San Ramon, CA 94583

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**these forests are world treasures- keep them safe and healthy**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jay Vanarsdale  
3537 69th Ave  
Oakland, CA 94605

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests deserve to keep their home safe for all the future generations... we allow ILLEGALS to come into this state and do whatever they choose.. its against the LAW but California don't care.. Well its time we cared for what's Legally ours.. This land and the creatures that inhabit it are OUR responsibility to secure them a home in the future.. NOW..**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mary Didier  
6312 Clara Way  
North Highlands, CA 95660



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests have been around for a long time. It's only right that we protect and prevent any future land developments and /or destruction of wildlife habitats. Please leave our natural forests alone as they are; pristine and homes to our local Flora and fauna!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Estrellita Sadler  
935 Sheridan St Apt B  
Vallejo, CA 94590

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests have stood there for hundreds, if not thousands, of years - and we want to see them stand there for a thousand more. We refuse to let them be decimated for the sake of corporate profits!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Denise Mayosky  
397 S Park Victoria Dr  
Milpitas, CA 95035

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests mean a lot to me and my family! My grandfather staked out land in Camp Nelson in the early 1900s and that tent grew into a cabin and then a proper mountain house! It became a community! I was there every Summer with grandparents, cousins, my Aunt and my Mom and Dad - and Our family still owns it and there are generations of children that now know it. Please protect this beautiful area and all the surrounding Sierra Nevada National Forests! We all want to keep it beautiful for the wildlife and for families that have invested in its history and beauty! Thank you for all you do! We want to keep those wonderful memories alive!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sallie Robbins-Druian  
1990 S Barona Rd  
Palm Springs, CA 92264

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests provided me a quiet and peaceful place to go to throughout my life. I'm too old to go to the forests now, but I want future generations to experience calm and joy of such beauty.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marjory Keenan  
1816 Vine St  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**these forests to be kept wild and free from any development. I want them left in their natural state for my children, grandchildren and future progeny to enjoy. They are an important factor in keeping our air clean and helping to control climate warming.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elaine Gould  
1591 Whiterock Cir  
San Jose, CA 95125

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These iconic trees and lands are part of America's heritage, not fodder for commercial exploitation.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lana Touchstone  
252 Grapewood St  
Vallejo, CA 94591

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These iconic users and special forests need to be protected and preserved now and for future generations. I urge you to develop the strongest policies to ensure these wilderness areas will be enlarged to include the same protections for rivers, streams, and all wildlife. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sue Kirk  
7944 Mission Center Ct Unit B  
San Diego, CA 92108



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These incredible areas are a precious heritage which we must hold unsullied by human spoilage, for our children and grandchildren, so they too can experience the majesty and wonder of nature at its finest. You have been given the tools to make this possible; please, do not fail us!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Taylor  
1647 Trestle Glen Rd  
Oakland, CA 94610

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These lands are a national and world treasure. We must have the strongest safeguards to protect them.....forever!  
To do less is to be blind to the treasures they hold.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ron Dale  
120 Burnt Creek Way  
Folsom, CA 95630

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These magnificent resources are the heritage of future generations!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

vivian zelaya  
2021b Lincoln St.  
berkeley, CA 94709

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These magnificent trees and forests are a gift to us all. Please plan to save, protect and preserve them! As the Forest Service, please live up to your organization's name and fight for them. You are the public's voice against the Admin's policies that threatens their existence. Thank you for all that you do.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Robin Taylor  
1970 Glen Una Avenue  
San Jose, CA 95008

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These national forests are unique and irreplaceable! Please protect them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Reibstein  
6322 Camino Corto  
San Diego, CA 92120

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These National Forests are very dear to my heart. Any incroachment would be a disaster for generations to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Edward Roberts  
1059 Keith Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94708

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These National Forests really improve our quality of life. Please afford them rigorous protections!**

**thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Henrik Albert  
2525 Webb Ave  
Alameda, CA 94501

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These national treasures should be respected and allowed to bless our great grand children. Generations to come will be impacted by our actions. Thanks for doing your best!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jason Freeman  
326 Juniper St  
San Diego, CA 92101



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These natural resources areas are irreplaceable and our safeguarding the environment from our human impact is our duty. Our wellbeing depends on the well being of the land.**

**We are counting on you to act as stewards of our precious forests!**

**Thank you for receiving our input.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Beth Austin  
PO Box 798  
Santa Cruz, CA 95061

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These natural treasures are unique, irreplaceable, and resources whose value is not yet fully known. They must be preserved for all people and for our future. Their degradation will bring tears and curses from our grandchildren.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

James Eggers  
3921 E Bayshore Rd Ste 204  
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These places are our treasure for us and our future generations to enjoy. We can not replace them, and we must treat them in that way.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Phoebe Diaz  
12228 Monte Vista Ave  
Chino, CA 91710

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These places must be always protected.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Wilke  
8147 N Cedar Ave Apt 102  
Fresno, CA 93720

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These priceless areas must be protected to the maximum amount possible. No commercial interest must be allowed in.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Frank Ackerman  
1232 Leisure Ln Apt 2  
Walnut Creek, CA 94595

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These proposed regulations are driven by greed and the reckless values of the current administration in Washington. Do you really want to revisit this issue again in 2021 after the voters restore some sanity to our government agencies, or this partly preemptive because of these additional pressures on what has always been a perennial issue regarding how to draw the line between good stewardship of our national lands versus commercial interests that advocate more grazing, lumbering, and mining along with the associated road building? Future generations will judge us by the decisions we make now. I implore you to put aside other issues and give this your thoughtful consideration**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joseph Yarosevich  
31 Conrad Ln  
Chico, CA 95973

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These rivers and forests belong to all the American people. Any Environmental Impact Statements should reflect that. Our wildlife and our public lands must be protected for generations to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jo-Ellen Spencer  
445 59th St  
Oakland, CA 94609



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These sales have been preserved for so long because so many Americans saw importance in having health nature  
Now with Climate Change these spaces are even more important- do NOT disrupt them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Fox  
15 Rally Ct  
Fairfax, CA 94930

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These trees are not only icons of our state but are an integral part of our natural system of cleaner water and air. They are also, in their pristine shape, a source of respite recreation and renewal for all of us. We don't own them, we are their stewards. Don't waste our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Morrish  
1867 Glen View Dr  
Walnut Creek, CA 94595

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These two National Forests must be given the most protection possible to preserve them for our children and their children forever. If portions of them including the streams and rivers are degraded they will never fully recover.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

William Wagy  
618 Josephine Dr  
Cloverdale, CA 95425

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This area is important to me because I have spent many days and nights in the Sierras and Sequoias via the Pacific Crest Trail (completed in 2017) and numerous backpacking trips. This area should be thoroughly protected because it holds so much biodiversity and pristine landscapes. We owe it to the future generations to ensure this area is as heavily protected as possible so they can enjoy it the same way myself and many others have.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Molley Miller  
8235 Corte Las Lenas  
San Diego, CA 92129

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This cant be undone. Protect the forest!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bruce Beron  
34 Coquito Ct  
Portola Vally, CA 94028

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This ecosystem is already under assault from climate change which we will not be able to stop. Therefore it is imperative that you do everything that can be done to protect the tiny portion of this ecosystem that we still have intact.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Judith Hoaglund  
1553 Laguna Rd  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This highly threatened earth needs your help. Only you have the power to say 'yes' to greater protection. Please.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jill Precheur  
2816 Dale St  
San Diego, CA 92104

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is a beautiful area that needs our protection. Thank you for your time and attention.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kristine Andarmani  
19616 Ladera Ct.  
Saratoga, CA 95070



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to protect the future of some of California's most beloved public lands: the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. Please, for our sakes and the sakes of future generations, please implement the strongest possible plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Griffith  
10450 Lavender Ct  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91737

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is a very important set of plans that can either preserve the natural heritage of California or allow it to be exploited in damaging ways. Please take care of the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Schneider  
690 Mariposa Ave Apt 206  
Oakland, CA 94610

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is about preserving for future generations!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Hans Petermann  
203 Seeman Dr  
Encinitas, CA 92024

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is an extremely important issue and I hope you will do your best to assure strong protection for our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ronald Harder  
19994 Gist Rd  
Los Gatos, CA 95033

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is an important region, ecologically. The beautiful forests need to be protected for future generations. These areas will provide income to locals and a nature experience unparalleled.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kat Gelles  
1125 Rivera St  
San Francisco, CA 94116

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is crazy we “must” save our forest Please stop them from destroying it!!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jenniese White  
P.O. Box 123  
Port Costa, CA 94569

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is gods country please preserve this land and water.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Doug Minkler  
1715 Ward St  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is my home and my backyard! Nothing is more important to me! Protect our most precious resource - our natural ecosystem!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Mummert  
312 Hanby Ave  
Bishop, CA 93514



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is not for you or even for me. It's for the future generations. I live near an area where old growth redwood groves were plowed. We can't let this happen again. FOR GOD'S SAKE don't give in to the special interests who simply want to exploit these beautiful areas for their own personal and financial gain. Do the right thing - for all of us, and for the generations to come**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Maureen Simons  
PO Box 92  
The Sea Ranch, CA 95497

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is our lifeblood, this is a major part of what makes this planet so unique, please be a part of the solution, not the problem.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Omaran Omaran  
PO Box 740  
Mount Shasta, CA 96067

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is our only home, we will never have another opportunity to save our precious planet. Its not just for our children, its not for just the animals, WE ALL NEED this earth. Why are we killing our mother?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

brooke lopez  
363 north 13th st., b  
grover beach, CA 93433

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is precious land. These are precious animals. Please protect them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Donna Norquist  
67 Windsor Ln  
Petaluma, CA 94952

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This is so so important, and a holy crusade that will only benefit all of us...protect us, nourish us, preserve our earth for lasting enjoyment and use...we owe it to everyone before and after us.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Dentzel  
PO Box 5124  
Santa Barbara, CA 93150

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This issue is of critical importance. Mr. Trump is hell bent on destroying the natural environment, ruining parklands and precious forests that are national treasures and help combat climate change, and gutting the endangered species act all to give license for drilling and supporting dying, dirty, and unsustainable energy practices to his billionaire cronies. This is extremely short sighted but will have lasting consequences. Rather, we should leave park lands wild, strengthen the endangered species act, and invest in sustainable energies which are job creators and protect the environment and the economy long term. We cannot wait out this president. We must push back and take back and protect our national lands, animals, and treasures. Trump would destroy all he sees for a buck for himself or his friends. It is irresponsible of him and we must not let him get away with destroying this country future and possibly hopelessly ensuring the destruction of the earth in the process.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Field  
4814 Comfrey Pl  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This issue is very important to me. I'll be closely watching what you do. Keep these lands protected!  
Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Edy Horwood  
928 Alma Pl  
Oakland, CA 94610



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This issue matters because it strikes close to home and affects my community directly. Please do not lose the spirit of conservation which protected these areas in the first place, ensuring that future generations have had a chance to behold their unspoiled natural splendor. Protection should be a priority.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Alina Mckenna  
40855 Grouse Dr  
Three Rivers, CA 93271

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This land is critical wildlife habitat and needs better protection than what you are proposing**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nora Lewis  
759 Drumm Ln  
Nipomo, CA 93444

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This may be the last chance...**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Colin Godwin  
4491 Bartleson Rd  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This must be a priority NOW!!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

James Malaspina  
1 Chapparal Ct  
Novato, CA 94949

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**This summer has been the warmest on record in many places on our planet. We must do everything within our power and imagination to protect forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jacque Emel  
712 Lytle St  
Redlands, CA 92374

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Time is running out to protect our forests. Please develop a plan to keep California's public lands protected**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mona Harnish  
287 Sonora Dr  
San Bernardino, CA 92404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Tom McGovern**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Thomas McGovern  
45 Via Belardo Apt 7  
Greenbrae, CA 94904

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Trees and plant life in general are critically important in removing CO2 from the air and helping to slow the progress of climate change. We need to maintain the numbers of trees and the acres of forest we have to prevent speeding up climate change and to help provide cleaner air for the people to breathe. I myself am one of the unlucky people who acquired adult onset asthma from not having clean air to breathe. We must do all we can to protect our forests and trees for future generations in this country.**

**Very sincerely,  
Laurie Cantu**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,



David Cantu  
PO Box 3231  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93403

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Trees are our lungs, take care of them and we live a good life, kill or hurt them and we no longer survive. It's that simple.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mary Oren  
7842 Sitio Coco  
Carlsbad, CA 92009

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Trees may be all that stand between a world turned flamingly hot and humanity's long term survival. Don't chop them, and us, down.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Hinton  
3066 Hazelwood Ave  
Santa Clara, CA 95051

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Trump and his greedy destroyers of our public lands and wildlife won't always be in power. But they can do irreparable damage far into the future while they're still here and attacking our precious resources. Please defend these resources for ourselves and our children to come.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Anderson  
PO Box 1447  
Sutter Creek, CA 95685

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Trump is destroying the environment and is now taking on trees and animals. He must be stopped from doing this.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Julie Owen  
1047 La Grande Ave  
Napa, CA 94558

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Vacations in the Sierras are my 'battery recharge". These mountains, with their beautiful forests, clean fresh air, clean bubbling streams, are what I need to restore my spirit at least once a year. My hope is that we will preserve and protect these wild places so my grandchildren and their grandchildren can enjoy them as much as I have,**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bookless  
890 Del Rio Ave  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Very important**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dorcas Edge  
4469 Albatross Way  
Oceanside, CA 92057

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Very Important! Please respond!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Laurie C bauer  
64 Overlook Dr  
Bollinas, CA 94924



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**W/o healthy forests, WE can't breathe healthy air & the animals that keep this planet worth living on don't have homes or sustenance. NOT TO PROTECT our forests is foolish at best & life-threatening to all of us in the longrun. Keep ALL of us safe & healthy. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Deborah Temple  
1821 5th Ave Apt C320  
San Rafael, CA 94901

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wake up and smell the pinecones..**

**Protect these jewels from the toddler in chief**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jim Moseman  
12073 Daymark Ct  
San Diego, CA 92131

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wake up. This is a necessity. Do the right thing.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carl Luhring  
2179 Opal Rdg  
Vista, CA 92081

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Water, water, let it fall, like accessing Sierra & Sequoia nat'l forests by ALL.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Walls  
1734 Hearst Ave Apt 3  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We absolutely must protect our forests, our environment, our heritage.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Constantine Bogios  
2582 Oak Rd Apt 217  
Walnut Creek, CA 94597

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We absolutely need to protect our forests. We must protect wildlife, which are dependent on forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Angela Hawkins  
1036 Devonshire Dr  
San Diego, CA 92107

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We all die, but our descendants will live on. Protect our legacy by protecting our lands from exploitation.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cameron Zaidi  
628 San Bruno ave  
San Francisco, CA 94107

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We are all here to protect "our mother earth" she needs us now more than ever. Thanks, Harry**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Harry Cominos  
855 Granite Ridge Dr  
Santa Cruz, CA 95065



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We are living under an administration that has no appreciation of the environmental treasures that exist in the U.S. The current administration views these treasures as an economic gift to its supporters, whereas they really belong to the American people and should be protected as valuable environmental assets that should be passed down to future generations. Please help to ensure their protection.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marcia Krull  
PO Box 1380  
Idyllwild, CA 92549

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We are only as healthy as the land and rivers that feed us - and our children. Please preserve our American heritage in the Sequoias and Sierras!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Louise Palmer  
45 Whitaker Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94708

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We are products of the Earth and, like every other living thing, our lives depend on the delicate balance nature has been setting up over billions of years. The destruction of forests for profit are a temporary means that in no way justify the ends. This near-sighted approach to money-making comes with the perhaps irreparable consequence of destroying life as we know it. To ensure our own livelihood and that of our descendants, we must make intelligent investments in endeavors that are sustainable and respect lives beyond our own.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Enriqueta Gomez  
538 W Elm St  
Ontario, CA 91762

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We are running out of time to save and protect unspoiled (or slightly spoiled) public lands, natural open space and wilderness, rivers, streams, and watersheds. There is increasing pressures from wealthy and politically powerful and connected industrialists that are intent on and determined to take those areas for their own private commercial development and exploitation for their own personal and/or corporate profit. The vast majority of diverse socio-economic Americans, pursuers of life and happiness, have a prior and greater right to protect, increase or hold on to and use our public lands just the way they are, or as they can be environmentally enhanced and restored...into perpetuity. Please give voice to the vast majority of Americans, over the economically motivated, politically powerful, selfish and short sighted few.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stephen Andersen  
114 Harbor Seal Ct  
San Mateo, CA 94404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We are stewards of the land. Future generations will judge us by our commitment to that responsibility. Our legacy is only as great as that commitment.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Roy Berces  
309 Mountain View Ave  
San Rafael, CA 94901

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We are stewards of these great lands, let us be worthy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Stacy Bell  
1380 La Loma Dr  
Nipomo, CA 93444

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We can do so much better and we must. We are blessed with the privilege to protect plants and animals that have no other voice. Ours is a stunningly powerful and important role. The Forest Service must develop the strongest possible plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration..**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Patricia Bennett  
4640 San Vicente Ave  
Atascadero, CA 93422



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We can't allow TRump to destabilize our economy and not doing anything about the climate crisis! I implore you to fight for our wild space and the flora and fauna that call it home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joyce Chavez  
3567 Ruffin Rd Unit 236  
San Diego, CA 92123

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We cannot afford to lose our precious forest resources in California. Please protect them from further development so we can have them for future generations. My family and many others in this state love and enjoy these forests for recreation and their scenic beauty... development needs to happen for our state to grow, but there are plenty of better places for this development.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Christopher Logan  
1445 Church St.  
Ventura, CA 93001

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We cannot let this happen. The wild animals, the rivers, the tree's, cannot be destroyed.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Irene Shemaria  
1039 Trenton Blvd.,  
San Pablo, CA 94806

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We cannot replace these precious resources and must protect them for all Americans!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

F. Montgomery  
1209 College Ave  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We cannot underestimate the value of these precious ecosystems to the overall quality of all life.**

**Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Patti Bosler  
813 Bautista Dr  
Salinas, CA 93901

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We can't afford to lose any more forest on this planet.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Robert Nix  
14669 Hiawatha St  
Mission Hills, CA 91345

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We deeply need the wilderness areas with forests for the health of our planet and upliftment of the human spirit. We MUST protect them!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sky Velasquez  
2050 Monument Blvd Spc 37  
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We demand that you protect the National Forests! No exceptions!**

**No cutting trees or killing animals, we need all the top predators to balance the live and health of the habitat. Keep cattle and sheep out of the National Parks. Don't kill wolves, bears or mountain lions.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Lyke  
569 Lotus St  
Los Angeles, CA 90065



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We do not need more sprawl, nor timber harvesting, nor commercializing in CA forests. We need trees! Trees are one great way the planet breathes. Trees clean the air. Trees dissipate the heat. If anything, we need to EXPAND our forests, not whittle them down. And I'm sure you know all this already. But you have pressures put against you. Resist! Please!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dan Richman  
4229 21st St  
San Francisco, CA 94114

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We have an opportunity here to protect and preserve a treasure trove of ancient biodiversity, please, be on the right side of history. Please preserve these lands. Thank you**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

KENNETH JONES  
2460 Eye St  
Arcata, CA 95521

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We have limited natural areas and as population increases, some will diminish. The public lands we love need care, and protection as well as the animals that call this space home. As a wildlife photographer, these lands are precious, don't allow greed, corruption and lack of commitment to their preservation be the demise of these precious spaces. Often discounted in the evaluation of these lands is the myriad of unrealized money they bring to the state. Would I have bought a 2000 dollar camera or lenses to take pictures, or a bike to enjoy the land, or hiking poles and gloves. Please consider all the reasons these areas need to be protected, putting our money here bring joy to others not just Californians but the world for centuries.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Charnelle Merrill  
321 E Renette Ave  
El Cajon, CA 92020

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We have only one earth preserve it**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sarah Scoma  
1336 El Curtola Blvd  
Lafayette, CA 94549

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We have only one planet!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lisa Grodin  
1398 Scenic Ave  
Berkeley, CA 94708

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We have the dearest memories in our little family of the big trees. Our daughter has true love for California and trees that will last for her all life (and ours too). Please protect beautiful Californian forest, this is a treasure for Earth in time where all around the world forest are disappearing**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainably manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Christine Knowlton  
58 ord st  
San Francisco, CA 94114

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We have to protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forest. These are forest not only people enjoy, but animals call home.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jessica Mitchellshihabi  
8346 Clear Corrie Ct  
Antelope, CA 95843



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We have to save what we have while we can. To much time has already been lost to the impacts of climate change. Rolling back protections will speed up the losses. Protect the health and safety of all instead of benefitting the pockets of a few.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Lockwood  
315 E Nees Ave Unit 158  
Fresno, CA 93720

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We here in Reedley recog**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Joleen Siebert  
363 E Sycamore Ave  
Reedley, CA 93654

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We love our beautiful forests. Please do all you can to protect them for future generations!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David and Dawn Jenkins  
10845 Tujunga Canyon Blvd  
Tujunga, CA 91042

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We love our public lands and want them preserved for future generations!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Wessenberg  
6970 Exeter Dr  
Oakland, CA 94611

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must be vigilant and take strong measures to protect our forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Therese Hall  
4285 Sunnyhill Dr  
Carlsbad, CA 92008

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must defend the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests at all costs.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Chris Rose  
304 Sherri Ct  
Petaluma, CA 94952

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must do all that is possible to protect and preserve our beautiful forests and trees. While there are those who would set fire to this world (just for power and profit) there are millions of us who love and cherish our beautiful EARTH. Please, LOVE, honor, cherish our God given beautiful earth!**

**C.J. McGrane**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia McGrane  
1349 Rose St Apt D  
Berkeley, CA 94702

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must do all we can to preserve the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. These forests are home to endangered species and help in the fight against climate change. They are environmental marvels for people to enjoy, and serve as irreplaceable wilderness regions that we all need to savor the wonders of the natural world.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Holloway  
6314 Mallard Ct  
Rocklin, CA 95765



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must do everything possible to save what little there is left of forests and everything in the wild!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gerry Williams  
3024 Potter Ave  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must do our utmost to preserve wilderness in the US. I know that cooperative efforts with communities and conservation groups can come up with win-win plans. The Nature Conservancy, for one, has helped to establish sensible wilderness protection world wide which includes the well being of humans. Please take the utmost care in planning how to manage the Sierra and Sequoia forests.**  
**Thank you for reading my email.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elaine Barrett  
1020 Robinson Ave Apt 8  
San Diego, CA 92103

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We MUST have the strongest and most thorough plans possible for these forests in order to conserve and preserve the privilege of being able to protect them from pollution, man-made fires, climate change and drought, to name just a few challenges!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Adams  
7119 W Sunset Blvd # 864  
Los Angeles, CA 90046

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must maintain the amount and quality of the forest under our care.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elaine Brisson  
42 Westgate Cir  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must preserve our wild places for future generations to enjoy.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Naomi Sobo  
2404 Loring St # 42  
San Diego, CA 92109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must preserve these forests for future generations. They are irreplaceable.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Warren Jones  
3985 McKinley Blvd  
Sacramento, CA 95819

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect and maintain our remaining forests. They are national treasures that must be safeguarded for present and future generations. Once destroyed or managed improperly, they're gone forever. Americans need our forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

May Jones  
457 Hawthorne Ln  
Benicia, CA 94510

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect as much as possible all wild places from for profit, cutting, polluting industries and from destroyer in chief, Donald Trump, who would has sold his soul and his doing his best to destroy everything good and noble and deny future generations the majesty of forests etc...**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Alexander  
3718 Hickory Way  
Oceanside, CA 92057



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect ecosystems if we want an earth which will support humans**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sally Abrams  
138 Cortland Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect our beautiful forests for generations to come! They're a huge part of what makes California California such a desirable state to live in.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Victoria Trautman  
5755 Ferseyna Way  
Valley Springs, CA 95252

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect our forests in the Sierra Nevada, not just for all Californians, but for all who visit our state. And for the plants and animals who call these areas their homes.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Milliken  
1256 Hudson Ave  
Saint Helena, CA 94574

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We MUST protect our forests! They are vital to the health of our world and our mental health as well. They are places where we all can go to be revitalized in an increasingly over crowded and stressful world.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Ostro  
2008 Tampa Ave  
Oakland, CA 94611

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect our forests, water and wildlife so we will be able to enjoy them for many many years to come .  
Support alternative C plan**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kristine Talamante  
565 Hull Ave  
San Jose, CA 95125

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect our National Forests and Wilderness areas for the future generation. Developments have already taken over too much of our open spaces. I have enjoyed many camping trips and hikes in many of our National Forests and I am very fortunate. I think it is vital for the future of this beautiful country to have these protections in place.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Roswitha Hutson  
2630S Patton Ave  
San Pedro, CA 90731

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect our National treasures and give them the needed protection they deserve!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

F. Michael Montgomery  
1209 College Ave  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect our Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Etta Robin  
12219 Winger St  
Bakersfield, CA 93312



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect public lands and vulnerable wildlife - these are irreplaceable resources.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Stock  
1306 Bay View Pl  
Berkeley, CA 94708

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect the natural habitat of wildlife while we still can. Once it is gone it will never return to the way it was. The benefits of nature are too great to risk for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Courtney Rodas  
2668 Shadow Mountain Dr  
San Ramon, CA 94583

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect these lands so that future generations will reap the benefit as long as possible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Shields  
3033 Calle Rosales  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect to the fullest our wild places for they are unique and necessary to our soul's health. Whether or not you ever set foot in these forests or not, just knowing they're there and thriving is important to us and the world.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Leslie Kowalczyk  
17140 Murphy Rd  
Sonora, CA 95370

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect what is left of our forests ! It's imperative we act responsibly for future generations and respect Mother Earth .**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Glenn  
404 Belle Monti Ct, Aptos California 95003  
Aptos, CA 95003

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must protect what remains of our wilderness for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sharron Helmholz  
914 Matadero Ct  
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must save our Forests to prevent further Warming of our Planet**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Josefina Castillo-Taylor  
2895 Saint Andrews Rd  
Fairfield, CA 94534

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must save the forest from trump!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Corinna Maharani  
513 Garden St Ste H  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must stop Mr Fart (The English word for trump) from taking advantage of the US people granted me here are folks who are too feeble minded to appreciate how Trump is using and abusing them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lesley and Martin Cunningham  
1408 Capri Dr  
Campbell, CA 95008

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We must take action to protect the remaining forest land we have. It is vital to the climate, wild life, our watersheds, and the thriving tourism industry that these forests support.**

**Beth**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bethany Gibson  
994 55th St  
Oakland, CA 94608

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need all hands on deck to help our forests**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

James Franz  
22000 Lawrence Rd  
Fiddletown, CA 95629

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need every tree we can get to sequester carbon.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Judith Stone  
374 Bohemian Hwy  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need more wild, not less.  
PROTECT NOT DESTROY!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Andarin Arvola  
PO Box 976  
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need our forests!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Molly Martin  
970 Hyland Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need our National Parks and public lands. They are a quiet place of refuge from this crazy, busy world. We—the American people—own this land, our oceans and coastlines, it is ours. We have a right to say how it is used. Please help protect America's national parks and public lands for the benefit of this and future generations. I am an American voter; I vote in ALL of the elections. I am an active member of Indivisible Monterey Bay Volunteers and this issue is important to me.**

**THANK YOU**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Amber Archangel  
PO Box 3545  
Carmel, CA 93921



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need the strongest laws ever passed to keep what we have left of our planet.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Valerie Zachary  
PO Box 6656  
Los Osos, CA 93412

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need the strongest possible protections for Sequoia and Sierra Nat'l Forests. Please do the right thing for your/our children and grandchildren and their planet.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lisa Hauck-Loy  
274 Sausalito St  
Corte Madera, CA 94925

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need the strongest protections for these lands as they become more vulnerable because of climate change, population increase, and development. Our children and grandchildren deserve our commitment to do the best to protect these lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Fred Dorer  
5704 Muirfield Dr  
Bakersfield, CA 93306

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to continue our protections for the Sierra and Sequoia forests. This is no time to stop, and our public lands are not for sale!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jen Bradford  
2546 Helix St  
Spring Valley, CA 91977

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to do all we can to preserve what wilderness is left.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Thompson  
2090 Cedar Ave  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to leave as much forest land as we can for the wildlife there and our generations to come. How selfish not to.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marion Barry  
9696 Junewood Ln  
Loomis, CA 95650

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to move forward not backwards.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Ishimoto  
3301 Middlefield Rd  
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to plant millions of trees, immediately. Hemp, too: Holds the soil together.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Edh Stanley  
5206 Sitton Way  
Sacramento, CA 95823



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to preserve our national forests now, more than ever before. Do the right thing for our children's future.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Farhad Farahmand  
1528 Richmond St  
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to preserve these Lands for our children, grandchildren and all life.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

William Devincenzi  
9012 Village View Drive  
San Jose, CA 95135

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to preserve wildlife corridors. We need to maintain the biodiversity of the California Floristic Province (CFP). We must do all we can to mitigate the current mass extinction.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Eric Webb  
2451 Watercourse Way  
Sacramento, CA 95833

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect forests and the wildlife that thrive there for my generation and future generations. These areas are important to our environment and I love visiting them. I don't want to imagine a world without nature and trees.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Ciucci  
1882 E St  
Hayward, CA 94541

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect irreplaceable public lands like the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests from development. Destruction of these forests would be a crime committed against all Americans. Please protect the forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Noah and Elena Armstrong  
824 Calero Ave  
San Jose, CA 95123

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect our forest for the future. No destruction of the environment for the sake of profits for greedy corporations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Kuhn  
1655 W Tenaya Way  
Fresno, CA 93711

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect our forests, before they're all gone!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nayeli Lopez  
5185 SurfBird  
Guadalupe, CA 93434

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect our forests, wildlife etc ... my family has had the gift of the forests for over 40 years !!! I want my great grandchildren to have the same enlightened experience as their parents and grandparents!!! Let's make sound decisions when it comes to national parks , forests and wildlife , Please !!!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melissa Holley  
4079 N Manila Ave  
Fresno, CA 93727



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect our natural resources and preserve these beautiful lands and wildlife.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Carol Browning  
PO Box 3405  
Camarillo, CA 93011

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect our natural treasures before it's too late.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sanja Dimitrijevic  
1234 Churchill Pl  
Coronado, CA 92118

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect our planet everyone's lives are at stake. This is not the time to cut down trees but plant them. I can't believe I have to stick up to protect our plant because adults seem to only care for themselves.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gensen Deleon  
1447 Laurel Glen  
Soquel, CA 95073

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect our wild resources for the future!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pat Turney  
4106 Amyx Ct  
Hayward, CA 94542

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect the forests and their inhabitants before it is too late.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jill Martin  
16925 E Kettleman Ln  
Lodi, CA 95240

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect the large trees , they are some of the oldest things on this earth. We can't keep destroying all of the wilderness , all the homes of all the wild ,life.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jerome Tuck  
Po box186  
Ocotillo, CA 92259

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect the wilderness. It's not just about beauty, it impacts climate change and the overall health of all people.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marikk Kahn  
13080 Mindanao Way Apt 97  
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect these resources. I want my grandchildren to see and appreciate them. Please help!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Julie Clark  
201 Rushmore Ave  
Petaluma, CA 94954



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**we need to protect this sacred land**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Eileen Donnelly  
422 Cleveland Ave  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**we need to save these amazing places for future generations and for the planet. Please help us do that. Thank you for your time and efforts**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Marilee Brooks  
631 W Poplar Ave  
San Mateo, CA 94402

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to take better care of our environment and wildlife or we will suffer the consequences....**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Molly Huddleston  
PO Box 1119  
Santa Rosa, CA 95402

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need wilderness!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Margot Suchan  
3286 E Guasti Rd  
Ontario, CA 91761

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We owe it to our future generations!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nancy Merrick  
256 Donner Ave  
Ventura, CA 93003

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**WE PAY YOU TO PROTECT NOT TO SEEK AND DESTROY LIKE THE GOP!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Heather Brophy  
1270 Kenwood Rd  
Santa Barbara, CA 93109

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We really need your support to protect these precious lands and wildlife. This is what makes California a beautiful place and we need that protected Now and for the future. We must educate, and better ourselves for the savior of wildlife, major habitats, and our watershed approach for rivers and streams. Once it is not protected, we cannot bring it back, Save these precious commodities for they are part of history and us!!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janice Green  
196 Breakwater Way  
Vacaville, CA 95688

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We recently visited Sequoia National Park. Those 3,000 year old trees are a miracle! We need to do everything necessary to protect them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Claudia Collingnon-Harvath  
5601 Havencrest Cir  
Stockton, CA 95219



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We rely on you to protect these beautiful places as much as possible. Please plan with the care of these forests as your top priority. Thank you.**

**Damage is very difficult to stop once it starts.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Melissa Vasconcellos  
PO Box 7564  
Ventura, CA 93006

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We save the biosphere or we die with it (as we're part of and depend on it!) Just basic science here.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ellen Koivisto  
1556 Great Hwy  
San Francisco, CA 94122

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We simply just have this one place we call our home. If we let greed and \$\$\$ let lead the way, we all loose.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Ulrike Silkey  
625 Glorene Ave  
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We visit these areas to recharge, to reconnect ourselves and son with nature. Humanity already had a too large an impact on the environs. Please help us safe what is left for future generations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mieko Kusano  
3722 Cedar Vis  
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We will die without them.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dennis Tapley  
10939 Cherry Ridge Rd  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We will not have another chance to save these precious resources! Thank you for your efforts!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Mary Johnson  
1134 Strawberry Ct  
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We, and particularly, you, are called to be stewards of the earth.**

**It is time--past time--to take the long view for our future.**

**The short-sighted actions we have taken so far, and the path we are on, must be changed for the sake of our children, their children, and all the creatures on this planet.**

**"May we speak in all human councils on behalf of the animals, plants, and landscapes of the earth." - John Seed**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Lehmann  
716 Gilbert Ave  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wewe care deeply about our forests. We must preserve them, literally at all costs. So please ensure the most effective protections even if thought to be unnecessary. Because we cannot predict what WILL be necessary in future. WE simply cannot lose our forests. It would be un-thinkable.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Dorie Barrett  
2323 Morningside Cir  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**What great times I had back packing in both national forests. Please expand and strengthen the proposed regulations so that my grand children will have the same opportunity**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Terry Harrison  
1517 Spruce Way  
Healdsburg, CA 95448

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**What is most important to me is that the forests, rivers, watersheds, and the wildlife they support be protected and managed in a way that preserves them as healthy ecosystems without regard to budgetary considerations.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Morgan  
10 Cherrywood Ct  
San Pablo, CA 94806

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**What we lose now will be gone forever. Please don't let this happen.**

**-Henning Bauer**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Henning Bauer  
325 Buckingham Way Apt 403  
San Francisco, CA 94132

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**What will you bequeath to your children, grand-children and so on?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Michael Harding  
134 El Portal Place  
Clayton, CA 94517

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wheat could be more important than preserving this legacy for the future life of our planet, at a threatening time like the present? Please seize this opportunity to TAKE ACTION!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lucinda Lenicheck  
342 Oxford Ave  
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**WHEN THE BALANCE OF NATURE IS DESTROYED WE ALL LOSE.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Donna Phillips  
2433 Nancy Ln  
Modesto, CA 95350

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**WHEN TREIR GONE THEN WHAT? NO MORE BEAUTY**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Don Fitzpatrick  
23522 Barona Mesa Rd  
Ramona, CA 92065

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**When we kick Trump out we will also ask that federal administrators who have harmed our public lands be fired.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sherrill Futrell  
151 Inner Cir  
Davis, CA 95618



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Where will the children play? We must take care of our natural wonders for the generations that follow. We are stewards at a critical time in human history and we must do all we can to protect these resources, our earth, our America.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Shana Hudson  
164 Spencer Ave  
Sausalito, CA 94965

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**While removal of dead trees is important for fire safety other logging is not indicated. With climate change we need every healthy tree to absorb CO2 and a healthy understory to provide habitat for as many species as possible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Branch  
250 N Auburn St  
Grass Valley, CA 95945

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**While visiting the mountains, it was disconcerting to see the many dead/dying trees in the Sequoia National forest. They were mainly Incense Cedars and several pine varieties (Ponderosa, etc.). The Giant Sequoias and other understory hardwoods all appeared healthy. With that in mind, I hope that future management plans take into consideration the fact that the entire forest is not sick, and that select clearing of dead trees be done carefully with as little impact to the forest as possible. I'm in favor of leaving logs in place to create habitat rather than removing for other uses. I'm in favor of chipping the limbs and leaving the mulch in place to help retain moisture in the forest floors. I do not support creating ORV trails in the forests, due to the loud noise and pollution they create. I also support the Sierra Club's recommendations that are also listed in this email.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Linda Garfield  
341 Mitchell Dr  
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Why would we NOT try to protect this area??? Come on!!!!!! Do ALL you can do to expand, protect, safeguard, etc.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Katherine Silvey  
1567 Ashwood Dr  
Martinez, CA 94553

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Why would you do anything to our remaining real forests other than cherish them, for all the value they already add to our lives?**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Anne Harvey  
3950 Arroyo Sorrento Rd  
San Diego, CA 92130

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wild places, and the opportunity to experience them without impact, are important to the plants and animals inhabiting them, as well as to people. Such experiences improve our physical, emotional, and mental well being. Let's make sure these areas are protected!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

David Overcashier  
323 Garcia Ave  
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wilderness is critical for the health of all creatures.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Katherine Mccamant  
151 Ladera Dr  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wilderness is life!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Ransom  
20665 Old Town Rd  
Tehachapi, CA 93561

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wilderness is too valuable to us all.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Eric Baumgarten  
1904 Fine Gold Ct.  
Eric D, CA 95670

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**wildlife is being threatened at an alarming rate and for totally selfish reasons. There is absolutely NO REASON to not do everything possible to protect further destruction .**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Pat Doherty  
10145 Nancy Ave  
Cherry Valley, CA 92223

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Wildlife, both flora and fauna, is beautiful life. Please preserve and protect all wildlife.<<<**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Harry Knapp  
2560 Colgate Way  
Riverside, CA 92507

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**With climate change increasing its stress on the planet, we need to protect our wild life and wild areas. We gave to try to keep as many ecosystems in tact for as long as possible.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Sharon Liu  
11373 Broadview Dr  
Moorpark, CA 93021

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**With forests being such an important part of climate change remediation, making sure we have the strongest possible protections for our forests is critical.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wahila Wilkie  
2641 Media Way  
San Jose, CA 95125

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**With our environment in peril, California needs the strongest possible management plans for the future of the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. Please protect these forests for all future Californians.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jana Perinchief  
3330 Arbor Way  
Sacramento, CA 95821

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**With the increase of fires in California, protecting and preserving these very special forests is absolutely essential.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Lefler  
7720 Bodega Ave Apt 20  
Sebastopol, CA 95472



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Without a forest to visit, to regain a sense of belonging, and a chance to regain serenity and a wonderful smile, would be a travesty**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jesse Andrews  
6242 Mariposa Ave  
Citrus Heights, CA 95610

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Working in the medical field, I care for patients every day. Our forests and wildlife are crucial to helping people with reducing stress by getting out into nature. Stress is a major contributor to poor health and disease. Preserving this legacy for future generations should be top priority...we should work with nature responsibly and intelligently for the benefit of all humankind.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Nichole Galvin  
266 1/2 El Sueno Rd  
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Yes I agree and THANKYOU!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Gary Downen  
58137 Campanula St  
Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Yes--Alternative C. My family and I and all of our close California friends are in full agreement about this.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

John Engell  
601 Van Ness Ave Apt 1111  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Yo. We as a public deserve public spaces. Build up not out. Without these forests and creatures, we as a species would really not survive.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Janaki Sheth  
1829 Westholme Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90025

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Yosemite is my second home. I hike and love every inch of this park. Please strengthen management plans to include alternative C. Thank you.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Lelia Bogard  
PO Box 24  
Coarsegold, CA 93614

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**You already know these forests and the wildlife they support are irreplaceable. Put as many safeguards in place as needed to protect and sustain them forever!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Hart  
765 Rose Dr  
Benicia, CA 94510

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**You are the guardians of the most precious natural resources that have already suffered too much loss. Tens of thousands of years of growth and a natural forest life can be destroyed in weeks, never to be experienced by coming generations. Please develop a management plan that preserves and even expands these precious forest lands.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Lindemann  
1470 Tunnel Rd  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**You Have to Protect the Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kathy O'Brien  
PO Box 2423  
Redway, CA 95560

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**You know, the oldest trees are the most fire resistant.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cate Swan  
PO Box 54  
Monte Rio, CA 95462

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**You MUST do your job and protect our forests at all costs!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Cat Sautter  
Pob 360  
Carlsbad, CA 92018

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**You need to get this right in this time of global change. We the public We demand more protections and fewer resource extractions. It is time to do the right thing and manage these forests for the long term, both for human use and wildlife protections. These forests are our legacy and must be treated accordingly.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Hartwell Welsh  
2173 Old Arcata Rd  
Bayside, CA 95524

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Your stewardship has helped the United States preserve many beautiful, diverse areas, and I hope the plan put in place will provide the ultimate protection for Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Karen Moffitt  
3111 Groton Way Unit 4  
San Diego, CA 92110

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Management NOT destruction is your mandate.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

linda martens  
9 harrison ave  
Panama City, FL 32401

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**The forest and their wildlife makes the earth what it is. We need to take care of it for it is more important than we realized. Please do your part.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

jennifer greer  
11913 rhodine rd  
riverview, FL 33579

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect Our public lands!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Terris Temple  
Po Box 747  
Haiku, HI 96708



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please come up with the strongest possible plans to protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests. Their majestic beauty needs to be preserved to all to see and enjoy!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Stout  
12043 Greenwood  
Blue Island, IL 60406

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**All wildlife should be protected.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Kaylee Eakles  
505 Miller Rd  
Bowling Green, KY 42101

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I lived in the Sierra Nevada foothills for three years and saw how fragile and vulnerable the forest ecosystem was. Please don't let these precious forested areas be destroyed by leaving them unprotected from growth and unregulated for off-road enthusiasts.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Jane Bramley  
4552 Cobra Dr  
Sparks, NV 89436

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**We need to protect our public lands!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Beth Gentry  
503 Dutchman Ave  
Henderson, NV 89011

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Having hiked through these magnificent national forests, I want to see more of them protected as Wilderness, more waterways considered for wild/scenic designation, and healthy and fully functional ecosystems. Future generations will be grateful.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Wallace Elton  
36 Curt Blvd  
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please consider the role they play. We might not have huge relics from centuries ago, but we have the most beautiful national parks. This land wasn't just made for you, it was made for me too.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Katelin Nelson  
12 schwan Dr.  
Ithaca, NY 14850

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Please protect these mighty giants whose fate is in your hands!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Catherine Siskron  
2446 Onyx Aly  
Eugene, OR 97403

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Your work is very important...and probably largely thankless...so I do thank you for it.**

**As you know, trees are one of our best weapons against climate chaos, and such a simple (and beautiful) way to protect us all, especially your great-grandchildren! Please be ruthless and brave in saving forest and wilderness and wildlife!**

**And for me, especially, save the rivers. Save the sight of them and the sound of them. Keep them clean and beautiful.**

**Thanks again!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,



R. Jessica JONES  
1105 Township Line Rd  
Blue Bell, PA 19422

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I was a Ranger-Naturalist with the NPS for most of the 1970s and 1980s in Grant Grove, SEKI, and as a doctoral level biologist, I want all of us to do everything possible to protect the glorious biology of these two forests and their interrelated Parks and other lands. Please do not enter upon decisions that will degrade them in any manner!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Donald McGraw  
POB 515, 190 South 100 West  
Ephraim, UT 84627

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**Expand and protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests and all inhabitants therein!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Bleu-D'A... Matthews  
PO Box 788  
Chesterfield, VA 23832

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I live in Virginia and I got to visit the Sequoia National Forest. It was my favorite part of the trip.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

abbey cheatham  
408 victoria drive  
troutville, VA 24176

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**U. S. Congress:**

**Act positively to expand and protect the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests, including all National Parks! We must be good stewards of our earthly environment and its inhabitants (wild and domestic).**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

BLEUDA MATTHEWS  
PO Box 788  
Chesterfield, VA 23832

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**These forests are national treasures and as such, need to be protected. They are irreplaceable. Please do everything possible to keep them safe from development of any kind and maintain them in perpetuity.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Susan Garner  
1261 48th Street  
Port Townsend, WA 93023

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**thank you for supporting great causes!**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Katelyn Dummer  
2195 13 1/2 Ave  
Cameron, WI 54822

Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

**I care deeply about the protection and preservation of all animals and habitats! I stand with all plans made to protect wild life in the forests of California.**

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

Miranda Brooks  
1892 Hemlock Ridge Rd  
Tallmansville, WV 26237



Fariba Hamedani  
Forest Plan Revision Team  
Pacific Southwest Regional Office  
1323 Club Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94592

**Re: Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement (RDEIS)**

Dear Sierra National Forest Service Supervisor Dean Gould and Sequoia National Forest Supervisor Teresa Benson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Plans for the Sierra and Sequoia National Forests.

I care deeply about the future of these forests for all Californians, and want to see final forest plans that include additional wilderness recommendations, expanded protection of rivers, streams and watersheds, additional protections for wildlife, key habitats, and roadless areas, and scientifically sound approaches to fire management that protect human communities and promote healthy forest ecosystems.

I support Alternative C and urge the Forest Service to adopt it or strengthen Alternative B to include more recommended wilderness areas on both forests, with an emphasis on low-elevation areas not typically protected by the wilderness system. For the Sequoia National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Golden Trout Wilderness Addition, Stormy Canyon, Oat Mountain, Cannell Peak, and the Domeland Wilderness West Addition. For the Sierra National Forest, recommended wilderness areas should include the Kings River-Monarch Wilderness Addition, Sycamore Springs, San Joaquin River-Ansel Adams Wilderness Addition, Bear Mountain, and Devil Gulch-Ferguson Ridge. All recommended wilderness areas should use boundaries developed by conservation groups to reduce conflicts with motorized and mountain bike trails.

While I commend the expanded inventory of eligible rivers and streams for wild and scenic designations in the Sequoia National Forest, I am deeply concerned about the substantially reduced inventory on the Sierra National Forest. The draft plans should take a watershed approach to identifying eligible rivers and streams by identifying full streams as eligible rather than disconnected segments. For the Sequoia National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including the North Fork, Middle Fork, Tule River, and Rattlesnake Creek (North Fork Kern tributary). For the Sierra National Forest, the Forest Service should recognize additional eligible rivers including all 30+ miles of Dinkey Creek, the lower South Fork San Joaquin, the main San Joaquin below Mammoth Pool and Redinger dams, Granite Creek, and Iron Creek (South Fork Merced tributary).

Lastly, I commend the draft plans for recognizing the importance of high-quality and sustainable forest recreation, acknowledging the changes in use of recreation on the forests, and the need for partnerships to sustainability manage recreation. It is important to me that both plans provide strong direction to improve education and interpretation so that all visitors better understand how to enjoy the forest responsibly. I also hope to see the plans include strong requirements and incentives for Forest Service staff to develop more robust partnerships with local communities, conservation groups and others to help achieve desired conditions for recreation.

Sincerely,

The Undersigned

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1	Mary	Hodgson	Tracy	CA	95377
2	Megan	Herron	San Diego	CA	92104
3	Ferne	Gold	Encinitas	CA	92024
4	Cathy	Colantuono-Delay	Yuba City	CA	95993
5	Binh	Tang	Winnetka	CA	91306
6	Laura	Kielman	Rancho Cordova	CA	95670
7	Tobi	Stonich	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
8	Gretchen	Hoover Anderson	San Francisco	CA	94118
9	Arthur	Connor	Idyllwild	CA	92549
10	Giada	Gattoni Gricourt	Los Gatos	CA	95030
11	Ann-Marie	Olsson	San Francisco	CA	94117
12	Ryan	Lawrence	Oakland	CA	94609
13	Gary	Dowling	Novato	CA	94947
14	Darrell	Robinson	Nevada City	CA	95959
15	Yves	Decargouet	Lucerne	CA	95458
16	Sarah	Townsend	Santa Clara	CA	95050
17	Emily	Damm	Sacramento	CA	95822
18	Nicholas	Jones	Berkeley	CA	94704
19	Allison	Dewitt	Petaluma	CA	94952
20	Steven	Berman	Berkeley	CA	94703
21	Lacey	Hicks	San Diego	CA	92103
22	Heather	Emery	Grass Valley	CA	95949
23	Alessandra	Wetmore	Crockett	CA	94525
24	Dave	Rawcliffe	Pleasanton	CA	94566
25	Diane	Pearl	South San Francisco	CA	94080
26	Jarrold	Baniqued	Woodland	CA	95695
27	Vincent	Wong	San Francisco	CA	94102
28	Wendy	Weikel	Berkeley	CA	94707
29	Deborah	Holcomb	Los Angeles	CA	90025
30	Judy	Shively	San Diego	CA	92101
31	Sydney	Kaster	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
32	Armand	Ramirez	Covina	CA	91723
33	Lacey	Wozny	Los Angeles	CA	90042
34	Nina	Mcnitzky	Redwood City	CA	94065
35	Suzanne	Erickson	Sonoma	CA	95370
36	Aixa	Fielder	Los Angeles	CA	90028
37	Perla	Suerte	Los Angeles	CA	90014
38	Kate	Robinson	San Diego	CA	92117
39	Marilyn	Levine	Mountain View	CA	94041
40	Barbara	Chesnut	San Francisco	CA	94109
41	Kersti	Evans	Sacramento	CA	95822
42	Tracy	Sherrer	Fairfield	CA	94534
43	Dietmar	Lorenz	Berkeley	CA	94702
44	Karen	Niles	Redondo Beach	CA	90278
45	Michelle	Epstein	Oakland	CA	94607
46	Irene	Torley	Murrieta	CA	92563
47	Jane	Centers	San Jose	CA	95124
48	Janet	Heinle	Santa Monica	CA	90403
49	Pam	Brown	Orangevale	CA	95662
50	Melinda	Mendelson	Napa	CA	94558

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
51	Mary	Quimby	Arcadia	CA	91006
52	Bruce	Baldwin	Berkeley	CA	94704
53	Alison	Dickey	Monrovia	CA	91016
54	Victor	Sella-Villa	Oakland	CA	94605
55	Rilla	Heslin	La Mesa	CA	91944
56	Claire	Perricelli	Eureka	CA	95501
57	Preston	Metzger	Woodland	CA	95776
58	Wayne	Gibb	Forestville	CA	95436
59	Deborah	Knight	San Diego	CA	92122
60	Derek	Knowles	Sonoma	CA	95476
61	Sarah	Louie	Carlsbad	CA	92011
62	Megan	Thompson	Sonoma	CA	95476
63	Kelsey	Nunes	Corona	CA	92881
64	Ellen	Rosenblum	Palo Alto	CA	94301
65	Jim	Finn	Cazadero	CA	95421
66	Larry	Emerson	San Diego	CA	92106
67	Girvani	Leerer	Berkeley	CA	94702
68	Deb	Santos	San Leandro	CA	94577
69	Jesse	Kozak	Encinitas	CA	92024
70	Heather	Kyte	Eureka	CA	95503
71	Katherine	Mccanlies	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
72	John	Steponaitis	San Francisco	CA	94109
73	Robert	Tyson	Lincoln	CA	95648
74	Judith	Ehrlich	Berkeley	CA	94704
75	Pamela	Clark	Tiburon	CA	94920
76	Matthew	Ochmanek	San Francisco	CA	94112
77	Currie	Hambright	Carlsbad	CA	92009
78	Michele	Santoro	Davis	CA	95616
79	Saroyan	Humphrey	San Francisco	CA	94117
80	Julie	Sasaoka	Concord	CA	94518
81	Mj	Toppen	Los Alamitos	CA	90720
82	Scott	Broecker	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
83	Gary and Seraphina	Landgrebe	Soquel	CA	95073
84	Leslie	Africa	Reseda	CA	91335
85	David	Marinsik	Santa Rosa	CA	95401
86	Craig	Bettencourt	Seaside	CA	93955
87	Mary Lu	Kennelly	Napa	CA	94558
88	Jean	Bidwell	Hayward	CA	94543
89	Michael	Abler	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
90	Carl	Sorem	Livermore	CA	94550
91	Signe	Wetteland	West Sacramento	CA	95691
92	Charles	Binckley	El Cerrito	CA	94530
93	Pat	Knoop	San Jose	CA	95120
94	Anne-Lise	Francois	Berkeley	CA	94708
95	Cecile	Geary	Laguna Niguel	CA	92677
96	Steven	Byrd	San Diego	CA	92123
97	Christian	Heinold	Oakland	CA	94612
98	Mark	Cappetta	Rancho Mirage	CA	92270
99	Michael	Tomczyszyn	San Francisco	CA	94132
100	Richard	Campbell	Woodland Hills	CA	91367
101	Peter	Kuhn	San Diego	CA	92117
102	Jennie	Quimbita	Culver City	CA	90230
103	Erich	Rex	San Bruno	CA	94066
104	Hannah	Chauvet	Berkeley	CA	94704

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
105	Valerie	Romero	Quincy	CA	95971
106	James & Anne	McCammon	La Jolla	CA	92037
107	Ray	Rodney	Woodacre	CA	94973
108	Jason	Wilson	Alameda	CA	94501
109	Michelle	Mackenzie	Menlo Park	CA	94025
110	Lauren	Tomicich	Oceanside	CA	92054
111	Robin	Browndorf	San Jose	CA	95125
112	Blake	Wu	Lafayette	CA	94549
113	Keith	Tadler	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
114	Martha	Mcnamee	Walnut Creek	CA	94595
115	Charles	Wilmoth	San Francisco	CA	94124
116	John	Landmann	San Diego	CA	92101
117	Emily	Betts	Petaluma	CA	94952
118	Judith	Smith	Oakland	CA	94601
119	Sharon	Hawkinson	Citrus Heights	CA	95610
120	Judy	Amarena	San Carlos	CA	94070
121	Bob	Wellsted	Concord	CA	94521
122	Maya	Hovey	San Francisco	CA	94123
123	Steven	Korson	Riverside	CA	92503
124	Tim	Dufka	San Francisco	CA	94117
125	Patria	Moua	Redding	CA	96002
126	Luis	Gonzalez-Reimann	Berkeley	CA	94705
127	Tim	Barrington	San Jose	CA	95112
128	Howard	Meyerson	El Cerrito	CA	94530
129	Jane	Biggins	Ukiah	CA	95482
130	Vanessa	Escamilla	West Hollywood	CA	90069
131	Ronnie	Bogart	San Anselmo	CA	94960
132	Green	Greenwald	Guerneville	CA	95446
133	Marta	Brians	Petaluma	CA	94952
134	Ruby	Nieto	West Sacramento	CA	95691
135	Setsuko	Furuike	Summerland	CA	93067
136	Joyce	Schellenberg	Los Gatos	CA	95032
137	Clare	Seche	Windsor	CA	95492
138	Kelsey	Bollman	San Francisco	CA	94103
139	Mark	Hurst	Orinda	CA	94563
140	Carol	Carlisle	Albany	CA	94706
141	Mike	Kappus	San Francisco	CA	94116
142	Gabriel	Easterling	Ramona	CA	92065
143	A	Chalmers	Palos Verdes Est.	CA	90274
144	Laura	Malchow-Hay	Oakland	CA	94610
145	Lori	Slusher	Pine Valley	CA	91962
146	Suzanne	Beaton	Beverly Hills	CA	90210
147	Catherine	Macan	Davis	CA	95616
148	James	Ashcraft	Sacramento	CA	95825
149	Christopher	Brown	San Rafael	CA	94901
150	Jennifer	Robins	Huntington Beach	CA	92647
151	Janet	Kennington	Los Angeles	CA	90077
152	Michael	Hogan	Del Mar	CA	92014
153	Tauny	Kasuya	San Rafael	CA	94901
154	Melissa	Hutchinson	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
155	Richard	Mellen	San Diego	CA	92126
156	Robert	Stine	San Francisco	CA	94117
157	Valin	Brown	San Diego	CA	92120
158	Armando A.	Garcia	Perris	CA	92571

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
159	Simone	Schad-Siebert	Encinitas	CA	92024
160	Anne	Barr	Kentfield	CA	94904
161	Rebecca	Harper	Los Angeles	CA	90049
162	Betty	Kissilove	San Francisco	CA	94122
163	Cecilia	Hill	San Diego	CA	92131
164	Nancy	Biggins	Ukiah	CA	95482
165	John	Kirk	Santa Barbara	CA	93109
166	Carolyn	Dickson	Rancho Cucamor	CA	91730
167	Naneene	Van Gelder	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
168	Bonnie	Larson	Salinas	CA	93908
169	Nayana	Darrah	Santa Cruz	CA	95065
170	Christine	Doyka	Garberville	CA	95542
171	Patricia	Landingham	Sacramento	CA	95864
172	David	Wolf	San Francisco	CA	94110
173	Gail	Prothero	San Juan Capistrano	CA	92675
174	Leigh	Slater	Santa Rosa	CA	95403
175	Sue	Davies	Philo	CA	95466
176	Glen	Deardorff	Castro Valley	CA	94546
177	David	Mazariegos	Sacramento	CA	95828
178	Beverly	Poncia	Lower Lake	CA	95457
179	Crissy	Slaughter	Santa Barbara	CA	93109
180	Anne	Mahler	Santa Clara	CA	95051
181	Wes	Sangster	Sonoma	CA	95476
182	Michael	Leblanc	Sebastopol	CA	95472
183	Bruce	England	Mountain View	CA	94043
184	Margaret	Anthony	San Jose	CA	95129
185	Mal	Gaff	Lompoc	CA	93436
186	Alan	Phillips	Santa Rosa	CA	95409
187	Alison	Bentley	Arcata	CA	94116
188	Nathan	atkins	Los Angeles	CA	90066
189	Willy	Bray	Dana Point	CA	92629
190	Karin and Ulrich	Rohlfs	Palo Alto	CA	94303
191	Karen	Roberts	San Diego	CA	92106
192	Amy	Howk	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
193	Anshuman	Chandra	Sunnyvale	CA	94087
194	Suzan	Kaplan	Alameda	CA	94501
195	Rev Gregory	Yaroslow	Redlands	CA	92373
196	John	Teevan	Chula Vista	CA	91914
197	Rj	Coopet	Irvine	CA	92618
198	Lawrence	Gibbs	San Francisco	CA	94131
199	Cindy	Kamler	Bishop	CA	93514
200	Liv	Pike	Poway	CA	92064
201	Steve	Lasack	Castro Valley	CA	94546
202	Ada	Braun	Portola Valley	CA	94028
203	Denise	Fidel	Cardiff By the Sea	CA	92007
204	Judy	Beachler	Davis	CA	95618
205	John	Petroni	El Cerrito	CA	94530
206	Rita	Davenport	Lake Elsinore	CA	92530
207	Laurel	Cameron	Redondo Beach	CA	90277
208	Allen	Leinwand	San Jose	CA	95124
209	Moto	Nakanishi	San Diego	CA	92111
210	Daniele	Rubicic	Lafayette	CA	94549
211	Yahaira	Ortiz	Union City	CA	94587
212	Lorraine	Fesq	Playa Del Rey	CA	90293

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
213	Richard	Greiner	San Jose	CA	95125
214	Gary and Ellen Shick	Beckerman	Santa Ynez	CA	93460
215	Andrea	Tracey	El Cajon	CA	92020
216	Christie	Reed	Concord	CA	94520
217	Ester	Deel	Oakland	CA	94603
218	Yefim	Maizel	San Francisco	CA	94131
219	Donna	Jensen	Playa Vista	CA	90094
220	Barbara	Stannard	Sacramento	CA	95835
221	Joslyn	Baxter	San Francisco	CA	94115
222	Alan	Weiss	Santa Clara	CA	95055
223	Sylvia	Levy	Piedmont	CA	94611
224	Gary	Warner	Fort Jones	CA	96032
225	Mark	Miles	San Francisco	CA	94131
226	Ryan	Davis	Burbank	CA	91502
227	Pam	Zimmerman	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
228	John	Velazquez	Chula Vista	CA	91913
229	Mark	Alexander	Rialto	CA	92376
230	James	Wiggins	Santa Barbara	CA	93110
231	Kate	Bordisso	Mill Valley	CA	94941
232	Jeannette	Schreiber	San Jose	CA	95123
233	Paul	Souza	Carpinteria	CA	93013
234	Daniela	Soleri	Santa Barbara	CA	93110
235	Amy	Liebman	Burlingame	CA	94010
236	Margaret	Spak	Menlo Park	CA	94025
237	Barbara	Greenwood	Walnut Creek	CA	94596
238	George	Lloyd	Placerville	CA	95667
239	Linda	Ramirez	West Sacramento	CA	95691
240	Kenneth	Nahigian	Sacramento	CA	95827
241	Jane	Dehart	Santa Barbara	CA	93108
242	Guy	Zahller	Aptos	CA	95003
243	Werner	Rubas	Redwood City	CA	94061
244	Ron	Hansen	Concord	CA	94519
245	Lisabette	Brinkman	Santa Barbara	CA	93101
246	Deb	Federin	La Jolla	CA	92037
247	Wallace	Rhine	Cazadero	CA	95421
248	Steve	Fergus	McKinleyville	CA	95519
249	Elise	Dirlam-Ching	Walnut Creek	CA	94595
250	Lou	Macmillan	Berkeley	CA	94710
251	David	Warrender	Sebastopol	CA	95472
252	Howard	Whitaker	Gold River	CA	95670
253	Mary	Tapley	Palm Desert	CA	92211
254	Ashley	Tupper	San Francisco	CA	94115
255	Johnathon	Kruger	San Leandro	CA	94579
256	Mary	Ingles	Napa	CA	94559
257	Brian	Gentner	San Francisco	CA	94115
258	Tom	Slone	Walnut Creek	CA	94596
259	David	Gebow	Guerneville	CA	95446
260	Karen	Donaldson	Grass Valley	CA	95945
261	Deja	Halliburton	Moreno Valley	CA	92557
262	Ken	Haber	Beverly Hills	CA	90211
263	Valerie	Nordeman	Laytonville	CA	95454
264	Floyd	O'Brien	Stockton	CA	95204
265	Karen	Chinn	Cloverdale	CA	95425
266	Sara	Kelly	Goleta	CA	93117

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
267	James R	Monroe	Concord	CA	94521
268	Rene	Bloch	Apple Valley	CA	92307
269	Greg	Ratkovsky	Oakland	CA	94619
270	Lauren	Leonarduzzi	Gilroy	CA	95020
271	Stephen	Kozlowski	Temecula	CA	92592
272	Morgan	Machen	South Lake Tahoe	CA	96158
273	Robin	Wiener	Davis	CA	95616
274	Thomas	Burt	Santa Barbara	CA	93110
275	Andy	Philpot	Solvang	CA	93463
276	George	Freund	South Lake Tahoe	CA	96150
277	Lucia	Roncalli	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
278	Lynne	Preston	San Francisco	CA	94110
279	Noah	Haydon	Daly City	CA	94015
280	Corinne	Miller	El Cajon	CA	92020
281	Bradrick	Allison	Laguna Beach	CA	92651
282	Vic	Deangelo	San Francisco	CA	94121
283	Stacy	Hall	San Diego	CA	92104
284	Michael	Stricker	Clearlake Oaks	CA	95423
285	Christine	Sowers	South lake tahoe	CA	96150
286	Paul	Thompson	Sacramento	CA	95831
287	Barbara	Ginsberg	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
288	Connie	Hernandez	Santa Clara	CA	95050
289	Lily	Cohen	Novato	CA	94949
290	Cynthia	Mittelstadt	Pollock Pines	CA	95726
291	Jim	Lansing	San Francisco	CA	94133
292	Claire	Flewitt	San Leandro	CA	94579
293	Ann	Worthington	San Clemente	CA	92672
294	Robin	Weirich	Irvine	CA	92618
295	Chelsea	Emerson	Sacramento	CA	95816
296	Lynn	Piquett	Santa Cruz	CA	95063
297	Ha	Koo	Foster City	CA	94404
298	John	Bruner	Oceanside	CA	92054
299	Nicole	Fountain	Fremont	CA	94536
300	Alex	Robinson	San Francisco	CA	94118
301	Maggie	Hodges	San Francisco	CA	94112
302	Chloe	Azurin	Sacramento	CA	95818
303	Benjamin	Leslie	Apple Valley	CA	92307
304	Roger	Vaught	Redwood City	CA	94061
305	J.A.	Blum	San Francisco	CA	94110
306	Robert	Dawson	Los Angeles	CA	90065
307	Zoe	Orandle	El Segundo	CA	90245
308	Sergio	Hernandez	Fremont	CA	94536
309	Bob	Miller	Woodland Hills	CA	91364
310	Catherine	Maher	El Cajon	CA	92019
311	Jonathan	Wood	Roseville	CA	95678
312	Georgia	R	Fair Oaks	CA	95628
313	Dan	Cohen	Oakland	CA	94609
314	Jeanette	Snow	Oceanside	CA	92058
315	Catherine	Uchiyama	Salinas	CA	93906
316	Marcina	Motter	Encinitas	CA	92024
317	Merry	Oppenheimer	Aptos	CA	95003
318	Mo	Hurley	Oakland	CA	94610
319	Diane	Dulkevich	Union City	CA	94587
320	Bonita	Mugnani	Santa Cruz	CA	95062

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
321	Clayton	Blackburn	Palm Springs	CA	92262
322	rose	rygiel	Half Moon Bay	CA	94019
323	Anthony	Tupasi	San Francisco	CA	94122
324	Laurel	Fanya	Oakland	CA	94601
325	Bruce	Orinstein	Monterey	CA	93940
326	Ray	Griminger	San Francisco	CA	94103
327	Marc	Pilisuk	Berkeley	CA	94708
328	Kathleen	Dear	Santa Monica	CA	90404
329	Cathy	Foxhoven	Millbrae	CA	94030
330	Kasey	Rabz	Sacramento	CA	95817
331	Kevin	C	Eureka	CA	95503
332	Betty	Horner	San Jose	CA	95128
333	Adrian	Fried	Novato	CA	94947
334	Alexander	Vollmer	San Rafael	CA	94901
335	John	Webb	Arcata	CA	95521
336	Ann	Stratten	La Mesa	CA	91941
337	M	French	Sacramento	CA	95817
338	Paul	Bechtel	Redlands	CA	92373
339	Gilda	Fusilier	Sacramento	CA	95831
340	Gene	Cain	Sacramento	CA	95826
341	Judith	Madigan	Loyalton	CA	96118
342	Janet	Bagby	Boulder Creek	CA	95006
343	Tonya	Cockrell	Corona	CA	92882
344	Kimble	Darlington	Smith River	CA	95567
345	Glen	Taysom	Roseville	CA	95747
346	Geoffrey	Shaw	Upland	CA	91786
347	Donald	Hendricks	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
348	Joe	Bagby	Spring Valley	CA	91977
349	Frances	Mearns	San Marcos	CA	92078
350	Patricia	DeVea	Oakland	CA	94619
351	Margie	Adams	Trinidad	CA	95570
352	Karen	Toyohara	La Mesa	CA	91941
353	Kelley	Mcdowell	Colusa	CA	95932
354	Kyra	Auerbach	Sebastopol	CA	95472
355	Steven	Perry	Placerville	CA	95667
356	Peter	Peterson	Walnut Creek	CA	94595
357	Eleanor	Gomez	San Francisco	CA	94116
358	Kitty	Williamson	Carmichael	CA	95608
359	Laakea	Laano	Oakland	CA	94611
360	Catherine	Davis	Lafayette	CA	94549
361	Monica	Putt	San Diego	CA	92115
362	Mary	Gamson	Oakland	CA	94610
363	Fionna	Davis	Eureka	CA	95502
364	Barbara	Sena	Sacramento	CA	95817
365	Jackie	Stewart	Clayton	CA	94517
366	Karla	Hernandez	SAN DIEGO	CA	92102
367	Terrence	Butler	Van Nuys	CA	91405
368	Tessa	Rife	San Francisco	CA	94109
369	James & Joan	Jordan	Gualala	CA	95445
370	Sherry	Marsh	Oceanside	CA	92056
371	Robin	Kohler	San Diego	CA	92109
372	Michael	Rudolphsen	San Diego	CA	92105
373	Marsha	Malone	Chino	CA	91710
374	Maile	Chock	Los Gatos	CA	95032



Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
375	Kermit	Cuff	Mountain View	CA	94041
376	Riley	Buehler	Inglewood	CA	90302
377	Matt	Bender	Cardiff By the Sea	CA	92007
378	Stephen	Ludwig	Pacifica	CA	94044
379	Robert	Stern	San Rafael	CA	94903
380	Linda	Goldstone	San Francisco	CA	94117
381	Lynette	Ridder	Concord	CA	94521
382	Edward	Sullivan	San Francisco	CA	94116
383	Vernon	Jacobs	Corte Madera	CA	94925
384	Sarah	Bogart	Sonoma	CA	95476
385	Natalie	Blasco	Anderson	CA	96007
386	Steve & Isabelle	Robey	Berkeley	CA	94708
387	Joan	Andersson	Topanga	CA	90290
388	Jean	Mont-Eton	San Francisco	CA	94116
389	Zubair	Ahmed	Oakland	CA	94603
390	Beverly	Witchner	Albion	CA	95410
391	Michael	Cass	Novato	CA	94947
392	Kimberly	Paige	Kensington	CA	94707
393	Jessica	Sorrels	Oakland	CA	94619
394	Dan and Lilly	Kittredge	La Mesa	CA	91941
395	Robert	Gondell	Woodacre	CA	94973
396	Lisa	Patton	San Francisco	CA	94115
397	Gary and Teresa	Godfrey	Portola Valley	CA	94028
398	Annika	Miller	Mill Valley	CA	94941
399	Dave	Ogilvie	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
400	Samuel	Durkin	Fairfield	CA	94534
401	Renee	Harper	San Leandro	CA	94577
402	Steve	Bean	Corral De Tierra	CA	93908
403	Grace	Barker	Santa Clara	CA	95050
404	Van	Hausman	San Francisco	CA	94131
405	Laura	Morales	San Ysidro	CA	92173
406	Micha	De Haan	Berkeley	CA	94506
407	Elaine	Franco	Woodland	CA	95776
408	Brenda	Smith	Mendocino	CA	95460
409	James	Voight	San Diego	CA	92109
410	Jeff	Nedd	Sonoma	CA	95476
411	Lisa Braun	Glazer	La Jolla	CA	92037
412	Charlene	Kerchevall	Oceanside	CA	92054
413	Rich	Goldberg	Penngrove	CA	94951
414	Carolyn	Kelso	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
415	Debbie	Rajcic	Riverside	CA	92503
416	Dennis	Hays	Vallejo	CA	94591
417	Steven	Yellin	Menlo Park	CA	94025
418	Natalie	Pepper	San Lorenzo	CA	94580
419	Silvia	Raum	Irvine	CA	92620
420	Kimberly	Skuster	San Diego	CA	92128
421	Paula	Summers	Fair Oaks	CA	95628
422	Jeffrey	Stone	Yreka	CA	96097
423	Micki	Meredith	Cazadero	CA	95421
424	Carolyn	Hedgecock	Fremont	CA	94536
425	Seth	Picker	Diamond Springs	CA	95619
426	Robert	Aston	San Francisco	CA	94118
427	Kristine	Boggis	San Diego	CA	92115
428	Talia	Herman	Petaluma	CA	94952

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
429	Miyuki	Powell	Midway City	CA	92655
430	Jade	English	Sacramento	CA	95831
431	C.	Yee	Sacramento	CA	95822
432	Cindy	Ferguson	Sacramento	CA	95827
433	Pat	Powell	Devore Heights	CA	92407
434	Linda	Gourley	San Francisco	CA	94117
435	Amy	Wilson	San Mateo	CA	94401
436	Jackson	Thomas	San Diego	CA	92122
437	Chris	Blackburn	Claremont	CA	91711
438	Amy	Franz	La Habra Heights	CA	90631
439	Diana	McBride	San Rafael	CA	94901
440	Janet	Lambert	Mount Shasta	CA	96067
441	Beryl	Kay	San Francisco	CA	94123
442	Linda	Clark	El Sobrante	CA	94803
443	Diane	Mccoy	Palo Alto	CA	94303
444	Ralph & Nancy	Meyberg	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
445	Sheri	Morris	Livermore	CA	94550
446	Jack	Milton	Davis	CA	95616
447	Paul and Celia	Concus	Kensington	CA	94708
448	Patty	Mcferrin	Sonoma	CA	95476
449	Frank	Vargas	Ione	CA	95640
450	Garrett	William	Anderson	CA	96007
451	Anne	Barker	San Rafael	CA	94901
452	Jennifer	Fraser	Petaluma	CA	94952
453	Ben	Anderson	Burlingame	CA	94010
454	Bruce	Grobman	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
455	Chris	Driskell	Redding	CA	96001
456	Alisha	Nickols	Stockton	CA	95207
457	Georgia	Labey	Lakeside	CA	92040
458	Mark	Zeljak	San Jose	CA	95118
459	Pamela	Scott	Boulder Creek	CA	95006
460	Judy	Gustin	San Francisco	CA	94123
461	Daphne	Chakurian	Roseville	CA	95747
462	Armando	MacMillan	Castroville	CA	95012
463	Elaine	Benjamin	Alpine	CA	91901
464	Jessica	Shevlin	Sacramento	CA	95826
465	Harumi	Austria	Berkeley	CA	94703
466	Nicole	Chang	Napa	CA	94558
467	Charles	Heinrichs	Yreka	CA	96097
468	Shalena	Oxley-Butler	Los Angeles	CA	90042
469	Agnew	Wilson	West Hollywood	CA	90069
470	Ken	Lamance	San Carlos	CA	94070
471	Joyce	Pennell	San Mateo	CA	94402
472	Linda	Trevillian	Alhambra	CA	91803
473	J	Angell	Rescue	CA	95672
474	Allyson	Sammons	Oceanside	CA	92054
475	Kalyani	Roldan	Santa Barbara	CA	93101
476	Nan	Matthews	Pacifica	CA	94044
477	Peter	Davoll	Ukiah	CA	95482
478	Garret	Arrieta	San Diego	CA	92104
479	Suzanne	Hodges	Rancho Cordova	CA	95670
480	Jeanne	Martin	Pescadero	CA	94060
481	Sharon	Ketcherside	Lincoln	CA	95648
482	Jerry	Hayes	Benicia	CA	94510

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
483	J.	Schaffell	Kensington	CA	94707
484	Sherry	Smith	Sonoma	CA	95476
485	Stephen	Greenberg	Nevada City	CA	95959
486	Robert	MacKenzie	San Jose	CA	95126
487	Kerry	Memole	Redwood City	CA	94062
488	Jamie	LeDent	Alameda	CA	94501
489	Connie	Lindgren	Arcata	CA	95521
490	Amichai	Serri-Menkes	Berkeley	CA	94709
491	Oneyda	Perez	San Bruno	CA	94066
492	Heather	Shirk	Murrieta	CA	92562
493	Peter	Kirsheman	San Francisco	CA	94109
494	Samantha	Maxwell	Orangevale	CA	95662
495	Katherine	Haley	Fort Bragg	CA	95437
496	John	Martin	Santa Barbara	CA	93103
497	Ellie	Antonio	Blairsden Graeag	CA	96103
498	Leigh	Stamets	Carmichael	CA	95608
499	Kelcey	Poe	Oakland	CA	94605
500	Josh	Ransom	San Diego	CA	92109
501	Melissa	Matlow	Watsonville	CA	95076
502	Susan	Smith	Pollock Pines	CA	95726
503	Kimberly	Sickel	Laguna Hills	CA	92653
504	Joseph	White	Cool	CA	95614
505	Annalee	Pineda	San Francisco	CA	94109
506	Kevin	Schader	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
507	Patricia	Cachopo	Santa Clara	CA	95050
508	Jan	Salas	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
509	Miriam	Baum	Alta Loma	CA	91701
510	Mark	Walker	Granite Bay	CA	95746
511	Sharon	Essey	San Diego	CA	92131
512	Vira	Confectioner	Sunol	CA	94586
513	Peter	Gang	Petaluma	CA	94952
514	David	Hermeyer	San Francisco	CA	94117
515	Roberta	Newman	Mill Valley	CA	94941
516	Rick	Gomez	Rancho Cucamor	CA	91701
517	Donna	Woodhams	Rialto	CA	92376
518	Rob	Rondanini	Roseville	CA	95678
519	Dorothy	Frantz	Berkeley	CA	94703
520	David	Pearce	Concord	CA	94518
521	Kirsten	Hill	San Francisco	CA	94121
522	Harry	Santi	San Leandro	CA	94579
523	Walter	Ramsey	Oakley	CA	94561
524	Paul	Vesper	Berkeley	CA	94703
525	Michael	Barnes	Carlsbad	CA	92011
526	C	J	Truckee	CA	96162
527	David	Peterson	San Jose	CA	95112
528	Vicki	Gold	Mount Shasta	CA	96067
529	Patric	Kearns	Sonoma	CA	95476
530	Sara	Usher	Oakland	CA	94602
531	Erin	Foret	Martinez	CA	94553
532	Allan	Armstrong	Saint Helena	CA	94574
533	Leah	Berman	Aptos	CA	95003
534	Rebecca	Carey	Santa Maria	CA	93454
535	Sharon	Morris	Hayward	CA	94541
536	Daniel	Podell	Santa Rosa	CA	95404

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
537	James	Stamos	Saratoga	CA	95070
538	Carol	Gignoux	Pasadena	CA	91101
539	James	Richards	Antioch	CA	94509
540	Mary	Maher	Milpitas	CA	95035
541	Robin	Sloan	Novato	CA	94949
542	Rick	Luttmann	Rohnert Park	CA	94928
543	Joan	Goulden	Los Angeles	CA	90005
544	David	Drummond	Richmond	CA	94804
545	Pia	Loeper	Orinda	CA	94563
546	David	Tanner	Woodland Hills	CA	91367
547	James	Patton	Los Altos	CA	94024
548	Timothy	Villalobos	Spring Valley	CA	91977
549	Anne	Morales	Buellton	CA	93427
550	Penelope	Heintz	Cedar Ridge	CA	95924
551	Scott	Barlow	Sunnyvale	CA	94087
552	Susan	Oliver	San Diego	CA	92111
553	Amy	Zink	Oakland	CA	94606
554	Sarah	Valentine	Saratoga	CA	95070
555	Sandra	Drury	Apple Valley	CA	92308
556	Stephen	Walsh	Mill Valley	CA	94941
557	Steven	Horeff	Los Gatos	CA	95030
558	Meg	Carter	Oakland	CA	94610
559	Julia	Casto	San Jose	CA	95125
560	Pavel	Skaldin	San Francisco	CA	94133
561	Walden	Simper	San Diego	CA	92101
562	Chuck	Karp	Palm Desert	CA	92261
563	Misty	Arne	Mentone	CA	92359
564	Barbara	Hvoschinsky	Redwood City	CA	94065
565	Jacques	Levy	Occidental	CA	95465
566	Ms. Carla	Compton	Placerville	CA	95667
567	Edward	Johnston	Napa	CA	94558
568	Ms. Carla	Compton	Placerville	CA	95667
569	Nydia	Cardona	Lake Elsinore	CA	92532
570	Kwynn	Uyehara	Fremont	CA	94538
571	Adrienne	Russo	Santa Barbara	CA	93109
572	Robert	Jardine	Cupertino	CA	95014
573	Carol	Eyster	Redlands	CA	92373
574	Jeremy	Talarico	Concord	CA	94521
575	Renee	Cox	Citrus Heights	CA	95610
576	Margaret	Rich	Cupertino	CA	95014
577	William	O'Hare	Daly City	CA	94015
578	Micheline	Worth	Petaluma	CA	94952
579	Christian	Martinez	San Leandro	CA	94578
580	Mary	Mc Manus	Berkeley	CA	94709
581	Peter	Duyan	San Francisco	CA	94117
582	Hope	Mcdonnell	Oakland	CA	94609
583	Kara	Deen	Sacramento	CA	95815
584	Pamela	Westlund	Santa Barbara	CA	93103
585	Harold	Silverstein	Carlsbad	CA	92008
586	Jo Ann	Atteberry	Carmichael	CA	95608
587	Manuel	Correa	Montara	CA	94037
588	Roberta	Stern	Oakland	CA	94618
589	J. Spencer.	Lake	San Diego	CA	92109
590	Golda	Michelson	Fairfax	CA	94930

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
591	Aaron	Bagheri	Goleta	CA	93117
592	Susan	Knee	La Jolla	CA	92037
593	Nadia	Shimotsu	San Diego	CA	92106
594	Brit	Lesnett	Novato	CA	94945
595	Wayne	Steffes	Redding	CA	96001
596	Drusilla	Burrell	Albany	CA	94706
597	Mary	Kuntz-Cote	Oakland	CA	94609
598	Linda	S	Oceanside	CA	92054
599	Jamilyn	Davenport	La Mesa	CA	91942
600	K	G	San Diego	CA	92118
601	Anne	Spesick	Auburn	CA	95604
602	Sharlene	Goldman	Murrieta	CA	92564
603	Helene	Whitson	Berkeley	CA	94709
604	Peter	Cole	Oceanside	CA	92056
605	Marge	Barry	Pittsburg	CA	94565
606	Gida	Naser	Vacaville	CA	95687
607	David	Troup	San Jose	CA	95128
608	Robert	Lea	Monterey	CA	93940
609	Allen	Rozelle	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
610	Robert	Miller	Imperial Beach	CA	91932
611	Stephen	Evans	Mountain View	CA	94040
612	Joy	Fox	North Hollywood	CA	91601
613	Warren	Gold	Mill Valley	CA	94941
614	Gail and Tom	Morman	San Jose	CA	95128
615	Ct	Bross	Walnut Creek	CA	94597
616	Terry & Martin	Horwitz	San Francisco	CA	94122
617	Kelly	Brannigan	Oceanside	CA	92056
618	Carol	Ellenberger	Morgan Hill	CA	95037
619	kenneth	deitz	Fontana	CA	92335
620	T	Grasso	Oakland	CA	94605
621	Richard	Dovgin	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
622	Mika	Menasco	San Diego	CA	92114
623	Les	Waters	Berkeley	CA	94708
624	David	Harralson	Hollywood	CA	90068
625	Janice	Girocco	San Diego	CA	92131
626	J.B.	Sacks	West Hills	CA	91307
627	Dirk	Reed	Soquel	CA	95073
628	Brian	Batoosingh	Lincoln	CA	95648
629	Diana	Lubin	La Mesa	CA	91941
630	Kevin	Mckelvie	Palm Springs	CA	92264
631	Isabella	Lomax	Riverside	CA	92508
632	Martha	Carrington	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
633	Carolann	Johnson	Riverside	CA	92506
634	Debi	Griepsma	Fontana	CA	92335
635	Barbara	Haya	Emeryville	CA	94608
636	Tolbert	Mccarroll	Annapolis	CA	95412
637	Gail	Angevine	San Pedro	CA	90732
638	Sherman	Lewis	Hayward	CA	94542
639	Donald	Pieper	Half Moon Bay	CA	94019
640	Jenny	Tak	Sacramento	CA	95864
641	David	Gubernick	Carmel Valley	CA	93924
642	Mary	Zamagni	Valley Springs	CA	95252
643	Diane	Sweeney	San Diego	CA	92107
644	Judy	Johnson	Hayward	CA	94545

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
645	Rj	Alexander	Riverside	CA	92504
646	Bill	Britton	Livermore	CA	94550
647	Vanessa	Barragan	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
648	Josh	Sonnenfeld	Oakland	CA	94602
649	Margaret	Wessels	Aptos	CA	95003
650	Barbara	Bills	Placerville	CA	95667
651	James	Dinsmore	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
652	Megan	Hungerford	Gilroy	CA	95020
653	Juan Jose	Martinez Brun	Coronado	CA	92118
654	Charline	Ratcliff	Monterey	CA	93940
655	Marion	Vittitow	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
656	Mary	Morandin	Oakland	CA	94607
657	Andrea	Kaufman	Guerneville	CA	95446
658	Rudolf	Beran	Foster City	CA	94404
659	Emma	Gilbride	Fairfield	CA	94533
660	Barbara	Koeth	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
661	Laura	Dill	Albany	CA	94706
662	Joel	Soloksky	Walnut Creek	CA	94595
663	Kat	Murphy	La Jolla	CA	92037
664	Barbara	Benzwi	Oakland	CA	94618
665	Rudy	Stefenel	Milpitas	CA	95035
666	Zoe	Schwartz	Healdsburg	CA	95448
667	Matthew	Culmore	Windsor	CA	95492
668	Holly	L	middletown	CA	95928
669	Joyce	Johnson	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
670	Trish	Tatarian	Santa Rosa	CA	95407
671	Monica	Ventrice	Loma Mar	CA	94021
672	Joseph	Buhowsky	San Ramon	CA	94582
673	Grace	Mason	San Jacinto	CA	92583
674	Nancy	Hunter	Gold River	CA	95670
675	G	Foster	San Bernardino	CA	92408
676	Marty	Jordan	San Mateo	CA	94401
677	Dorothy	Nirenstein	Kentfield	CA	94904
678	Erik	Shank	Woodland	CA	95695
679	Janet	Sovin	Berkeley	CA	94705
680	Frank	Howard	Sacramento	CA	95835
681	James	Zhou	San Gabriel	CA	91775
682	Shayne	Bond	Lincoln	CA	95648
683	Cheri	Michalak	Escondido	CA	92026
684	Peter	Brennan	Alameda	CA	94502
685	Andrea	Pettet	Belvedere Tiburon	CA	94920
686	Jim	Schuster	Sebastopol	CA	95472
687	Carole	Ness-Lira	Big Bear Lake	CA	92315
688	Dennis	Pettet	Belvedere Tiburon	CA	94920
689	Peter	Brickey	Carmel	CA	93923
690	Curtiss	Durand, Md	Davis	CA	95616
691	Susan	Shain	Oakland	CA	94606
692	Ross	Woodbury	Nevada City	CA	95959
693	Rebecca	Del Rio M	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
694	Jon	Johnsen	Richmond	CA	94805
695	Elizabeth	Spurlock	Kensington	CA	94707
696	Lois	Chappell	San Diego	CA	92110
697	Christopher	Kost	Davis	CA	95616
698	Guthrie	Morgan	Oakland	CA	94612

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
699	Mia	Dravis	Rancho Cucamor	CA	91730
700	Patricia	Andersen	Felton	CA	95018
701	Ruth & George	Blitz	Redding	CA	96003
702	Mary Jo	Sherman-Nelson	Benicia	CA	94510
703	Christopher	Reiger	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
704	Karl	Koessel	McKinleyville	CA	95519
705	Matt	Sorgenfrei	San Francisco	CA	94121
706	Barbara	Matz	Cloverdale	CA	95425
707	Julie	Ostoich	Sacramento	CA	95826
708	Kathy	Kosinski	Goleta	CA	93117
709	Donald	Taylor	Fair Oaks	CA	95628
710	John	Golding	Oakland	CA	94619
711	Matthew	San Martino	Campbell	CA	95008
712	J.A.	Zaitlin	Berkeley	CA	94707
713	Linda	Sartor	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
714	Lauren	Tackbary	Berkeley	CA	94702
715	Doyle	Hollister	Gaviota	CA	93117
716	John	Kerby	Fontana	CA	92336
717	Kathleen	Hynes	San Francisco	CA	94109
718	Lou	Sundberg	Long Beach	CA	90803
719	Utkarsh	Nath	Fremont	CA	94555
720	Elmer	Berger	San Rafael	CA	94901
721	Nicholas	Hermosillo	Highland	CA	92346
722	David	Downing	Desert Hot Spring	CA	92240
723	Lynn	Armstrong	El Cerrito	CA	94530
724	Eileen	Skaletsky	San Diego	CA	92124
725	Susan	Watts	Riverside	CA	92506
726	Michael	Essex	El Dorado Hills	CA	95762
727	Casey	Fisher	Oakland	CA	94605
728	Charlene	Henley	San Jose	CA	95136
729	Michelle	Smith	Santa Clara	CA	95050
730	Bruce	Stengl	Santa Rosa	CA	95402
731	Charles	Myers	Guerneville	CA	95446
732	Bonnie Margay	Burke	San Diego	CA	92160
733	Jeff	Thayer	San Diego	CA	92117
734	Randall	Pieper	Wildomar	CA	92595
735	Heike	Beauchaine	Oceanside	CA	92058
736	Anja	Lasthaus	Bonita	CA	91902
737	Jacqueline	Ivens	San Francisco	CA	94122
738	Ann	Noble	Davis	CA	95616
739	Christina	De Leon	Richmond	CA	94804
740	Jeanne	Griffiths	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
741	Lisa	Jack	Novato	CA	94947
742	Jeffrey	Hemenez	San Ramon	CA	94583
743	Carole	Lovvorn	Cupertino	CA	95014
744	Laura	Steele	Grass Valley	CA	95949
745	Jeffrey	Lapic	San Rafael	CA	94903
746	Jon	Bazinet	Vallejo	CA	94591
747	Gina	Torgersen	San Diego	CA	92176
748	Mikko	Helenius	Carmel Valley	CA	93924
749	Donald	Webb	Santa Barbara	CA	93108
750	Corinne	Van Houten	Sacramento	CA	95835
751	Martha	Goldin	San Francisco	CA	94118
752	Arthur	Molho	Placerville	CA	95667

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
753	Kristy	Terry	Murrieta	CA	92562
754	Colleen	Cabot	San Jose	CA	95132
755	Margaret	Smith	Aptos	CA	95003
756	Berna	Nitzberg	Aptos	CA	95003
757	Philip	Simon	San Rafael	CA	94912
758	Mike	Arago	San Francisco	CA	94109
759	Kelly	Berry	San Rafael	CA	94903
760	Fj	Sheffield	Sacramento	CA	95814
761	Lynne	Mundon	Sacramento	CA	95829
762	Darro	Grieco	Oroville	CA	95965
763	Alyce	Sarsi	Auburn	CA	95602
764	Ashley	Delisle	El Cajon	CA	92020
765	Mark	Golembiewski	Pacifica	CA	94044
766	Ron	Nazionale	Benicia	CA	94510
767	John	Holtzclaw	San Francisco	CA	94133
768	Suzanne	Lippuner	Truckee	CA	96160
769	Kat	Murphy	La Jolla	CA	92037
770	Scott	Schechter	San Diego	CA	92103
771	Anita	Heckathorn	San Diego	CA	92115
772	Kathy	Mckeough	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
773	Patricia	Davis	Oakland	CA	94610
774	Ofer	Sapir	Topanga	CA	90290
775	Laura	Robyn	Santa Clara	CA	95050
776	Jonathon Ray	Ads	Los Angeles	CA	90038
777	Pierre	Labat	campbell	CA	95008
778	Lynnette	Delgado	Hercules	CA	94547
779	Aaron	Budgor	Santa Barbara	CA	93108
780	Dorothy	Niccolls	San Francisco	CA	94121
781	Sally and Robert	Arroyo	La Quinta	CA	92253
782	Mary Ann	Bomarito	Marina	CA	93933
783	Susan	Laing	Emeryville	CA	94608
784	Gary	Goetz	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
785	Catherine	Schiera	San Clemente	CA	92672
786	Mary	Olivella	Kensington	CA	94707
787	Rich	Belmontez	San Diego	CA	92115
788	Lorie	Frost	Petaluma	CA	94952
789	Patricia	Gleason	Canoga Park	CA	91303
790	Frank	Grygus	San Ramon	CA	94583
791	Tony	Ricci	San Diego	CA	92130
792	Natalie	Clark	San Diego	CA	92117
793	Razel Angel	Galvan	San Francisco	CA	94134
794	Debra	Rogers	Nicasio	CA	94946
795	Leilani	Dicato	Orange	CA	92868
796	Kevin	Henry	Carmel	CA	93923
797	John	Carroll	Elk Grove	CA	95624
798	William	Griswold	San Diego	CA	92122
799	Tami	Bullock	El Cajon	CA	92021
800	Lora	Bailin	La Quinta	CA	92253
801	Sharon	Seto	Danville	CA	94506
802	S R	Gordon	San Jose	CA	95170
803	Andrew	Thomas	Lafayette	CA	94549
804	Robin	Reinhart	San Diego	CA	92104
805	Gregory	Rains	Fairfield	CA	94534
806	Margaret	Ghuman	San Francisco	CA	94122



Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
807	Samantha	Hathaway	La Verne	CA	91750
808	James	Kawamura	Fontana	CA	92336
809	Joy	Baker	San Francisco	CA	94121
810	Eliot	Tigerlily	Garberville	CA	95542
811	Brian	Skaggs	San Francisco	CA	94114
812	Michael	Sherwood	Oakland	CA	94611
813	John	Felts	Fremont	CA	94538
814	Larry	Lawrence	Carlsbad	CA	92009
815	Carla	Haim	San Bernardino	CA	92407
816	Eric	Eisenberg	Mill Valley	CA	94941
817	Celia	Stauty	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
818	Ellen	Gray	Menlo Park	CA	94025
819	Amy	Leroy	Santa Rosa	CA	95403
820	Natalia	Quintana	San Francisco	CA	94105
821	Hannah	Caisse	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
822	Richard	Puai	Novato	CA	94949
823	Beverly	Kile	Half Moon Bay	CA	94019
824	S	Andersen	San Bernardino	CA	92408
825	Lana	Prosser	Torrance	CA	90503
826	Maureen	Berndt	Oakland	CA	94610
827	Tina	Tanner	Placerville	CA	95667
828	Deborah	Dorazio	San Clemente	CA	92672
829	Megan	Bolger	Santa Barbara	CA	93190
830	Vicki	Green	Napa	CA	94558
831	Dave	Whipple	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
832	Chuck	Graham	Yuba City	CA	95993
833	Jeff	Laass	Rancho Cucamor	CA	91730
834	Max	Brown	Palm Springs	CA	92264
835	Thomas	Deetz	Watsonville	CA	95076
836	Michael Grant	White	Alameda	CA	94501
837	Andrew	Price	Moraga	CA	94556
838	Gary	Pischke	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
839	Florence	Litton	Valley Center	CA	92082
840	Gavin	McDonald	Santa Barbara	CA	93101
841	Michael	Beickel	Goleta	CA	93117
842	Brian	Smalley	Oakland	CA	94605
843	Elizabeth G J	Lee	Palo Alto	CA	94301
844	Karen	Latimer	Sacramento	CA	95816
845	Jonathan	Mcleod	San Diego	CA	92116
846	Sheila	Dixon	Concord	CA	94521
847	Joanne	Barnes	Palo Alto	CA	94306
848	Marilyn	Platt	Rialto	CA	92376
849	Michael	Rotcher	Mission Viejo	CA	92692
850	Gail	Mcconnell	Cedarville	CA	96104
851	Roger	Vortman	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
852	Annie	Steere	Petaluma	CA	94952
853	Carl	Nordholm	Carlsbad	CA	92009
854	Kermit	CARRAWAY	Auburn	CA	95602
855	N.Davida	Rabbino	San Mateo	CA	94402
856	Virgie	Smith	Yucca Valley	CA	92284
857	Kimball	Hurd	Aromas	CA	95004
858	Ronald	Taylor	Redding	CA	96002
859	Peter Booth	Lee	San Francisco	CA	94118
860	Beverley	Patterson	San Diego	CA	92103

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
861	Arvind	Ravikumar	Campbell	CA	95011
862	Sandra	Gross	Lynwood	CA	90262
863	Henry	Kantrowitz	Murrieta	CA	92563
864	Richard	Boylan	Placerville	CA	95667
865	Timothy	Johnston	San Francisco	CA	94110
866	Ben	Milikien	Truckee	CA	96162
867	Anne	Lyon	Rohnert Park	CA	94928
868	Alina	Azarova	Los Angeles	CA	90025
869	Karina	Jahn	Sacramento	CA	95820
870	Steve And Carol	Jacobs	Etiwanda	CA	91739
871	Valerie	Santillanes	Grass Valley	CA	95945
872	Rich	Hughes	San Francisco	CA	94112
873	Laura	Chenel	Sonoma	CA	95476
874	Hazel	Cheilek	Mountain View	CA	94043
875	Bruno	Alicke	Fairfax	CA	94930
876	Maureen	Walstra	San Jose	CA	95128
877	Judith	Werkstell	Laguna Beach	CA	92651
878	Sharon	Nishio	Dublin	CA	94568
879	Janice	Carr	Los Altos	CA	94024
880	Margaret	Nulsen	Kneeland	CA	95549
881	Drew	Feldmann	Sn Bernrdno	CA	92405
882	Nathan	Campbell	San Francisco	CA	94109
883	Taochiung	Chi	Fremont	CA	94539
884	Sherry	Pennell	Aromas	CA	95004
885	Christina	Kirk	Los Angeles	CA	90004
886	Judy	Rosenfeld	San Francisco	CA	94110
887	Christopher	Aycock	San Francisco	CA	94116
888	Eric	Lehmann	Santa Barbara	CA	93101
889	Vila	Golena	Beverly Hills	CA	90210
890	Mukesh	Sahu	Sacramento	CA	95818
891	April	Toller	Temescal Valley	CA	92883
892	Craig	Chambers	San Diego	CA	92104
893	Jag	Singh	Los Altos	CA	94022
894	Dave	Moore	Berkeley	CA	94703
895	Mary And Thomas	Reed	Sebastopol	CA	95472
896	Debbie	Hill	Eureka	CA	95501
897	Ann	Nixon	Hemet	CA	92545
898	Marilyn	Price	Mill Valley	CA	94941
899	Robert	Pann	Los Angeles	CA	90064
900	Edward	Macan	Eureka	CA	95501
901	Nickolas	Milonas	San Francisco	CA	94116
902	Richard	Saunders	Oakland	CA	94609
903	Charles and Jennifer	Schulz	San Jose	CA	95126
904	Karsten	Mueller	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
905	Winke	Self	La Jolla	CA	92037
906	Stefanie	Kaku	Carmel	CA	93922
907	Claudia	Monahan	La Quinta	CA	92253
908	Patricia	Forrest	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
909	Makayla	Pickett	San Ramon	CA	94582
910	Suzanne	Bickley	San Diego	CA	92116
911	Wendy	Minovitz	Porter Ranch	CA	91326
912	A	Van Slyke	San Diego	CA	92103
913	Marc	Veizan	San Jose	CA	95132
914	Paul	Levesque	San Diego	CA	92103

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
915	Charesa	Harper	Glen Ellen	CA	95442
916	Dennis	Mcvey	Kentfield	CA	94904
917	Camilla	Comanich	Berkeley	CA	94707
918	Frederique	Joly	Venice	CA	90291
919	Pietro	Poggi	San Rafael	CA	94901
920	Edward	Taylor	Grass Valley	CA	95945
921	Cathy	Ashley	Santa Monica	CA	90404
922	Carole	De La Cruz	Windsor	CA	95492
923	Karen	Schmidt	Discovery Bay	CA	94505
924	Peggy	Luna	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
925	Sarosh	Patel	Sunnyvale	CA	94087
926	David	Rust	Sebastopol	CA	95472
927	Kathy	Stewart	Altadena	CA	91001
928	Paula	Cavagnaro	Livermore	CA	94550
929	Richard	Lieblich	San Ramon	CA	94583
930	Jeanne	Lieberman	Newbury Park	CA	95060
931	Kerry	Wright	Sacramento	CA	95819
932	Richard	Blain	Temecula	CA	92592
933	Meg	Brown	New Cuyama	CA	93254
934	Judith	Blick	Del Mar	CA	92014
935	Maryann	Tittle	Phelan	CA	92371
936	Bert	Greenberg	San Jose	CA	95135
937	Shelley	Carlisle	Novato	CA	94947
938	Luis	mazazriegos	Petaluma	CA	94954
939	Alexander	Kahn	Sebastopol	CA	95472
940	Wendy	Diamond	Berkeley	CA	94707
941	Donna	Myers	Citrus Heights	CA	95621
942	Thomas	Blom	San Francisco	CA	94131
943	Michelle	Martinez	Santa Clara	CA	95050
944	Carolyn	Foster	Fair Oaks	CA	95628
945	Therese	Debing	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
946	Faye	Kwan	Corte Madera	CA	94925
947	Herman	Chaney	Oakland	CA	94612
948	Genevieve	Herrick	Santa Ynez	CA	93460
949	C	Vanderlip	Cardiff	CA	92007
950	Deborah	Vinall	Upland	CA	91786
951	Linda	Ford	Huntington Beach	CA	92648
952	Jessica	Mew	Chico	CA	95928
953	Charles	Byrne	San Francisco	CA	94115
954	Virginia	Sharkey	San Diego	CA	92103
955	Steve	Netti	Chula Vista	CA	91910
956	Christine	Beck	Monterey	CA	93940
957	Sylvia	Ren	Sebastopol	CA	95472
958	Linda	Tsang	Oakland	CA	94605
959	Marguerite	Elia	Sacramento	CA	95831
960	Robert	Davis	San Diego	CA	92116
961	Randall	Smith	Sacramento	CA	95841
962	Shelby	Reeder	Twin Peaks	CA	92391
963	Debra	Christenson	Nevada City	CA	95959
964	Rich	Castle	Sierraville	CA	96126
965	Wayne	Anderson	Sacramento	CA	95818
966	Shea	New	Palm Desert	CA	92260
967	Carolyn	Duryea	Saint Helena	CA	94574
968	Gerald	Orcholski	Pasadena	CA	91104

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
969	Katherine	Johnson	Santa Barbara	CA	93110
970	Garrett	Alden	Chico	CA	95926
971	Mary and John	Rieger	San Diego	CA	92105
972	Julie	Klabin	Los Angeles	CA	90027
973	Georgette	Robin	Auburn	CA	95603
974	W	Elahdab	Oakland	CA	94610
975	Kristen	Beck	Monterey	CA	93940
976	Katharine	Shiomoto	Santa Clara	CA	95051
977	Maryanne	Glazar	Berkeley	CA	94710
978	Candice	Lenney	Jamul	CA	91935
979	Sara	Fung	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
980	Kimberly	Staninger	San Diego	CA	92115
981	Deborah	Sargent	San Diego	CA	92128
982	Mark	Manda	Bridgeport	CA	93517
983	Lacey	Levitt	San Diego	CA	92120
984	Pat	Locks	Sonoma	CA	95476
985	Phillip	Cripps	Cathedral City	CA	92234
986	Sabrina	Sarne	Danville	CA	94526
987	Emily	Edmond	Sacramento	CA	95814
988	Antonia	Chianis	Blue Jay	CA	92317
989	Claire	Levy	San Francisco	CA	94102
990	Steve	Aderhold	Fallbrook	CA	92088
991	Michael	Luna	Fallbrook	CA	92028
992	Sandra	Peregrina	Sunnyvale	CA	94089
993	Barbara	Phillips	Berkeley	CA	94702
994	Wilma	Reichard	Emerald Hills	CA	94062
995	Terance	Tashiro	Los Angeles	CA	90045
996	L.	Diaz	San Francisco	CA	94110
997	Alondra	Moreno	Moreno Valley	CA	92557
998	Amber	Puno	San Diego	CA	92123
999	Susan	Layser	Santa Rosa	CA	95407
1000	Rita	Poppenk	Union City	CA	94587
1001	Ben	Prosser	Santee	CA	92071
1002	Lucia	Rael	Sacramento	CA	95826
1003	Thomas	Carlino	San Jose	CA	95117
1004	Romie	Ruiz	Los Angeles	CA	90027
1005	Rachel	Abrams	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1006	David	Goll	San Jose	CA	95123
1007	Kevin	O'Brien	Laguna Beach	CA	92651
1008	Michael	Craib	Watsonville	CA	95076
1009	Tracey	Martin	Playa Del Rey	CA	90293
1010	Monique	Soares	Freedom	CA	95019
1011	Russell	Cherry	Placerville	CA	95667
1012	A	Adams	Cupertino	CA	95014
1013	Susan	Hampton	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1014	Sylvia	Cardella	Hydesville	CA	95547
1015	Pat	Munsch	Sebastopol	CA	95473
1016	Celia	Scott	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1017	Richard	Stachel	Richmond	CA	94804
1018	Joan	Mac Beth	Berkeley	CA	94702
1019	Kay	Emerson	Twentynine Palm:	CA	92277
1020	Pacia	Dewald	Daly City	CA	94015
1021	Ronald	Myers	Castro Valley	CA	94546
1022	Sheila	Carnegie	San Rafael	CA	94901

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1023	Gianna	Seminatore	San Martin	CA	95046
1024	Joann	Lapolla	San Diego	CA	92122
1025	Stephanie	Hood	Brownsville	CA	95919
1026	Vanessa	Lampen	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1027	Nancy	Goettler	La Mesa	CA	91941
1028	Aida	Marina	South Pasadena	CA	91030
1029	Kathleen	Lavelle	Los Angeles	CA	90065
1030	Elizabeth	Adan	Carmichael	CA	95608
1031	Jack	Branson	Sacramento	CA	95818
1032	John	Moriarty	Pleasanton	CA	94566
1033	Art	Patey	Vallejo	CA	94591
1034	Leo	Buckley	San Francisco	CA	94110
1035	Billy	Trice	Oakland	CA	94621
1036	Lawrence	Dillard, Jr.	San Francisco	CA	94124
1037	Mark	Simpson	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
1038	Eliza	Perez	Petaluma	CA	94952
1039	ConsueloMaria	Mata	Sunnyvale	CA	94088
1040	Marie	Burkart	Hayward	CA	94544
1041	Gretchen	Sauer	San Leandro	CA	94577
1042	Liliana	Alvarado	Rancho Cucamor	CA	91729
1043	Sandra	Stoner	Sacramento	CA	95822
1044	Barnett	Levin	San Francisco	CA	94122
1045	Christine	Brazis	San Francisco	CA	94110
1046	Vikki	Dannecker	Santa Rosa	CA	95403
1047	Susan	Gill	San Anselmo	CA	94960
1048	Carol	Banever	Los Angeles	CA	90046
1049	John	Lango	Berkeley	CA	94708
1050	S.	Andregg	Emeryville	CA	94608
1051	Lucille	Arenson	Sausalito	CA	94965
1052	Daniel	Reznick	Carmel	CA	93922
1053	Yuri	C	Oakland	CA	94605
1054	David	Boyer	Palo Alto	CA	94304
1055	Kevin	Branstetter	Applegate	CA	95703
1056	Craig	Scherfenberg	Roseville	CA	95661
1057	Anne	McBride	Auburn	CA	95602
1058	Claudia	Shaw	Citrus Heights	CA	95610
1059	John	Dotta	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
1060	Juanita	Vallejo	Pilot Hill	CA	95664
1061	Dawn	Lorenzen	El Sobrante	CA	94803
1062	Anne	Bishop	San Ramon	CA	94582
1063	Maxine	Litwak	Novato	CA	94949
1064	Barbara	Cohn	Carlsbad	CA	92010
1065	Cecile	Pineda	Berkeley	CA	94704
1066	Calvin	Christopher	Los Angeles	CA	90045
1067	D	Munson	San Diego	CA	92128
1068	Carmen	Gagne	Watsonville	CA	95076
1069	Anne	Terhune	El Cajon	CA	92020
1070	Roger	Runnoe	Oakland	CA	94611
1071	Douglas	Thorley	Colfax	CA	95713
1072	Michelle	Parodi	San Francisco	CA	94112
1073	Michael	Gordon	Lakewood	CA	90712
1074	Rea	Freedom	Los Gatos	CA	95033
1075	Dotty	Gonsalves	Hayward	CA	94541
1076	Tom	Heid	El Sobrante	CA	94803

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1077	Jane	Marsh	Cool	CA	95614
1078	Cate	White	Manteca	CA	95336
1079	Danny	Sullivan	Angels Camp	CA	95222
1080	Susan	Dugger-Mathison	San Francisco	CA	94109
1081	Aileen	Harvey	Novato	CA	94947
1082	Donald	Alter	Oakland	CA	94611
1083	Dorothea	King	San Jose	CA	95128
1084	Stella	Gunther	Irvine	CA	92606
1085	Skot	Mcdaniel	Novato	CA	94947
1086	Tina	Brenza	Goleta	CA	93117
1087	Joe	Salazar	Santa Rosa	CA	95407
1088	Jerry	Peavy	Chico	CA	95926
1089	J	Kooken	Auburn	CA	95603
1090	Ralph	Lopez	Los Angeles	CA	90012
1091	Ethel	Ruymaker	Oakland	CA	94618
1092	Denise	Couey	Riverside	CA	92506
1093	Faye	Straus	Lafayette	CA	94549
1094	Roger	Seapy	Los Alamitos	CA	90720
1095	Nancy	Danard	Berkeley	CA	94703
1096	Jon	Anderholm	Cazadero	CA	95421
1097	Ellis	Heyer	San Rafael	CA	94903
1098	Christopher	Venegas	San Diego	CA	92126
1099	Celeste	Anacker	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
1100	Anne	Spatola	San Rafael	CA	94901
1101	Jocelyn	Tao	San Jose	CA	95129
1102	Susan	Strickland	West Hills	CA	91307
1103	Charles	Wieland	San Ramon	CA	94583
1104	Christian	Reyes	Moreno Valley	CA	92555
1105	Samara	Hanson Velloo	Petaluma	CA	94954
1106	Wendy	Wittl	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
1107	Joshua	Rickett	Nevada City	CA	95959
1108	Karen	Warren	Sunnyvale	CA	94089
1109	Jane	Neufeld	San Jose	CA	95127
1110	Elizabeth	Sheofsky	Los Altos	CA	94022
1111	Thomas	Wendel	Sacramento	CA	95811
1112	Kathleen	Hess	Berkeley	CA	94704
1113	Sharon	Marquez	Placerville	CA	95667
1114	Heidi	Hawkins	San Marcos	CA	92069
1115	Anthony	Jammal	Roseville	CA	95661
1116	Ronald	Jones	San Diego	CA	92107
1117	Debbie	Tenenbaum	Berkeley	CA	94703
1118	Susie	Johnson	La Jolla	CA	92093
1119	E Muriel	Gravina	Palo Alto	CA	94301
1120	Valerie	Williams	San Jose	CA	95126
1121	Albert	Utzig	Fontana	CA	92337
1122	Brian	Lamoreaux	Petaluma	CA	94952
1123	Nanette	Cronk	Truckee	CA	96161
1124	Elli	Kimbauer	Crescent City	CA	95531
1125	Holly	Perez	Chula Vista	CA	91910
1126	Rachel	Longville	San Diego	CA	92115
1127	Susan	Ross	Grass Valley	CA	95945
1128	Miriam	Abramowitsch	Berkeley	CA	94705
1129	Stacey	Rohrbaugh	Willits	CA	95490
1130	Dennis	O'Rorke	Monte Rio	CA	95462

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1131	Dan	Wysuph	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1132	Brian	Gray	Fair Oaks	CA	95628
1133	Laura	Simmons	San Francisco	CA	94117
1134	James	Kelley	East Garrison	CA	93933
1135	Virginia	Stovall	Vallejo	CA	94590
1136	Cassandra	Williams	Brawley	CA	92227
1137	Janice	Kursky	San Francisco	CA	94111
1138	Peggy and Ron	Shapera	Palo Alto	CA	94303
1139	Bc	Macdonald	Albion	CA	95410
1140	Lauren	Pepper	Morgan Hill	CA	95037
1141	Blair	Reynolds	Fair Oaks	CA	95628
1142	B	Dudney, Md	Forestville	CA	95436
1143	Fidel	Mora	San Francisco	CA	94131
1144	Sidney	Ellison	San Jose	CA	95133
1145	Carol	Fusco	Berkeley	CA	94708
1146	Shannon	Littrell	Carlsbad	CA	92008
1147	Sylvia	Selverston	San Diego	CA	92111
1148	Michael	Braude	Menlo Park	CA	94025
1149	Ron	Parsons	South San Francisco	CA	94080
1150	Amanda	Woods	San Diego	CA	92111
1151	John	Costello	Bodega	CA	94922
1152	Brittany	Cane	San Rafael	CA	94901
1153	Ruth	Mobley	San Francisco	CA	94118
1154	Michael	Guidry	San Leandro	CA	94577
1155	Elena	Myers	San Francisco	CA	94107
1156	David	Hammond	Willits	CA	95490
1157	David	Sarricks	Running Springs	CA	92382
1158	Nancy	Steiner	Los Angeles	CA	90039
1159	Rachel	Tanner	Berkeley	CA	94703
1160	Sheila	Silan	Somerset	CA	95684
1161	Joshua	Stamberg	Los Angeles	CA	90039
1162	Michael	Gardner	Spring Valley	CA	91977
1163	Marco	Vasquez	Sunnyvale	CA	94085
1164	Daniel	Lowman	Mammoth Lakes	CA	93546
1165	Theresa	Gonzalez	Redwood City	CA	94063
1166	J Barry	Gurdin	San Francisco	CA	94122
1167	Keary	Missler	Monterey	CA	93940
1168	Deborah	Taylor	San Jose	CA	95112
1169	Ron	Landskroner	Oakland	CA	94611
1170	Daniel	Fehr	Redding	CA	96001
1171	Nancy	Cole	San Diego	CA	92117
1172	Hector	Wolansky	Loomis	CA	95650
1173	J Lynn	Stuart	Santa Clara	CA	95051
1174	Maria	Baur	Irvine	CA	92603
1175	Ronald	Patterson	Mill Valley	CA	94941
1176	Sudha	Jamthe	San Jose	CA	95129
1177	Jerome	Tuck	Ocotillo	CA	92259
1178	Rachel	Rezos	Martinez	CA	94553
1179	Erin	Roeder	Walnut Creek	CA	94598
1180	Jeze	Fabijanac	Berkeley	CA	94704
1181	Paula	Baker, Pbvm	San Francisco	CA	94118
1182	Beth	Lander	San Diego	CA	92115
1183	Peg	Albrets	Cupertino	CA	95014
1184	Pamela	Reed	Vallejo	CA	94590

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1185	Eric	Mooney	Sacramento	CA	95814
1186	Christine	Fedon	Santee	CA	92071
1187	Scott	Amundson	Oakland	CA	94602
1188	Walter	Juchert	Santa Rosa	CA	95409
1189	Patrick	Twomey	Oakland	CA	94611
1190	Summer	Shumway	Santa Cruz	CA	95065
1191	John	Pederson	Novato	CA	94947
1192	Colleen	Goodman	Los Gatos	CA	95032
1193	Jerry	Gahan	Twentynine Palm:	CA	92277
1194	Rigo	Bolaños	San Jose	CA	95135
1195	K	Peck	Carmichael	CA	95608
1196	Daniel	Mckeighen	Rocklin	CA	95765
1197	Frank	Muzzy	Murrieta	CA	92563
1198	Jaime	Deknight	Beverly Hills	CA	90211
1199	Dave & Bonnie	Etz	Trinidad	CA	95570
1200	Kevin	Porter	Sacramento	CA	95821
1201	V D	Apple	Nevada City	CA	95959
1202	Joseph	Wigon	Santa Rosa	CA	95401
1203	Ryan	Dell	South San Franci:	CA	94080
1204	Susan	Brisby	Lancaster	CA	93536
1205	Juels	Fisher	Chino Hills	CA	91709
1206	Karl	Fromuth	Riverside	CA	92503
1207	Erika	Delemarre	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
1208	Sylvia	Vairo	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
1209	Kevin	Jensen	Novato	CA	94947
1210	Edward	Meisse	Santa Rosa	CA	95401
1211	Paula	Harden	Sacramento	CA	95826
1212	Carol	Cook	San Mateo	CA	94403
1213	David	Adams	Penn Valley	CA	95946
1214	Licita	Fernandez	Sausalito	CA	94965
1215	M S	Price	Escondido	CA	92025
1216	Elissa	Wagner	Aptos	CA	95003
1217	Neva	Turer	Santa Rosa	CA	95409
1218	Jurgen	Strasser	Lafayette	CA	94549
1219	J.T.	Averre	San Jose	CA	95124
1220	Julie	Weatherford	Riverside	CA	92506
1221	Susan	Wayne	San Bernardino	CA	92407
1222	Naomi	Lidicker	Kensington	CA	94707
1223	Mike & Debbie	Hankins	Roseville	CA	95747
1224	Jeffrey	Hemenez	San Jose	CA	95133
1225	David	Weinstein	Berkeley	CA	94710
1226	Ann	Fay	Watsonville	CA	95076
1227	Christine	Sullivan	San Diego	CA	92122
1228	Carol	Vonsederholm	Chula Vista	CA	91913
1229	Alan	Schenck	Aptos	CA	95003
1230	Angelina	Elliott	Oceanside	CA	92056
1231	Susan	Breitbard	Palo Alto	CA	94306
1232	Janice	Tanaka	Los Angeles	CA	90024
1233	Christine	Caliandro	Santa Rosa	CA	95409
1234	Raymie	Huerta	Chula Vista	CA	91911
1235	Monica	Gallicho	Concord	CA	94521
1236	Mary	Rojeski	Santa Monica	CA	90405
1237	Fred	Geiger	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1238	Barbara	Bogard	Mill Valley	CA	94941



Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1239	Farrell	Hamann	Sacramento	CA	95825
1240	Arlene	Baker	Berkeley	CA	94704
1241	Amelia	Clark	La Mesa	CA	91941
1242	Elysa	Dennett	Kelseyville	CA	95451
1243	Nick	Kerkhoff	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1244	Leslie	Gould	San Anselmo	CA	94960
1245	Raymond	Capezzuto	Carlsbad	CA	92009
1246	Michael	Sheffield	Santa Rosa	CA	95409
1247	Len	Rogoff	Palm Desert	CA	92211
1248	Melissa	Waters	Laguna Niguel	CA	92677
1249	Richard	Lee	Salinas	CA	93907
1250	Sandy	Williams	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
1251	Heidi	Schmitz	Sausalito	CA	94965
1252	Rudy	Zeller	Benicia	CA	94510
1253	Michael	Hartigan	Glendale	CA	91202
1254	P.	Young	Oakland	CA	94602
1255	Keith	Rhinehart	Santa Clara	CA	95050
1256	Jan	Averre	San Jose	CA	95124
1257	Stanley	Bicking	Oroville	CA	95966
1258	Robin	Hamlin	McKinleyville	CA	95519
1259	Susan	Marshall	Seaside	CA	93955
1260	Michael	Legrande	Valley Springs	CA	95252
1261	Ellen & Roger	Scott	San Diego	CA	92124
1262	Diane	Krell-Bates	San Diego	CA	92122
1263	Gianna	Abondolo	Richmond	CA	94804
1264	Julie	Kramer	San Francisco	CA	94114
1265	Jayne	Cerny	Inverness	CA	94937
1266	Randal	Myers	Crestline	CA	92325
1267	Benjamin	Park	San Diego	CA	92102
1268	Sheila	Malone	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1269	Laurie	Preston	Goleta	CA	93117
1270	Mary	Argo	Sacramento	CA	95818
1271	Joan	Smith	Greenbrae	CA	94904
1272	Sharon	Nicodemus	Sacramento	CA	95821
1273	Lisa	Segnitz	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1274	Tony	Lo	Carlsbad	CA	92010
1275	Mari	Doming	Linden	CA	95236
1276	Greg	Pearl	Hermosa Beach	CA	90254
1277	Julie	Osborn	Sacramento	CA	95835
1278	Sophia	Chernikova	Belmont	CA	94305
1279	Hooman	Larimi	Concord	CA	94518
1280	Keith	Etchells	San Diego	CA	92109
1281	Jerrold and Carolyn	Hokanson	Walnut Creek	CA	94596
1282	Melody	Grigg	Santa Maria	CA	93455
1283	Matthew	Crane	San Marcos	CA	92078
1284	Nat	Childs	Miranda	CA	95553
1285	Kelli And David	Meer	Sonora	CA	95370
1286	Bryn	Fillers	La Jolla	CA	92037
1287	Karen	Kirschling	San Francisco	CA	94117
1288	Matt & Jennifer	Plunkett	Berkeley	CA	94705
1289	Robert	Dunwoody	Scotts Valley	CA	95066
1290	Robert	Schuricht	San Francisco	CA	94117
1291	Carole	Cole	Santa Barbara	CA	93103
1292	Sierra	Skinner	Santa Maria	CA	93455

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1293	Kelly	Ayers	Ontario	CA	91761
1294	Delores	Yanko	Hemet	CA	92543
1295	Emily	Sapp	La Mesa	CA	91941
1296	Elaine	Tracy	Ben Lomond	CA	95005
1297	Maureen	Plimier	Oakland	CA	94605
1298	Julie	Gauvin	Beverly Hills	CA	90210
1299	Bob	Mccleary	Roseville	CA	95747
1300	Cindy	Meyers	Capitola	CA	95010
1301	Cynthia	Obyrne	Lompoc	CA	93436
1302	Gretchen	Whisenand	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
1303	Annie	P	San Diego	CA	92101
1304	Melody	Neuenburg	Chico	CA	95973
1305	Patrick	Kissel	San Marcos	CA	92078
1306	Alan	Stemler	Davis	CA	95616
1307	Elaine	Parker	Berkeley	CA	94708
1308	Patricia	Hutchison	San Jose	CA	95121
1309	Val	Marshall	Fort Bragg	CA	95437
1310	Jessica	Woodard	Berkeley	CA	94705
1311	Ben	Flint	Oakland	CA	94611
1312	H	G	Citrus Heights	CA	95610
1313	Dennis	Tracy	Ben Lomond	CA	95005
1314	Kathryn	Riley	El Cajon	CA	92019
1315	Daniel	Lichtenhan	Oceanside	CA	92054
1316	Susan	Lilly	Grass Valley	CA	95949
1317	Victoria	Erickson	Aptos	CA	95003
1318	Kathryn	Spence	Moraga	CA	94556
1319	Gregory	Ptucha	Sacramento	CA	95822
1320	Cornelius	Dykema	Castro Valley	CA	94552
1321	Travis	Benneian	Lake Elsinore	CA	92532
1322	Jeannie	Clements	Fremont	CA	94536
1323	Barbara	Lewis	Felton	CA	95018
1324	Christopher	Baker	Laguna Niguel	CA	92677
1325	Bob	Atwood	Redding	CA	96003
1326	Susan	Teel	Bodega Bay	CA	94923
1327	Michael D	Michel	Los Angeles	CA	90029
1328	Lorna	Freels	San Jose	CA	95112
1329	Patti	Fink	Petaluma	CA	94954
1330	Kaytee	Sumida	San Diego	CA	92120
1331	Carol	Gold	Fairfax	CA	94930
1332	Lori	Heller	San Diego	CA	92115
1333	Meagan	Ricks	Santa Rosa	CA	95401
1334	Megan	Boschen	Mountain View	CA	94041
1335	Peter	Fairley	Kings Beach	CA	96143
1336	Linda	Bruce	Yuba City	CA	95993
1337	Elizabeth	Char	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1338	Erin	Howard	Oakland	CA	94607
1339	Angela	Gantos	Tiburon	CA	94920
1340	Craig	Volpe	Lincoln	CA	95648
1341	Margaret	Bradford	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
1342	Dennis	Nelson	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1343	Diane	Klaczynski	Pittsburg	CA	94565
1344	Sandy	Mishodek	Running Springs	CA	92382
1345	Nancie	Stotts	Mountain Center	CA	92561
1346	Peter	Gibson	San Leandro	CA	94578

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1347	Suzanne	Wood	Auburn	CA	95603
1348	Diane	Wrona	Kentfield	CA	94904
1349	Dolores	Caffaro	Los Angeles	CA	90048
1350	Randy	Gerlach	Daly City	CA	94014
1351	Christine	Weinstein	San Diego	CA	92111
1352	bitaSupporter	Edwa	Woodacre	CA	94973
1353	A.R.	Puccio	Walnut Creek	CA	94596
1354	Nancy	Schwartz	San Diego	CA	92117
1355	Margaret	Clark	Napa	CA	94559
1356	Avram	Bell	Long Beach	CA	90802
1357	Theresa	Shiels	Half Moon Bay	CA	94019
1358	Natasha	Marston	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
1359	Rebecca	Haseleu	Burlingame	CA	94010
1360	Eduardo	Izquierdo	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1361	Nancy	Heck	Santa Maria	CA	93454
1362	Barbara	Quinlan	San Diego	CA	92130
1363	Edie	Bruce	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1364	Marcia	Sewelson	Studio City	CA	91604
1365	Barbara	Haire	Jurupa Valley	CA	91752
1366	Seanna	Vail	San Bruno	CA	94066
1367	Marvin	Schinnerer	Albany	CA	94706
1368	Lynette	Coffey	Shasta Lake	CA	96019
1369	Rose Marie	Jacobs	Davenport	CA	95017
1370	Lauri	Taylor	Oroville	CA	95966
1371	Patrick & Dana	Thompson	Los Gatos	CA	95032
1372	Mary	Prophet	Berkeley	CA	94702
1373	Jim	Hanley	Santa Rosa	CA	95407
1374	Vladimir	Vinokurov	San Bruno	CA	94066
1375	Chris	Mills	Needles	CA	92363
1376	Caryn	Graves	Berkeley	CA	94702
1377	Maria L.	Cabrera	Davis	CA	95617
1378	Karen	Reid	Santa Rosa	CA	95403
1379	Robert	Armstrong	San Francisco	CA	94110
1380	Mary E.	Starz	Arroyo Grande	CA	93420
1381	Kelley	Carroll	Truckee	CA	96161
1382	Judy	Milani	San Rafael	CA	94901
1383	Vakila	Ter Veld	Fairfax	CA	94930
1384	Anne	Dumauthioz	San Francisco	CA	94122
1385	Diane	Herbs	Indio	CA	92203
1386	Kari Lorraine	Scott	San Diego	CA	92116
1387	Deborah	Wine	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
1388	Stuart	Hall	San Francisco	CA	94102
1389	Kai	Hill	Trinidad	CA	95570
1390	Jonathan	Schell	Los Angeles	CA	90004
1391	Chris	Loo	Morgan Hill	CA	95037
1392	Lauren	Kloepper	El Cajon	CA	92019
1393	Loralei	Saylor	Arcata	CA	95521
1394	Lynda	Aubrey	Elk	CA	95432
1395	Michelle	Oroz	Auburn	CA	95603
1396	Dorothy	Seeger	Oakland	CA	94610
1397	Moriah	Woolworth	Cupertino	CA	95014
1398	David	Mazariegos	Folsom	CA	95630
1399	Julie	Benson	Oakland	CA	94602
1400	Martha	Moga	Foster City	CA	94404

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1401	Michele	Mattingly	El Cajon	CA	92021
1402	Carey	Suckow	San Francisco	CA	94114
1403	John	Harris	Sunnyvale	CA	94089
1404	Steve	Wendt	Chico	CA	95928
1405	Gary & Mary	Shallenberger	Chico	CA	95928
1406	Jeff	Levy	Oakland	CA	94602
1407	Katherine	Andrews, PhD	Stockton	CA	95219
1408	Martin	Marcus	San Diego	CA	92120
1409	Bud	Hoekstra	Glencoe	CA	95232
1410	Terry	Frewin	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
1411	Lauren	Costanzo	San Jose	CA	95126
1412	B	Chan	San Diego	CA	92131
1413	Claire	Sherman	Berkeley	CA	94709
1414	Margarita	Denman	Fullerton	CA	92831
1415	samantha	frey	san anselmo	CA	94930
1416	Margaret & Joseph	Connell	Goleta	CA	93117
1417	Greg	Schwartz	San Rafael	CA	94903
1418	Heather	Sutherland	Folsom	CA	95630
1419	Nancy	Lund	Benicia	CA	94510
1420	George	Schneider	San Diego	CA	92105
1421	Maureen	Besancon	Nevada City	CA	95959
1422	Robin	Morton	Sebastopol	CA	95472
1423	Janet	Graham	Santa Barbara	CA	93111
1424	David	Doering	San Francisco	CA	94109
1425	Elizabeth	Shaskey	Cupertino	CA	95014
1426	Nancy	Havassy	Oakland	CA	94611
1427	Holly	Hall	Temecula	CA	92592
1428	Alice	Alford	Blythe	CA	92226
1429	Campbell	Pool	Mountain View	CA	94040
1430	Jennifer	Sellers	Concord	CA	94521
1431	Carlos	Arnold	Santa Maria	CA	93455
1432	Elizabeth	Jache	Lemon Grove	CA	91945
1433	Brian	Forney	Mountain View	CA	94040
1434	L	L	El Cajon	CA	92020
1435	Sharon	Paltin	Laytonville	CA	95454
1436	Brian	Miller	Palmdale	CA	93550
1437	Geert	Vancompernelle	Fremont	CA	94536
1438	Gailen	Goldstein	Berkeley	CA	94709
1439	Toni	Till	La Quinta	CA	92253
1440	Nadine	Larsen	Dana Point	CA	92629
1441	Shelley	Plumb	San Diego	CA	92122
1442	Heather	Mchugh	Oakland	CA	94611
1443	Colleen	Lobel	San Diego	CA	92126
1444	Johanna	Lang	Fremont	CA	94555
1445	Holly	Smart	Aptos	CA	95003
1446	Ian	Haddow	San Francisco	CA	94172
1447	Patty	Sweet	San Francisco	CA	94116
1448	Kate	Ague	Menlo Park	CA	94025
1449	Justin	Boone	Los Angeles	CA	90066
1450	Melanie	Frank	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1451	Janet	Votaw	Santa Barbara	CA	93110
1452	Donald	Hickman	San Clemente	CA	92673
1453	Rose	Kabir	Eastvale	CA	91752
1454	Sarena	Knapik	Beverly Hills	CA	90210

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1455	Elliot	Bronwein	Newhall	CA	91321
1456	Michael	Terry	Santa Monica	CA	90402
1457	Kevin	Hearle Phs	San Mateo	CA	94402
1458	Jay	Jones	Upland	CA	91786
1459	Robert	Sharp	Belmont	CA	94002
1460	Hilary	Danehy	Fremont	CA	94539
1461	Maryellen	Redish	Palm Springs	CA	92264
1462	Robert	Ortiz	Novato	CA	94945
1463	Michael	Cooper	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1464	Hei-Lee	Ingrande-Edwards	San Rafael	CA	94903
1465	Alexandra	Van Zee	Fort Bragg	CA	95437
1466	Laura	Sternberg	San Jose	CA	95120
1467	Brett	Deschepper	Albany	CA	94706
1468	John	Lopez	San Diego	CA	92110
1469	Jeffrey	Phillips	Mill Valley	CA	94941
1470	Tina	Andreatta	Aptos	CA	95003
1471	Sharon	Lovejoy	San Rafael	CA	94901
1472	Casee	Sabula	Riverside	CA	92504
1473	Rachel	Loui	Mountain View	CA	94040
1474	Christopher	Jennings	Banning	CA	92220
1475	Cleda	Houmes	Salinas	CA	93901
1476	Krister	Olsson	Los Angeles	CA	90013
1477	Amy	Favaro	Kenwood	CA	95452
1478	Dirk	Beving	Los Angeles	CA	90066
1479	Larry	Steen	Los Angeles	CA	90035
1480	Ian	Harvey	San Diego	CA	92101
1481	Christopher	Wong	Canoga Park	CA	91303
1482	Lisa	Matheus	Valencia	CA	91381
1483	Tanya	Zsidoe	Mammoth Lakes	CA	93546
1484	Patricia	Knight	San Diego	CA	92111
1485	Paul	Marceau	Santa Barbara	CA	93108
1486	Jack	Cauthen	Sacramento	CA	95821
1487	Helen	Webb	Redlands	CA	92373
1488	Jacqueline	Houlton	Sacramento	CA	95819
1489	Monica	Soto	San Bernardino	CA	92427
1490	Nathan	Salant	Benicia	CA	94510
1491	Bonnie	Stillwater	Los Angeles	CA	90020
1492	Jerome	Ilagan	Morgan Hill	CA	95037
1493	Ingrid	Ramsay	Novato	CA	94949
1494	Michele	Roma	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
1495	Lawson	James	San Rafael	CA	94915
1496	Jim	Peugh	San Diego	CA	92106
1497	Beti	Trauth	Eureka	CA	95503
1498	Raeann	Moldenhauer	Cupertino	CA	95014
1499	Gail	Lack	Salinas	CA	93906
1500	Pat	Blackwell-Marchant	Castro Valley	CA	94552
1501	Amy	Bostick	Wildomar	CA	92595
1502	Celeste	Hong	Los Angeles	CA	90027
1503	Lois	Bacon	Freedom	CA	95019
1504	Daniel	Carrillo	San Bruno	CA	94066
1505	Stormy	Jech	Santa Cruz	CA	95065
1506	Paul	Whitson	Marina	CA	93933
1507	Danielle	Beeve-Morris	Livermore	CA	94550
1508	Monicka	Patteson-Tutschka	Sacramento	CA	95814

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1509	Andrew	Walcher	Del Mar	CA	92014
1510	April	Parkins	Oakland	CA	94611
1511	Nicholas	Lenchner	Santa Rosa	CA	95403
1512	Mary Ann	Hamilton	Sacramento	CA	95826
1513	Van	Rookhuyzen	San Francisco	CA	94102
1514	Paul	Winstanley	San Francisco	CA	94121
1515	Anne	Tuddenham	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1516	George	Ludwig	Vista	CA	92084
1517	louis	heyn	Poway	CA	92064
1518	Ro	Lobianco	Larkspur	CA	94939
1519	Chelsea	Pfeiffer	Santa Monica	CA	90403
1520	Dennis	Ledden	Fiddletown	CA	95629
1521	Doug	Parker	Apple Valley	CA	92307
1522	Eva	Suhr	Palo Alto	CA	94306
1523	Elizabeth	Liebert	Berkeley	CA	94708
1524	Eva	Thomas	Woodside	CA	94062
1525	Pam	Gumpertz	Auburn	CA	95603
1526	Liz	Wieking	Walnut Creek	CA	94597
1527	Gail	Wilke	Sunland	CA	91040
1528	Ayana	Airakan	San Francisco	CA	94132
1529	Aaron	St. John	San Diego	CA	92129
1530	Earl	Johnson	Felton	CA	95018
1531	Karen	Nagano	Napa	CA	94558
1532	Chris	Marak	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1533	Sam	Powers	Yuba City	CA	95991
1534	Krista	Nordstrom	Anaheim	CA	92801
1535	du	ng	san jose	CA	95123
1536	Sara	Church	Canyon Dam	CA	95923
1537	Keith	Stengl	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
1538	Megan	Hidy	Carlsbad	CA	92010
1539	Anita	Moreno	Santa Maria	CA	93455
1540	Kathy	Grant	Nevada City	CA	95959
1541	Joe	Santone	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
1542	Ellen	Gates	San Diego	CA	92105
1543	Mario	Guzman	San Jose	CA	95112
1544	Janice	Wheadon	Napa	CA	94558
1545	Lynn	Kush	Windsor	CA	95492
1546	David	Goodyear	San Francisco	CA	94117
1547	Stephen	Cass	Santa Barbara	CA	93109
1548	Lisa	Nelson	Benicia	CA	94510
1549	Matthew	Reid	Calistoga	CA	94515
1550	Davin	Peterson	Eureka	CA	95501
1551	Melissa	Schwartz	Monterey	CA	93940
1552	Tanya	Moeller	Sebastopol	CA	95472
1553	Jamila	Garrecht	Petaluma	CA	94952
1554	Susan	Emerson	El Cajon	CA	92021
1555	Kevin	Bakkum	Gridley	CA	95948
1556	Katherine	Leahy	Castro Valley	CA	94552
1557	Lori	Conrad	Davis	CA	95618
1558	Antonia	Carvalho	Hayfork	CA	96041
1559	Gary	Simmons	Murrieta	CA	92562
1560	Tower	Snow	Calistoga	CA	94515
1561	Isaiah	Rodriguez	San Jose	CA	95122
1562	Koorosh	Shahidzadeh	San Jose	CA	95117

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1563	Pam	Welsh-Durbin	Yucaipa	CA	92399
1564	Corinne	Levy	San Francisco	CA	94112
1565	Anne	Sciara	Santa Cruz	CA	95064
1566	Cristina	Amarillas	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
1567	James	Dicarlo	San Francisco	CA	94111
1568	Allison	Post Harris	Sacramento	CA	95864
1569	Pat	McFarland	Point Arena	CA	95468
1570	Sue	Barthelow	Auburn	CA	95602
1571	Je	Dailey	Sacramento	CA	95826
1572	Jane	Burnett	Walnut Creek	CA	94598
1573	Rochelle	La Frinere	San Diego	CA	92114
1574	Michen	Denney	San Diego	CA	92110
1575	Carolyn	Leonard	San Bernardino	CA	92404
1576	Victoria	Bennett	San Diego	CA	92123
1577	Nancy	Ruben	Los Angeles	CA	90025
1578	Rick	Larsen	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
1579	Marta	Neely	Big Bear Lake	CA	92315
1580	Tona	Rose	Rancho Murieta	CA	95683
1581	Dorothea	Morgenstern	Sacramento	CA	95831
1582	Oceana	Free	San Diego	CA	92107
1583	Leslie	Hutchinson	Cottonwood	CA	96022
1584	Katleen	Holmes	Alpine	CA	91901
1585	Rene	Flores	Bonita	CA	91902
1586	Marcie	Mason	San Diego	CA	92111
1587	Erica	Lann-Clark	Soquel	CA	95073
1588	Robert	Harless	Davis	CA	95616
1589	Lisa	Haage	Oakland	CA	94618
1590	Bernadette	Barberini	Alameda	CA	94501
1591	paul	silvestrini	San Francisco	CA	94116
1592	Renee	Darner	San Francisco	CA	94115
1593	Moira	Monahan	Sacramento	CA	95822
1594	Rocio	De Lira	Concord	CA	94521
1595	Ronald	Szymanski	Roseville	CA	95747
1596	Laila	Solaris	Oakland	CA	94605
1597	Paras	Patel	Upland	CA	91786
1598	Kimberly	Thomas	San Diego	CA	92119
1599	James	Jones	Oakland	CA	94608
1600	Duncan	Sinclair	Pasadena	CA	91104
1601	Arthur	Clinton	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1602	Tony	Perez Jr.	Carpinteria	CA	93013
1603	Donald	Shiple	Sausalito	CA	94965
1604	Wienke	Tax	Richmond	CA	94804
1605	Amber	Sumrall	Soquel	CA	95073
1606	Glenn	Stocki	Sebastopol	CA	95473
1607	Kelly	Teramoto	San Francisco	CA	94103
1608	Elaine	Allison	McKinleyville	CA	95519
1609	Michael	Friend	graeagle	CA	96103
1610	Stewart	Wilber	San Francisco	CA	94114
1611	Jegou	Julien	Irvine	CA	92618
1612	Liam	Murphy	San Francisco	CA	94110
1613	Eric	Manners	Scotts Valley	CA	95066
1614	Julie	Beer	Palo Alto	CA	94306
1615	Debra	Nevin	Danville	CA	94526
1616	Dawn	Dulac	San Diego	CA	92114

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1617	Angelica	Tercero	Santa Rosa	CA	95407
1618	Angela	Kilman	San Diego	CA	92116
1619	Lindsay	Mugglestone	Berkeley	CA	94705
1620	Nancy	Wolfe	Los Altos	CA	94024
1621	Carl & Beatriz	Hagee	La Jolla	CA	92037
1622	Dave	Coon	Montague	CA	96064
1623	Doug	Fischer	Santa Barbara	CA	93109
1624	Jesse	Calderon	Baldwin Park	CA	91706
1625	Robin	Lande	Los Angeles	CA	90036
1626	Nicholas	Ratto	Alameda	CA	94501
1627	Ernesto	Marques	San Bernardino	CA	92407
1628	Diane	Fischler	San Rafael	CA	94901
1629	Paula	Hagins	Hollister	CA	95023
1630	Maira	Memmi	Oakland	CA	94605
1631	Gail Eva	Young	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
1632	Barry	Schwartz	Napa	CA	94559
1633	Matt	Hammond	Monterey	CA	93942
1634	Linda	Kallas	Oceanside	CA	92057
1635	Bill	Woodbridge	Santa Barbara	CA	93111
1636	Gayle	Maxfield	Carlotta	CA	95528
1637	Vincent	Peloso	Fortuna	CA	95540
1638	Virginia	Leslie	Milpitas	CA	95035
1639	Mary	Hurley	Eureka	CA	95503
1640	L.	Meyer	Fontana	CA	92336
1641	Julie and James	Neushul	Carlsbad	CA	92008
1642	Roberto	Penaherrera	Seaside	CA	93955
1643	Tim	Butler	San Francisco	CA	94109
1644	Jeff	Wells	San Diego	CA	92176
1645	David	Schlafman	San Diego	CA	92122
1646	Tara	Singer	Alamo	CA	94507
1647	Rob	Hamilton	San Jose	CA	95133
1648	Pat	Daniels	Spring Valley	CA	91977
1649	Deni	Leonard	san francisco	CA	94121
1650	Robert Tim	Nixon	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
1651	Juliette	Billy	Stockton	CA	95207
1652	Hilary	Stamper	Half Moon Bay	CA	94019
1653	Tamara	Paul	Jurupa Valley	CA	92509
1654	Nancy	Holleman	Santa Ana	CA	92705
1655	William	Brieger	Sacramento	CA	95864
1656	Cynthia	Leeder	San Jose	CA	95124
1657	Steven	Blakeslee	Redway	CA	95560
1658	Nancy	Henderson	Orinda	CA	94563
1659	Maureen	Bushman	Corning	CA	96021
1660	John	Ritchie	San Diego	CA	92117
1661	Kathy & Steve	Callan	Palo Cedro	CA	96073
1662	Florence	Leto	Oakland	CA	94605
1663	Karen	Olson	Encinitas	CA	92024
1664	Nancy	Byers	Berkeley	CA	94703
1665	Gary	Dykman	Concord	CA	94521
1666	Cindy And Barry	Egsgaard	San Diego	CA	92111
1667	Heather	Reynolds	Long Beach	CA	90804
1668	Paul	Cheney	Watsonville	CA	95076
1669	Robert	Burch	Nevada City	CA	95959
1670	Jaime	Nahman	Topanga	CA	90290



Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1671	Stephen	Macdonald	Temecula	CA	92592
1672	Eric	Nelson	East Garrison	CA	93933
1673	Joan	Esclamado	Grass Valley	CA	95945
1674	Ivan	Miter	San Francisco	CA	94109
1675	Avram	Sachs	La Jolla	CA	92037
1676	caroline	skrobak	Saratoga	CA	95070
1677	Kristin And Mark	Sullivan	Capitola	CA	95010
1678	Victoria Elena	Armenta	Santa Clara	CA	95051
1679	Jeffrey	Gilman	Lafayette	CA	94549
1680	Peggy	Walters	Union City	CA	94587
1681	Leslie	Klein	Los Angeles	CA	90027
1682	Nicole	Bella	Santa Ana	CA	92704
1683	Debra	Colvard	Cloverdale	CA	95425
1684	Vera	Hilsenrath	Stockton	CA	95207
1685	Stephanie	Edwards	Sacramento	CA	95835
1686	Martina	Klingenfuss	Belmont	CA	94002
1687	Krista	Dana	Sunnyvale	CA	94087
1688	Jose	Figuroa Jr	Fremont	CA	94536
1689	Nancy	Polito	Orangevale	CA	95662
1690	Barry	Weinzveg	Petaluma	CA	94952
1691	Mary	Fedullo	San Jose	CA	95123
1692	Jeanne	Sumner	Laytonville	CA	95454
1693	Michelle	Murray	Chico	CA	95926
1694	Melvin D.	Cheitlin	San Francisco	CA	94109
1695	Maria	Rodriguez	Chino Hills	CA	91709
1696	Jennifer	Sarff	San Diego	CA	92104
1697	Sally	Windsor	Murrieta	CA	92563
1698	Jessica	Heiden	Eureka	CA	95503
1699	Reginald	Forrest	Sacramento	CA	95814
1700	Joscelyn	Boudreau	Watsonville	CA	95076
1701	Joyce	Logan	Livermore	CA	94550
1702	Dina	Selim	Los Altos	CA	94022
1703	Susan	Morales	Long Beach	CA	90808
1704	Judy	Johnson	Placerville	CA	95667
1705	Cheryl	Elkins	San Diego	CA	92105
1706	Matt	Sheridan	San Diego	CA	92104
1707	Dennis	Beall	Cazadero	CA	95421
1708	Todd	Struthers	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
1709	Julie	Watt	Mountain View	CA	94041
1710	Brendon	Dias	Santa Cruz	CA	95063
1711	Ron	Nieberding	San Francisco	CA	94132
1712	Carolyn	Wadman	Willits	CA	95490
1713	Alessia	Cowee	Chico	CA	95973
1714	Lawrence	Kao	San Francisco	CA	94121
1715	Marcia	Leonhardt	Burlingame	CA	94010
1716	Nancy	Leon	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
1717	Tom	Johnson	Emerald Hills	CA	94062
1718	Aryana	Sherzai	Martinez	CA	93117
1719	Sidney	Robles	Napa	CA	94558
1720	Andre	Dongieux	Carpinteria	CA	93013
1721	Aaron	Sheiman	Sacramento	CA	95864
1722	Carolyn	Walsh	Berkeley	CA	94709
1723	Jessica	Krakowj	San Francisco	CA	94131
1724	Karen	Parlette	Eureka	CA	95501

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1725	Marsha	Hansen	Palm Desert	CA	92211
1726	Janis	Andersen	San Diego	CA	92110
1727	Daphne	Lake	Auburn	CA	95603
1728	Kassidy	Fodor	Costa Mesa	CA	92627
1729	Tisha	Douthwaite	Ukiah	CA	95482
1730	Robert	Underwood	Concord	CA	94519
1731	David	Garrett	Murphys	CA	95247
1732	Jerry	Horner	Concord	CA	94518
1733	Luis	Mercado	Stockton	CA	95204
1734	Barbara	Gaborko	Murrieta	CA	92563
1735	Elaine	Huff	San Francisco	CA	94118
1736	Evette	Andersen	Grass Valley	CA	95945
1737	George	Steinitz	Campo	CA	91906
1738	Dale	Yager	San Diego	CA	92119
1739	Jean	Mandler	La Jolla	CA	92037
1740	Martha	Vuist-Bruske	Red Bluff	CA	96080
1741	Judith	Lippincott	Sacramento	CA	95831
1742	Michael	Marciano	North Hollywood	CA	91601
1743	Nicole	Denow	San Diego	CA	92119
1744	Chris	Goldin	Berkeley	CA	94709
1745	Kathy	Strijek	Palm Springs	CA	92262
1746	Michael	Michel	Los Angeles	CA	90029
1747	Wandis	Wilcox	Aptos	CA	95003
1748	Victoria	Johnson	Carmichael	CA	95608
1749	Teresa	Treiber	San Diego	CA	92106
1750	michael	passoff	Richmond	CA	94805
1751	Vicky	Tsoi	Santa Monica	CA	90404
1752	Deborah	Tibbetts	San Diego	CA	92105
1753	Phillip	Palmejar	San Diego	CA	92110
1754	Clare	Colquitt	San Diego	CA	92116
1755	Adrienne	Abbott	Dublin	CA	94568
1756	Amanda	Blatchford	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
1757	Ian	Nolan	Concord	CA	94518
1758	Sarah	M	San Francisco	CA	94116
1759	Dennis	Andresen	Salinas	CA	93901
1760	Cecile	Romano	Hemet	CA	92543
1761	Rocio	Miranda	Oakland	CA	94619
1762	Lisa	Salazar	Shasta Lake	CA	96089
1763	Cynthia	Marconi	Mount Shasta	CA	96067
1764	Brie	Mazurek	Oakland	CA	94602
1765	Deborah	Barry	El Cajon	CA	92019
1766	Nancy	Boyce	San Rafael	CA	94903
1767	Sage	Knowles	Grass Valley	CA	95949
1768	Donald	Fischer	Running Springs	CA	92382
1769	Charles	Coston	Sunnyvale	CA	94087
1770	Marian	Jelinek	Orinda	CA	94563
1771	A	L	Santa Rosa	CA	95407
1772	Linda	Prandi	Sacramento	CA	95834
1773	Frank	Rahtz	Glendale	CA	91214
1774	Jennifer	Crum	La Mesa	CA	91942
1775	Edward	Sullivan	San Francisco	CA	94121
1776	Elizabeth	Quinn Alvarez	Santa Clara	CA	95050
1777	Craig	Guenther	Lakeport	CA	95453
1778	Kristeene	Knopp	Oakland	CA	94608

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1779	Cheryl	Johnson	Mendocino	CA	95460
1780	Martha	Koch	Burlingame	CA	94010
1781	Kris	Macmillan	San Jose	CA	95129
1782	Jerome	Miller	Union City	CA	94587
1783	Melanie	Fisher	Calabasas	CA	91302
1784	Patty	Schmidt	Mill Valley	CA	94941
1785	Grant	Gladman	San Leandro	CA	94577
1786	April	Starke	Sonoma	CA	95476
1787	Rollin	Odell	Orinda	CA	94563
1788	Lisa	Jensen	Emerald Hills	CA	94062
1789	Carla	Holmes	Los Altos	CA	94022
1790	Jann	Johnson	Sausalito	CA	94965
1791	Erica	Stanojevic	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1792	Michael	Duller	San Francisco	CA	94158
1793	Ann	Laner Kaplan	Mill Valley	CA	94941
1794	Catherine	Moody	Rocklin	CA	95677
1795	Janene	Frahm	San Anselmo	CA	94979
1796	Alyssa	Young	San Francisco	CA	94132
1797	Deeann	Wong	San Diego	CA	92130
1798	Lin	Griffith	Oakland	CA	94619
1799	Carol	Carlsen	San Diego	CA	92115
1800	Louis	Chiofalo	Vallejo	CA	94591
1801	Kerry	Spangler	Davis	CA	95618
1802	Sloane	Kramer	Placerville	CA	95667
1803	Virginia	Robbins	Altadena	CA	91001
1804	Lindsay	Knights	Santa Cruz	CA	95061
1805	Chingiz	Salakhly	Santee	CA	92071
1806	Nichelle	Virzi	Riverside	CA	92509
1807	Elke	Savala	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1808	Kathleen	Obre	Laguna Beach	CA	92651
1809	Katy	Joe	San Francisco	CA	94122
1810	Suzanne	Pregun	San Diego	CA	92109
1811	Crystal	Mitchell	Oceanside	CA	92057
1812	Kimberly	Wong	Burbank	CA	91504
1813	Heather	Regino	San Mateo	CA	94401
1814	Brenda	Johansen-Falgren	Fontana	CA	92334
1815	Margarita	Luna	Perris	CA	92570
1816	Tim	Robinson	Boulder Creek	CA	95006
1817	Jossy	Zamora	Rialto	CA	92376
1818	Janis	Wilson-Pavlik	Carmel Valley	CA	93924
1819	Brooke	Babineau	Palo Alto	CA	94304
1820	Tygarjas	Bigstyc	Pacifica	CA	94044
1821	Jessee	Greenman	Oakland	CA	94609
1822	Jimmie	Lunsford	San Diego	CA	92176
1823	Lee	Meadows	Sacramento	CA	95841
1824	Dennis	Lynch	Felton	CA	95018
1825	Eihway	Su	San Francisco	CA	94117
1826	Jessica	Barlow	San Diego	CA	92104
1827	Mary	Haley	Elk Grove	CA	95758
1828	Deborah	Dearing	Santa Rosa	CA	95409
1829	Cassandra	Collins	San Diego	CA	92116
1830	Qali	Vartanian	Oakland	CA	94602
1831	Paul	Steege	Nevada City	CA	95959
1832	Katherine	Richardson	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1833	Portia	Sinnott	Sebastopol	CA	95472
1834	Sherrie	Howell	Pleasanton	CA	94588
1835	Kristen	Conner	San Pablo	CA	94806
1836	Megan	Croft	San Diego	CA	92128
1837	Lala	Stanley	San Francisco	CA	94114
1838	Marlene	Testaguzza	Aromas	CA	95004
1839	Bill	Vartnaw	Petaluma	CA	94952
1840	Lina	Campopiano	Hayward	CA	94542
1841	Hana	Correa	La Quinta	CA	92253
1842	Laurie	Alvarado	Costa Mesa	CA	92626
1843	Barbara	Brunell	Martinez	CA	94553
1844	Juliette	Devaney	San Jose	CA	95112
1845	Erik	Bahnson	Palm Springs	CA	92262
1846	Jamaica	Chenoweth	Nevada City	CA	95959
1847	Carol	Vallejo	Stockton	CA	95209
1848	Caroline	Thomas	San Jose	CA	95123
1849	Amanda	Leblanc	Sebastopol	CA	95472
1850	Jeannette	Affolder	Avalon	CA	90704
1851	Hilary	Sowers	Sonoma	CA	95476
1852	Mavis	Petra	Mountain View	CA	94040
1853	Ann	Pinkerton	Oakland	CA	94618
1854	Sebastian	Villani	Chula Vista	CA	91912
1855	Clover	Seely	Grass Valley	CA	95945
1856	Jonathan	Eden	Berkeley	CA	94707
1857	Joan	Normington	Folsom	CA	95630
1858	Valerie	Chereskin	Encinitas	CA	92024
1859	Scott	Young	El Cerrito	CA	94530
1860	Marion	Weeks	Novato	CA	94945
1861	Sheri	Fatout	San Francisco	CA	94110
1862	Thea	Doty	Sebastopol	CA	95472
1863	Molly	Winslow Hanson	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
1864	Golzar	Arvin	Shingle Springs	CA	95682
1865	Richard	Blincoe	Upland	CA	91786
1866	Sherrie	Arra	Laguna Niguel	CA	92677
1867	Francesca	Truman	San Francisco	CA	94122
1868	Franco	Mercado	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
1869	Valerie	Thomas	Watsonville	CA	95076
1870	Andrea	Pucci	Trinidad	CA	95570
1871	Judith	Hall	Pacifica	CA	94044
1872	SHARON	SPROUSE	Poway	CA	92064
1873	Mary Ellen	Weldele	Hacienda Heights	CA	91745
1874	Wendy	Rosenfeld	North Hollywood	CA	91601
1875	Donna	Olsen	Fremont	CA	94536
1876	Judy	Schultz	San Francisco	CA	94115
1877	Shabad	Khalsa	Sacramento	CA	95831
1878	Eli	Saddler	San Francisco	CA	94117
1879	Laura	Woolverton	Vallejo	CA	94591
1880	Marge	Schwartz	Santa Barbara	CA	93121
1881	David	Atkins	Mountain View	CA	94041
1882	Zora	Hocking	Santa Rosa	CA	95401
1883	Andrea	Brown	Riverside	CA	92503
1884	Marie	Mika	San Francisco	CA	94116
1885	Rhonda	Weber	Hercules	CA	94547
1886	Christine	Fink	Stockton	CA	95207

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1887	Nicole	Del Priore	San Diego	CA	92104
1888	Douglas	Morgan	San Pedro	CA	90732
1889	Deborah	Conant	Gilroy	CA	95020
1890	Brandon	Nagel	Larkspur	CA	94939
1891	Ronald	Glusac	Winchester	CA	92596
1892	Robert Carver	Rutherford	Healdsburg	CA	95448
1893	Sari	Fordham	Riverside	CA	92506
1894	Lynn	Graham	San Diego	CA	92129
1895	Megan	Rathfon	San Francisco	CA	94115
1896	Charles	Hughes	Geyserville	CA	95441
1897	Karen	Dallow	El Sobrante	CA	94803
1898	Wendy	Mendoza	Sacramento	CA	95831
1899	Madeleine	Krois	San Francisco	CA	94122
1900	Ken	Sanford	Escondido	CA	92029
1901	Tere	Lyndon	San Francisco	CA	94111
1902	Jeff	Beck	San Francisco	CA	94122
1903	Alan	Chen	Los Angeles	CA	90034
1904	Katy	Redmon	Redding	CA	96099
1905	Pamela	Magers	San Francisco	CA	94110
1906	Daniel	Brisken	Lake Elsinore	CA	92530
1907	Marian	Stone	Oakland	CA	94602
1908	Bill	Gale	San Rafael	CA	94901
1909	Claire	Russell	Mill Valley	CA	94941
1910	Angelique	Brake	San Jose	CA	95111
1911	C	Ruth	Stanford	CA	94305
1912	Nancy	Forrest	Richmond	CA	94801
1913	Sandra	Smith	Joshua Tree	CA	92252
1914	Carol	Uschyk	Calistoga	CA	94515
1915	Marybeth	Arago	Fort Bragg	CA	95437
1916	Mary	Ragsdale	Ripon	CA	95366
1917	Eden	Weber	San Francisco	CA	94117
1918	Dana	Wullenwaber	Redding	CA	96001
1919	Suzanne	Ehrmann	Glendale	CA	91207
1920	Pamela	Mao	Mill Valley	CA	94941
1921	Jeanine	Vandaveer	Walnut Creek	CA	94595
1922	Gary	Shrieves	Hayward	CA	94541
1923	Roberta	Swanson	Walnut	CA	91789
1924	Greg	Baccei	Santa Rosa	CA	95401
1925	Reevyn	Aronson	Redwood City	CA	94061
1926	Gail	Caswell	San Francisco	CA	94109
1927	Marie	Pappas	Berkeley	CA	94705
1928	De'Von	Johnson	San Jose	CA	95131
1929	Carl	Orr	Del Mar	CA	92014
1930	Paula	Carrier	San Diego	CA	92101
1931	Hannes	Hofmann	Fairfax	CA	94930
1932	Donald	Vasco	Berkeley	CA	94708
1933	Jordan	Schalich	Berkeley	CA	94702
1934	Marie	Lehman	Petaluma	CA	94954
1935	Pattie	Meade	San Clemente	CA	92672
1936	Pamela	Lawrence	Los Angeles	CA	90065
1937	Bob	Skinner	Novato	CA	94947
1938	Cheryl	Rockwell	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1939	Glenna	Dowling	San Francisco	CA	94115
1940	Tri-City	Ecology	Fremont	CA	94536

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1941	Elizabeth	Settel	Mill Valley	CA	94941
1942	Bill	Grosser	Auburn	CA	95603
1943	Scott	Grinthal	San Mateo	CA	94402
1944	Collette	Sanchez	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
1945	David	Zebker	San Francisco	CA	94102
1946	Linda	Johnson	San Mateo	CA	94401
1947	Kimberly	Baker	Arcata	CA	95521
1948	Josan	Feathers	La Mesa	CA	91941
1949	Stephanie	de los Rios	Del Mar	CA	92014
1950	Kathryn	Hartley	Los Altos	CA	94022
1951	Ashley	Lewis	San Anselmo	CA	94960
1952	Bertrand	Deprez	Seaside	CA	93955
1953	Corrie	Talbot	Annapolis	CA	95412
1954	Ana	Labastida	San Francisco	CA	94122
1955	Gary	Connaught	Shasta Lake	CA	96019
1956	Christopher	Ware	Fremont	CA	94539
1957	Megan	Yarnall	Eureka	CA	95503
1958	Megan	Robbins	Bodega Bay	CA	94923
1959	Kathy	Howard	San Francisco	CA	94122
1960	Amanda	Sousa	San Diego	CA	92109
1961	Querido	Galdo	Oakland	CA	94601
1962	Joshua	Dubansky	Truckee	CA	96161
1963	Susan	Myers	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
1964	Earle	Hale	Soquel	CA	95073
1965	Traci	West	San Diego	CA	92129
1966	Megan	Barlog	Granada Hills	CA	91344
1967	Tanya	Meyer	Woodland	CA	95695
1968	Irina	Clark	San Diego	CA	92150
1969	Michael	Criqui	La Jolla	CA	92093
1970	Joan	Merrill	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
1971	Jill	Mistretta	Kentfield	CA	94904
1972	Savannah	Green	Mendocino	CA	95460
1973	Mike	Dorer	Fremont	CA	94538
1974	Pat	Smith	Orinda	CA	94563
1975	Brad	Simms	Davis	CA	95616
1976	Paul	Davis	Saratoga	CA	95070
1977	Carri	Woolsey	Santa Rosa	CA	95409
1978	Patrick	Bonner	South Gate	CA	90280
1979	Liina	Laufer	San Francisco	CA	94122
1980	Lily	Mejia	Hemet	CA	92543
1981	Julia	Adkins	Napa	CA	94559
1982	Susan	Sloan	Los Angeles	CA	90064
1983	Kate	Kirkhuff	Berkeley	CA	94709
1984	Sophie	Rocheleau	Arcata	CA	95521
1985	Ginny	Volk-Anderson	Sacramento	CA	95864
1986	Andrea	Family	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
1987	Una Marie	Pierce	San Diego	CA	92104
1988	Omar	Osorio	Oakland	CA	94602
1989	Susan	Tatro	Eureka	CA	95503
1990	Chlorophil	Djinn	San Jose	CA	95111
1991	Thomas	Force	Ukiah	CA	95482
1992	Steven	Holzberg	Fair Oaks	CA	95628
1993	Mark	Foy	Berkeley	CA	94705
1994	Karen	Brant	San Francisco	CA	94117

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
1995	Paul	Runion	Ben Lomond	CA	95005
1996	Stephanie	Lewis	Windsor	CA	95492
1997	Nadia	Lynn	McKinleyville	CA	95519
1998	John	Rowell	Los Gatos	CA	95032
1999	Lauren	Murdock	Santa Barbara	CA	93110
2000	Lorraine	Lowry	Vacaville	CA	95688
2001	Leslie	Rayburn	Watsonville	CA	95076
2002	Barbara	Meislin	Belvedere	CA	94920
2003	Geraldine	Alava	Sacramento	CA	95833
2004	Rebecca	Dempsey	OAKLAND	CA	94610
2005	Sheree	Courtney	Concord	CA	94521
2006	Jimmie	Yonemoto	San Jose	CA	95126
2007	Jennifer	Langfield	San Anselmo	CA	94960
2008	Laura	Newton	Cathedral City	CA	92234
2009	Jessie	Osborne	Oceanside	CA	92057
2010	Ricardo	Frustockl	Santa Barbara	CA	93101
2011	Gillian	Wilkerson	Mill Valley	CA	94941
2012	Theresa	Tafoya	Temecula	CA	92591
2013	Susie	Lee	La Habra	CA	90631
2014	Rick	Racobs	Yucca Valley	CA	92286
2015	R	Fox	Albany	CA	94706
2016	Vito	Degrigoli	Palm Springs	CA	92262
2017	Patricia	Wilburn	Santa Rosa	CA	95407
2018	Singgih	Tan	San Jose	CA	95123
2019	Candy	LeBlanc	Placerville	CA	95667
2020	Meredith	Elliott	Oakland	CA	94619
2021	Veronica	Baez	placerville	CA	95667
2022	Chris	Wick	Oceanside	CA	92057
2023	Lezlie	Navarro	Wildomar	CA	92595
2024	Richard	Hubacek	Little River	CA	95456
2025	Karen	Harrington	Berkeley	CA	94707
2026	Robin	Vantassell	Summerland	CA	93067
2027	Jessica	Perez	San Diego	CA	92123
2028	James	Feichtl	Belmont	CA	94002
2029	Melody	Ross	Santee	CA	92071
2030	Jessica	Ruppert	Sunnyvale	CA	94089
2031	Steve	Ongerth	Richmond	CA	94801
2032	Camille	Choate	Spring Valley	CA	91977
2033	Sofia	Okolowicz	Temecula	CA	92592
2034	Joel	Johnson	Santa Cruz	CA	95060
2035	Lily	Bart	Sacramento	CA	95811
2036	C	Martinez	San Diego	CA	92104
2037	Karen	Seehaus	San Diego	CA	92110
2038	Daniel	Schuck	Richmond	CA	94805
2039	Rosa	Barragon	Sonoma	CA	95476
2040	Erin	Millikin	San Diego	CA	92154
2041	Macia	Garceau	San Diego	CA	92129
2042	Alan	Condell	Fremont	CA	94538
2043	Dean	Batutis	San Ramon	CA	94583
2044	Annette	Murch	San Diego	CA	92103
2045	Nikki	Doyle	Oakland	CA	94602
2046	Liz	Johnson	Albany	CA	94706
2047	Marge	Adams	San Jose	CA	95118
2048	Leo	Jones	Santa Rosa	CA	95409

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2049	Joyce	Raye	Salinas	CA	93908
2050	Susan	Thompson	Middletown	CA	95461
2051	Jim	Curland	Moss Landing	CA	95039
2052	Nancy	Hollingsworth	Seaside	CA	93955
2053	Nathan	Lang	San Francisco	CA	94121
2054	Andrea	Bustos	Trinidad	CA	95570
2055	Christine	Hayes	Upland	CA	91786
2056	Keith	Brown	Belmont	CA	94025
2057	Stephanie	Wilder	Mount Shasta	CA	96067
2058	Sara	Bayless	Palo Alto	CA	94301
2059	Joann	Koppany	San Diego	CA	92104
2060	Sally	Bailey	San Jose	CA	95124
2061	Andrea	Hilario	La Puente	CA	91744
2062	Kevin	Weibezahl	San Diego	CA	92107
2063	Bethan	Carter	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
2064	Mary	Ames	Temecula	CA	92592
2065	Greta	Yu	San Jose	CA	95135
2066	Wesley	Jensen	Chico	CA	95928
2067	Brittany	Sterling	Twentynine Palms	CA	92277
2068	Katherine	Curtis	San Diego	CA	92115
2069	James	Dyer	San Francisco	CA	94107
2070	Judy	Kanarek	Yorba Linda	CA	92886
2071	Jens	Burkhart	Santee	CA	92071
2072	Cynthia	Miller	Galt	CA	95632
2073	Sarah	Stiles	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
2074	Eleanor	Thomas	Livermore	CA	94550
2075	Denise	Berezonsky	Oakland	CA	94608
2076	Angie	Furlong	Campbell	CA	95008
2077	Collette	Wilson	Mountain View	CA	94043
2078	Jay	Rosenthal	Walnut Creek	CA	94595
2079	Pamela	Miller	North Highlands	CA	95660
2080	Susanna	Marshland	Kensington	CA	94707
2081	Andrea	Dixon	Redlands	CA	92373
2082	Richard	Garner	Chula Vista	CA	91911
2083	Richard	Skwarek	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
2084	Kathleen	Salvas	Grass Valley	CA	95945
2085	Ann	Maijala	Ontario	CA	91762
2086	Jamie	Pfister	San Jose	CA	95139
2087	Jeanne	Nourse	Vineburg	CA	95487
2088	Janice	Wilson	Santa Ana	CA	92701
2089	Natasha	Varner	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
2090	William	Dittmann	San Rafael	CA	94901
2091	Gayle	Smith	Carmel	CA	93923
2092	Tasmin	Arai	Healdsburg	CA	95448
2093	Lacey	Prescott	Salinas	CA	93906
2094	Callie	Riley	Citrus Heights	CA	95610
2095	Silvana	Zelmanovich	Los Angeles	CA	90033
2096	Michael	Garitty	Nevada City	CA	95959
2097	Shirley	Low-Yock	Healdsburg	CA	95448
2098	Pat	Brown	Loomis	CA	95650
2099	Ady	Larsen	Brisbane	CA	94005
2100	Les	Shipnuck	Berkeley	CA	94703
2101	Laura	Manning	Goleta	CA	93117
2102	Ian	Kratter	Redwood City	CA	94063



Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2103	Melynda	Quinn	Folsom	CA	95630
2104	Irina	Dmitriyev	Walnut Creek	CA	94598
2105	Janie	Fox	Alameda	CA	94501
2106	Bruce William	Fenton	Napa	CA	94559
2107	Lisa	Quattrochi	Aliso Viejo	CA	92656
2108	Joseph	McDonough	Hemet	CA	92544
2109	Joanna	Katz	Berkeley	CA	94702
2110	Aline	O'Brien	San Rafael	CA	94901
2111	Lisa	Jung	San Rafael	CA	94903
2112	Rita	Fahrner	San Francisco	CA	94110
2113	Zee	Khan	South San Francisco	CA	94080
2114	Fred	Windberg	Novato	CA	94947
2115	Joe	May	El Cajon	CA	92019
2116	Akhila	Kolesar	San Francisco	CA	94117
2117	Raven	Deerwater	Mendocino	CA	95460
2118	Chris	Czanstke	San Diego	CA	92127
2119	Merlin	Wilson	Salinas	CA	93906
2120	Rus	Postel	San Rafael	CA	94903
2121	John	Wall	Belvedere	CA	94920
2122	Howard	Higson	Sebastopol	CA	95472
2123	Denise	Mcculloch	La Mesa	CA	91941
2124	Larry	Walker	Folsom	CA	95630
2125	Jeffery	Burkhart	Claremont	CA	91711
2126	Susan	Schwartz	San Diego	CA	92128
2127	Heather	Hunter	Cool	CA	95614
2128	Suzanne	Hard	Murrieta	CA	92563
2129	Marin	Hood	Oakland	CA	94611
2130	Brodie	Hilp	Danville	CA	94506
2131	Chiara	Ogan	San Francisco	CA	94122
2132	Nancy	Floyd	San Francisco	CA	94116
2133	William G	Rose Jr	San Jose	CA	95118
2134	John	Howard	Venice	CA	90291
2135	Elizabeth	Rice	Camarillo	CA	93010
2136	James	Connolly	Chico	CA	95926
2137	Richard	Tietz	Lafayette	CA	94549
2138	Gary	Sanders	Visalia	CA	93277
2139	Bridget	Barron	San Anselmo	CA	94960
2140	Dennis	Rickard	Fresno	CA	93704
2141	Chris	Klich	El Cajon	CA	92020
2142	Frank and Zeva	Lahorgue	San Rafael	CA	94903
2143	Richard	Miller	El Dorado	CA	95623
2144	Anne	Blandin	Rancho Murieta	CA	95683
2145	Sandra	Olson	Oakland	CA	94618
2146	Kate	Looby	Stockton	CA	95207
2147	Richard	Kasbo	San Diego	CA	92104
2148	David	Levy	San Francisco	CA	94133
2149	Fritz	Brunner	Walnut Creek	CA	94598
2150	Marilyn	Tovar	Stockton	CA	95210
2151	Kenneth	Dyleski	Walnut Creek	CA	94597
2152	Katherine	Sherwood	Rodeo	CA	94572
2153	Mary	Doane	Watsonville	CA	95076
2154	Jeff	Pekrul	San Francisco	CA	94114
2155	Arthur	Chan	Concord	CA	94518
2156	Mary	Stanistreet	Ventura	CA	93003

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2157	Bill	Pinkham	Richmond	CA	94804
2158	Heather	Ward	Sacramento	CA	95864
2159	Herbert	Ziegler	Yucaipa	CA	92399
2160	Natalia	Klukinov	Sunnyvale	CA	94086
2161	Tara	Martinez	Bakersfield	CA	93311
2162	Penny	Luce	Santa Barbara	CA	93111
2163	Toby	Gottfried	Orinda	CA	94563
2164	Edward	Kuczynski	San Francisco	CA	94114
2165	Gloria	Alvarado	Chatsworth	CA	91311
2166	Douglas	Foster	Three Rivers	CA	93271
2167	Leonel	Ruvalcaba	San Bernardino	CA	92407
2168	Beverly	Webman	Santa Monica	CA	90405
2169	Michelle	Carter	San Francisco	CA	94110
2170	Karla	Refoxo	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
2171	Nancy	West	San Anselmo	CA	94960
2172	Abbie	Stewart	Santa Rosa	CA	95403
2173	Katherine	S	San Luis Obispo	CA	93405
2174	Brittney	Aguilar	Elk Grove	CA	95758
2175	Deborah	Malcarne	San Diego	CA	92109
2176	Akiko	Tamano	San Diego	CA	92129
2177	Denise	Kamenzind	San Diego	CA	92103
2178	Howard	Holko	San Anselmo	CA	94960
2179	Gail	Jonas	Healdsburg	CA	95448
2180	Jess	Graffell	Yucaipa	CA	92399
2181	Patricia	Sheehan	Oceanside	CA	92056
2182	Charlene	Gage	Elk Grove	CA	95758
2183	Lisette	Scholl	Templeton	CA	93465
2184	Teresa	Edmonds	Carmel Valley	CA	93924
2185	Pablo	Ballora	Larkspur	CA	94939
2186	Marilyn	Tripp	San Diego	CA	92124
2187	Patricia	Trafican	Fresno	CA	93710
2188	Christine	Oda	San Francisco	CA	94115
2189	Denise	Russo	Discovery Bay	CA	94505
2190	Mary	Glazer	Los Angeles	CA	90042
2191	Bob	Greenawalt	Davis	CA	95616
2192	Sara C.	Blunt	Summerland	CA	93067
2193	Paul	Becker	Santa Rosa	CA	95401
2194	Roy	Nolan	Novato	CA	94947
2195	Jennifer	Quigley	San Francisco	CA	94132
2196	Michael	Leonard	La Jolla	CA	92038
2197	Susan	Wilson	Desert Hot Spring	CA	92241
2198	Cheryl	Thorn	Ventura	CA	93003
2199	Joanne	Doherty	Simi Valley	CA	93065
2200	Don	Moresi	Orinda	CA	94563
2201	Dunja	Mrdjen	Redwood City	CA	94061
2202	Jerald	Lipsch	Alhambra	CA	91803
2203	Bruce	Raymond	Oceanside	CA	92054
2204	Margaret	Morris	Ventura	CA	93001
2205	Veronica	Rosing	San Diego	CA	92119
2206	Agnes	Gillespie Md	Placerville	CA	95667
2207	Ron	Riskin	Santa Barbara	CA	93103
2208	Michelle	Saint Germain	Carlsbad	CA	92008
2209	Kathleen	Mcnulty	Alameda	CA	94501
2210	Deborah	Marcus	Roseville	CA	95661

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2211	Lynn	Miller	Magalia	CA	95954
2212	M	Friedman	Tarzana	CA	91356
2213	Scott And Claudia	Hein	Concord	CA	94521
2214	Jennifer	Apodac	Simi Valley	CA	93063
2215	Laurie	Archambault	Sacramento	CA	95864
2216	Randolph	Heubach	San Anselmo	CA	94960
2217	Therese	Mccoy	Redding	CA	96001
2218	Dan	Wizner	Sacramento	CA	95826
2219	Michelle	Beardmore	Pleasant Hill	CA	94523
2220	Michael	Kreutzburg	Rancho Cordova	CA	95670
2221	Katherine	Dyche	Redding	CA	96001
2222	Afton	Rezac	Dunlap	CA	93621
2223	Elisabeth	Sherman	Sebastopol	CA	95472
2224	Trish	Benedict	Walnut Creek	CA	94595
2225	Lynn	Ireland	Larkspur	CA	94977
2226	Joyce	Frye	La Quinta	CA	92253
2227	Shannon	Healey	Menlo Park	CA	94025
2228	Laura	Milbury	Modesto	CA	95355
2229	Mark	Luiso	San Jose	CA	95118
2230	Mark	Hargraves	Sebastopol	CA	95472
2231	John	St. Clair	Ontario	CA	91762
2232	Linda	Edwards	Lancaster	CA	93534
2233	Laura	Saunders	San Francisco	CA	94107
2234	Stephen	Dent	Fresno	CA	93704
2235	Martin	Sargent	Vallejo	CA	94590
2236	Amber	Burns	Napa	CA	94558
2237	Shelley and Greg	Thomsen	Carlsbad	CA	92011
2238	Terry	Crownover	Folsom	CA	95630
2239	Susan	Poggi	Elk Grove	CA	95624
2240	Jane	Courant	Richmond	CA	94804
2241	Gaby	Navarrete	Sacramento	CA	94280
2242	Nora	Salet	Vacaville	CA	95687
2243	Mike	Silver	Sacramento	CA	95831
2244	Courtney	Thompson	Oakland	CA	94611
2245	Beth	Edwards	Fresno	CA	93650
2246	Greg	Goodman	Concord	CA	94519
2247	Tina	Sapp	Concord	CA	94519
2248	Jean	Jackman	Davis	CA	95616
2249	Nancy	Ying	Selma	CA	93662
2250	Michael	Grant	Simi Valley	CA	93063
2251	Sukey	Barnhart	Berkeley	CA	94705
2252	Robert	Duckson	Hemet	CA	92543
2253	Amy	Carey	Menlo Park	CA	94025
2254	Susan	Kanish	Encinitas	CA	92024
2255	Chester	Purdy	Napa	CA	94559
2256	Bonnie	Henderson	Ukiah	CA	95482
2257	Olga	Sevilla	Canoga Park	CA	91303
2258	Sean	Corrigan	Modesto	CA	95350
2259	June	Osbourn	Sonoma	CA	95476
2260	Ralph	bocchetti	Fontana	CA	92337
2261	Alan	Hughes	Moorpark	CA	93021
2262	Ronald	Bogin	El Cerrito	CA	94530
2263	Jo	Quinlivan	Oakland	CA	94619
2264	Brooks	Geiken	Berkeley	CA	94702

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2265	Anne	Morgan	Walnut Creek	CA	94596
2266	Jera	Janzen	Goleta	CA	93117
2267	Renee	Wiederhold	San Diego	CA	92129
2268	Scott	Sullivan	Martinez	CA	94553
2269	Delores	Johnson	Lodi	CA	95241
2270	Paula	Moseley	Oakland	CA	94611
2271	Doreen	Domb	Grass Valley	CA	95945
2272	Clare	Bonsall	Sacramento	CA	95822
2273	Teri	Sigler	Santa Cruz	CA	95062
2274	Denyse	Frischmuth	Pacific Grove	CA	93950
2275	Ruta	Radzins	San Francisco	CA	94117
2276	Gary	Guadagnolo	Stockton	CA	95204
2277	Caitlin	Burk	Bodfish	CA	93205
2278	Laurie	Neill	Smith River	CA	95567
2279	Leslie	Lethridge	Oakland	CA	94618
2280	Richard	Flores	Indio	CA	92201
2281	Nancy	Richardsd	Santa Rosa	CA	95404
2282	Sha	Davies	Redding	CA	96001
2283	Sara	Mcclellan	West Sacramento	CA	95605
2284	Tanya	Baccarat	Petaluma	CA	94952
2285	Peter	Arrant	Cardiff By the Sea	CA	92007
2286	Robert	Himes	West Sacramento	CA	95605
2287	Pat	Adler	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
2288	Wyndham	Robertson III	Fremont	CA	94555
2289	Bruce	Reinik	Santa Rosa	CA	95409
2290	Gregory	Bernardo	Meadow Vista	CA	95722
2291	Susan	LeMaster	Palm Springs	CA	92262
2292	Randy	Johnson	Sebastopol	CA	95472
2293	Paul	Lew	San Pablo	CA	94806
2294	Kathryn	Hardy	Petaluma	CA	94952
2295	Gayle	Sides	Carlsbad	CA	92008
2296	Emily	Danielson	Clovis	CA	93619
2297	Nikki	Foos	San Diego	CA	92120
2298	Gloria	Hulbert	Santa Barbara	CA	93105
2299	Huguette	Moran	Long Beach	CA	90815
2300	Cyndi	Houck	Santa Rosa	CA	95405
2301	Leire	Herboso	San Francisco	CA	94158
2302	Matthew	Ramirez	Rancho Cucamonga	CA	91737
2303	Jacilyn	Albert	Pinole	CA	94564
2304	Luigi	Gallegos	San Diego	CA	92169
2305	John	Etter	Monterey	CA	93942
2306	Elizabeth	Moellenhoff	San Diego	CA	92109
2307	Lillawa	Willie	Alameda	CA	94501
2308	Mignon	Moskowitz	Cloverdale	CA	95425
2309	Sandra	Seldeen	Calabasas	CA	91302
2310	teya	schaffer	oakland	CA	94609
2311	Christine	Llacs	Fullerton	CA	92833
2312	California friend	Last_california	Berkeley	CA	94702
2313	Melanie	Vollbrecht	Moorpark	CA	93021
2314	Marie	Perry	Ceres	CA	95307
2315	Alan	Boehmer	Los Osos	CA	93402
2316	Myra	Toth	Ojai	CA	93024
2317	Bonnie	Arbuckle	Riverbank	CA	95367
2318	Julie	Alicea	Denair	CA	95316

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2319	Arthur	Trejo	Madera	CA	93636
2320	Rosemary	Fugle	Los Osos	CA	93402
2321	Larry	Griffin	Oakdale	CA	95361
2322	Carol	Taylor	Ojai	CA	93023
2323	Cindy	Stein	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
2324	Judith	Breckenridge	Atwater	CA	95301
2325	Cathie	Clark	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
2326	Rachael	Rospond	San Luis Obispo	CA	93405
2327	Kent	Mitchell	Riverbank	CA	95367
2328	Terri	McKown	Inyokern	CA	93527
2329	Sandra	Quick	Ventura	CA	93004
2330	Mick	Klimas	Riverbank	CA	95367
2331	karen	jones	Modesto	CA	95350
2332	Robert	Berend	Fresno	CA	93726
2333	Gloria	Junkermann	Port Hueneme	CA	93041
2334	Michael	Bordenave	Fresno	CA	93728
2335	Grant	Smith	Westlake Village	CA	91362
2336	Patrick	Haskins	Camarillo	CA	93010
2337	John	Wagner	Oxnard	CA	93030
2338	Lyle	Corey	Ventura	CA	93003
2339	Dana	Ortolan	Turlock	CA	95380
2340	Sylvia	Morris	Morro Bay	CA	93442
2341	Ara	Marderosian	Weldon	CA	93283
2342	Gordon	Cook	Bakersfield	CA	93309
2343	Helen	Manning-Brown	Atascadero	CA	93422
2344	Turko & Bj	Semmes	Atascadero	CA	93422
2345	Hernan	Pineda	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
2346	Robert	Mckinney	Mariposa	CA	95338
2347	Sharon	Lovell	Camarillo	CA	93012
2348	Erica	Ponce	Moorpark	CA	93021
2349	Shani	Casella	Oxnard	CA	93035
2350	Nicki	Coble	Camarillo	CA	93012
2351	Watson	Gooch	Los Osos	CA	93402
2352	Jessica	Gabrielson	Clovis	CA	93612
2353	Monica	Wiesblott	Ventura	CA	93001
2354	Joe	Weis	Reedley	CA	93654
2355	Debbie	Aleman	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
2356	William	Mittig	Mariposa	CA	95338
2357	Jamie	Johnson	Fresno	CA	93728
2358	Mark	Chotiner	Thousand Oaks	CA	91361
2359	Chris	Mellor	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
2360	John	Hawkins	Newbury Park	CA	91320
2361	Steve	Doorenbos	Ridgecrest	CA	93555
2362	Genevieve	Tanguay	Newbury Park	CA	91320
2363	Melissa	Smith	Tehachapi	CA	93561
2364	Cheryl	Wey	Frazier Park	CA	93225
2365	Laura	Craun	Bakersfield	CA	93311
2366	Sophia	Santitoro	Simi Valley	CA	93065
2367	John	Terwilliger	Cambria	CA	93428
2368	Daniel	Uhlar	Ventura	CA	93004
2369	Bryan	Syverson	Fresno	CA	93720
2370	Joanne	Sulkoske	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
2371	Joann	Offill	Camarillo	CA	93010
2372	Rena	Lewis	Ojai	CA	93023

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2373	Patricia	Lewi	Fresno	CA	93726
2374	Cheryl	Lewis	San Luis Obispo	CA	93401
2375	Kathleen	Van Every	Atascadero	CA	93422
2376	Mike	Caetano	Fresno	CA	93704
2377	Erin	Kraus	Ventura	CA	93001
2378	Douglas	Evans	Ojai	CA	93024
2379	Lisa	Elliott	Bakersfield	CA	93301
2380	Steve	Sketo	Bakersfield	CA	93312
2381	Amy	Moreno	Porterville	CA	93257
2382	Larry	Barnes	San Luis Obispo	CA	93401
2383	Garry	Star	Thousand Oaks	CA	91362
2384	Edward	Bergtholdt	Visalia	CA	93277
2385	Julie	Eckles	Atascadero	CA	93422
2386	Edward	Bergtholdt	Visalia	CA	93277
2387	Joyce And Edward	Bergtholdt	Visalia	CA	93277
2388	Joy	Hamlat	Oxnard	CA	93036
2389	Jason	Shepherd	Newbury Park	CA	91320
2390	Sandra	Gamble	Ridgecrest	CA	93555
2391	Joseph	Catania	Fresno	CA	93728
2392	Charles	Tribbey	San Luis Obispo	CA	93405
2393	Diane	Hesford	Fresno	CA	93710
2394	Susie	Hanna	Oxnard	CA	93035
2395	Brooke	Lindauer	Clovis	CA	93612
2396	David	Harris	Ventura	CA	93003
2397	Sandy	Zarate	Visalia	CA	93277
2398	Caroline	Raufi	Westlake Village	CA	91361
2399	Susan	Davenport	Simi Valley	CA	93063
2400	Nancy	Sharmer	Clovis	CA	93611
2401	Jb	Marks	Tehachapi	CA	93561
2402	Carolyn	Nolan	Fresno	CA	93704
2403	Lana	Silva	Clovis	CA	93611
2404	Michele	Conley	Porterville	CA	93257
2405	Leslie	Spoon	Los Osos	CA	93402
2406	Matt	Morrison	Atascadero	CA	93422
2407	Louise	Rangel	Santa Paula	CA	93060
2408	Steven	Greene	Simi Valley	CA	93065
2409	Ron	Martinez	Oxnard	CA	93036
2410	Janet	Naugle	Fresno	CA	93725
2411	Analee	Prater	San Luis Obispo	CA	93401
2412	Janis	Duncan	Ventura	CA	93003
2413	Felena	Puentes	Bakersfield	CA	93312
2414	Barbara	Whyman	Ventura	CA	93001
2415	Wendy	Driscoll	Oxnard	CA	93035
2416	Monique	Grajeda	San Luis Obispo	CA	93401
2417	Darlene	Lovell	Bakersfield	CA	93301
2418	L.	Depew	Camarillo	CA	93012
2419	Jane	Daniels	Moorpark	CA	93021
2420	Josue	Rodriguez	Fresno	CA	93725
2421	Elisabeth	Merrill	Newbury Park	CA	91320
2422	Sarah	Kalinay	Bakersfield	CA	93311
2423	Noemi	Medrano	Parlier	CA	93648
2424	Rod	Burke	San Luis Obispo	CA	93405
2425	Helen	Livingston	Atascadero	CA	93422
2426	Sarah	Raskin	Ojai	CA	93023

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2427	James	Johnson	Simi Valley	CA	93065
2428	Michael	Kyes	Hughson	CA	95326
2429	Brady	Brown	San Luis Obispo	CA	93405
2430	Teresa	Vincent	Merced	CA	95348
2431	Dale	Beasley	Visalia	CA	93291
2432	Mohan	Sakhrani	Turlock	CA	95382
2433	Sandra	Herrera	Parlier	CA	93648
2434	Marci	Smith	Los Osos	CA	93402
2435	Denise	Goldberg	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
2436	Bob	Leppo	Santa Maria	CA	93454
2437	Nancy	Borelli	Santa Rosa Valle	CA	93012
2438	Jennifer	Kopczynski	Thousand Oaks	CA	91360
2439	Judy	Matusz	Bakersfield	CA	93308
2440	Brad	Nelson	Oxnard	CA	93035
2441	Jennifer	Hayes	Modesto	CA	95350
2442	John	Lucas	Los Osos	CA	93402
2443	Diena	Street	Modesto	CA	95355
2444	Sharon	Peak	Ventura	CA	93003
2445	Stacey	Mcdonald	Thousand Oaks	CA	91361
2446	Vicki	Bingaman	Frazier Park	CA	93225
2447	Susan	Balthasar	Los Osos	CA	93402
2448	Richard	Romanus	Cambria	CA	93428
2449	Janet	Beatty	San Luis Obispo	CA	93401
2450	Richard	Harvey	Paso Robles	CA	93446
2451	Donna	Shaw	Simi Valley	CA	93065
2452	Heidi	Gomez	Modesto	CA	95350
2453	Kathleen	Dwyer	Kernville	CA	93238
2454	Richard	Swift	Camarillo	CA	93010
2455	Bruce	Vincent	Ojai	CA	93023
2456	Melodie	Charpentier	Ventura	CA	93001
2457	Penelope	Prochazka	Simi Valley	CA	93063
2458	Annie	Winsor	Thousand Oaks	CA	91362
2459	Kristin	Niswonger	Bakersfield	CA	93306
2460	Stephan	Foley	Ojai	CA	93023
2461	Stephen	Fitch	Thousand Oaks	CA	91362
2462	Betty	Eiseman	Westlake Village	CA	91361
2463	Brian	Mc Credie	Ridgecrest	CA	93555
2464	Jamie	Flaherty	Fresno	CA	93704
2465	Judith	Schaab	Morro Bay	CA	93442
2466	Dawn	Dowdy	Visalia	CA	93277
2467	Verona Re	Bow	Arroyo Grande	CA	93421
2468	Susan	Perry	Cambria	CA	93428
2469	Manuela	Passman	Los Osos	CA	93402
2470	Rikke	Naesborg	Thousand Oaks	CA	91362
2471	Robert	Mize	Inyokern	CA	93527
2472	Gail	Hubbs	Thousand Oaks	CA	91320
2473	Tamara	Mccready	Simi Valley	CA	93063
2474	Sharon	Colyar	Clovis	CA	93612
2475	Lynnette	Barrera	La Grange	CA	95329
2476	Patricia	Meyer	Camarillo	CA	93010
2477	Deepali	Panjabi	San Luis Obispo	CA	93401
2478	Joseph	Steedman	Ojai	CA	93023
2479	Martin	Robbins	Los Osos	CA	93402
2480	Lynda	Sherman	Simi Valley	CA	93063

Signature count	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code
2481	Edward	Redig	Paso Robles	CA	93446
2482	M. Laura	Gulovsen	Ventura	CA	93003
2483	Robert	Glover	Fresno	CA	93726
2484	Kanwarjit	Boparai	Lemoore	CA	93245
2485	Bruce	Wilcox	Oxnard	CA	93033
2486	Christina	Colombo	Oak View	CA	93022
2487	Gillian	Halpin	San Luis Obispo	CA	93405
2488	Christopher	Knight	Westlake Village	CA	91361
2489	Harry	Drandell	Fresno	CA	93711
2490	Susan	Wright	Bakersfield	CA	93301
2491	Domiano	Mussau	Lemoore	CA	93245
2492	Mary	Brooks	Frazier Park	CA	93225
2493	Erika	Hucal	Simi Valley	CA	93065
2494	J. Michael Mike	Henderson	San Luis Obispo	CA	93405
2495	Dan	Morgan	Rosamond	CA	93560
2496	Pam	P	Santa Margarita	CA	93401
2497	Lucy	Nichols	Ventura	CA	93004
2498	Karlie	DrutzTest	Oakland	CA	94612
2499	C	Wootton	San Diego	CA	92119
2500	Stuart	Welte	Palo Alto	CA	94306
2501	Howard	Cohen	Palo Alto	CA	94306
2502	cheryl	gale	Redstone	CO	81623